AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

IV. TIME FOR MEDITATION

V. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM MARCH 24, 2015

VI. ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

VII. PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

1. NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING FROM THE CITY OF EAST LANSING REGARDING P.A. 328 PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX ABATEMENT - IBM

VIII. LIMITED PUBLIC COMMENT

IX. CLARIFICATION/INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

X. CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA

XI. COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RESOLUTIONS

2. COUNTY SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION IN HONOR OF THE 2015 STATE ARBOR DAY CELEBRATION

3. COUNTY SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE APPROVAL OF THE FINAL PLAT OF MEADOW RIDGE NO. 6

4. COUNTY SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL AND ROUTINE PERMITS FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

5. COUNTY SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION HONORING SEAN T. McGuire

6. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION PLEDGING FULL FAITH AND CREDIT TO MATTHEW L. BUGBEE DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT BONDS

7. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION PLEDGING FULL FAITH AND CREDIT TO TOWAR GARDENS AND BRANCHES DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT BONDS
8. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION PLEDGING FULL FAITH AND CREDIT TO TOWAR SNELL DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT BONDS

9. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING AN INGHAM COUNTY LOCAL SITE REMEDIATION REVOLVING FUND AND A POLICY FOR ITS FUNDING AND IMPLEMENTATION

10. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING AN APPLICATION POLICY FOR INCLUSION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY IN AN INGHAM COUNTY BROWNFIELD PLAN AND TO ESTABLISH AN APPLICATION FEE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION

11. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A THREE-YEAR AGREEMENT WITH GRANGER CONTAINER INC. FOR WASTE REMOVAL AND RECYCLING SERVICES

12. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR JANITORIAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

13. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A FIRST PARTY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT WITH HOFFMAN BROTHERS, INC. A SECOND PARTY AGREEMENT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND A THIRD PARTY AGREEMENT WITH JACKSON NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE IN RELATION TO A ROAD RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT FOR OKEMOS ROAD FROM SOUTH OF SANDHILL ROAD TO THE I-96 INTERCHANGE

14. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A SECOND PARTY AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT IN RELATION TO STATE FUNDED BRIDGE PROJECTS LOCATED AT MEECH ROAD OVER DOAN CREEK, HOLT ROAD OVER DOAN CREEK, CLARK ROAD OVER DEER CREEK - MDOT CONTRACT NO. 15-5001

15. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT WITH SMITH’S WATERPROOFING, LLC

16. FINANCE COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE 2015 INGHAM COUNTY BUDGET

17. HUMAN SERVICES, COUNTY SERVICES, AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION APPROVING CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER #4 TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF MICHIGAN FOR SPACE AT THE HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING (HSB) AND AWARDING A CONTRACT TO LAUX CONSTRUCTION TO BUILD A LARGER DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES (DHS) TRAINING ROOM AT THE HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING
18. HUMAN SERVICES, COUNTY SERVICES, AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AMENDING THE AGREEMENT WITH HOBBS+BLACK TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING (A&E) SERVICES AND APPROVE SCHEMATIC DESIGNS FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT RENOVATIONS TO THE HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING

19. HUMAN SERVICES, COUNTY SERVICES, AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A REORGANIZATION OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT’S ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIVISION STAFF AND ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE

20. HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A SUBCONTRACT WITH GAMALIEL OF MICHIGAN WITH FUNDS FROM THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY AND CITY HEALTH OFFICIALS (NACCHO)

21. HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT WITH THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC HEALTH INSTITUTE (MPHI) FOR THE PATHWAYS TO BETTER HEALTH GRANT AND TO ESTABLISH TEMPORARY POSITIONS

22. HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AMEND RESOLUTION #14-360 TO ACCEPT FUNDING FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION FOR THE RYAN WHITE TITLE IV WOMEN, INFANTS, CHILDREN, YOUTH AND AFFECTED FAMILY MEMBERS AIDS HEALTHCARE GRANT

23. LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION TO THE INGHAM COUNTY ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS DURING NATIONAL ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER APPRECIATION WEEK APRIL 12-18, 2015

24. LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION TO HONOR SHERIFF GENE WRIGGELSWORTH AND THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S ORGANIZATION AS RECIPIENTS OF THE 2015 ADVANCING CHANGE AWARD

25. LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION TO THE INGHAM COUNTY 9-1-1 CENTRAL DISPATCH CENTER TELECOMMUNICATORS DURING NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATORS WEEK APRIL 12-18, 2015

26. LAW & COURTS AND COUNTY SERVICES COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO NAME SHERIFF’S OFFICE TRAINING ROOM B THE DEPUTY GRANT WHITAKER TRAINING ROOM

27. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION APPROVING THE APPOINTMENT OF SUSAN ADAMS ATTORNEY MAGISTRATE OF THE 55TH DISTRICT COURT

28. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR 9-1-1 PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CONSULTING SERVICES WITH BRENT WILLIAMS
XII. SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY

XIII. PUBLIC COMMENT

XIV. COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS

XV. CONSIDERATION AND ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS

XVI. RECESS

THE COUNTY OF INGHAM WILL PROVIDE NECESSARY REASONABLE AUXILIARY AIDS AND SERVICES, SUCH AS INTERPRETERS FOR THE HEARING IMPAIRED AND AUDIO TAPES OF PRINTED MATERIALS BEING CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING FOR THE VISUALLY IMPAIRED, FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES AT THE MEETING UPON FIVE (5) WORKING DAYS NOTICE TO THE COUNTY OF INGHAM. INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES REQUIRING AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES SHOULD CONTACT THE COUNTY OF INGHAM IN WRITING OR BY CALLING THE FOLLOWING: INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, P.O. BOX 319, MASON, MI 48854, 517-676-7200.

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES AND OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING

FULL BOARD PACKETS ARE AVAILABLE AT: www.ingham.org
CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson McGrain called the March 24, 2015 Regular Meeting of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners to order at 6:34 p.m.

Members Present at Roll Call: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Banas, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, Koenig, Maiville, McGrain, Naeyaert, Nolan, Tennis, and Tsernoglou

Member Absent: Schafer

A quorum was present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson McGrain asked Bill Conklin, Road Department Director, to lead the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

TIME FOR MEDITATION

Chairperson McGrain asked those present to remain standing for a moment of silence or prayer.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF MARCH 10, 2015

Commissioner Crenshaw moved to approve the minutes of the March 10, 2015 meeting. Commissioner Maiville seconded the motion.

The motion to approve the minutes carried unanimously. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

Chairperson McGrain stated that without objection, the following resolution would be pulled from the Agenda:

Agenda Item No. 9 - Resolution to Appoint Anthony Bumstead, David Clifford, Ronald Enger, Gilbert Barish, David Van Denbergh, David Lohr, Brian Reynolds and Greg Vaughn, as Peer Review Group Members for the 2015 Ingham County Re monumentation Project

Chairperson McGrain stated that without objection, the following substitute resolutions would be added to the Agenda:

Agenda Item No. 18 - Resolution to Engage Independent Bond Counsel

Agenda Item No. 22 - Resolution Authorizing a Contract with Therapy Dogs International and Amending the Ingham County Pet In The Workplace Policy
Chairperson McGrain stated that without objection, the following late resolutions would be added to the Agenda:

Agenda Item No. 30 - Resolution Authorizing 2015 Administrative Fund

Agenda Item No. 31 - Resolution Approving the 2015 Borrowing (2014 Delinquent Taxes)

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

A letter from Onondaga Township regarding Notice of Intent to Update the Master Plan. Placed on File.

A letter from the State Department of Environmental Quality regarding its Air Quality Division’s Pending New Source Review Application Report. Placed on File.

A letter from Edward F. Cook regarding the Ingham County Jury Board Expiration of Term of Appointment. Accepted and placed on file.

A letter from Elizabeth Kranz regarding her resignation from the Ingham County Animal Control Advisory Board. Accepted and placed on file.

A letter from Vevay Township regarding its Notice of Intent to Prepare a Master Plan. Placed on File.

PRESENTATION: ROAD DEPARTMENT UPDATE ON PROPOSAL 1

Mr. Conklin presented an update on Proposal 1.

LIMITED PUBLIC COMMENT

Tim McGuire, Michigan Association of Counties (“MAC”) Executive Director, addressed the Board of Commissioners. He thanked Commissioner Bahar-Cook, John Neilsen, Chief Deputy Controller, and Tim Dolehanty, Controller/Administrator, for their assistance in the past. Mr. McGuire stated that there would be full funding for revenue sharing in 2015 and 2016, there was a legislative fix implemented to address the issues presented by the Michigan Supreme Court’s Cunningham decision, and the MAC Conference was scheduled to take place at the Lansing Center next week.

Randy Williams addressed the Board of Commissioners in regards to Agenda Item No. 24. He disclosed that he worked for the State of Michigan, specifically the Michigan Public Safety Communications System. Mr. Williams stated that he was addressing the Board as a private citizen. He further stated that he was a volunteer radio operator for the County. Mr. Williams stated that it was not possible for Brent Williams to fully and impartially evaluate all the options for an emergency radio communications system for the time and money contemplated in the proposed resolution. Mr. Williams further stated that Allegan County released a 21-page RFP to find a consultant.

CLARIFICATION/INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

None.
CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioner Maiville moved to adopt a consent agenda consisting of all action items except Agenda Item No. 5, 24, 30, and 31. Commissioner Bahar-Cook seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

Those agenda items that were on the consent agenda were adopted by unanimous roll call vote. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

Items voted on separately are so noted in the minutes.
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ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 5

Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION HONORING MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY’S SEXUAL ASSAULT AWARENESS PROGRAM ON THE EVENT OF THEIR 35th ANNIVERSARY

RESOLUTION # 15 – 83

WHEREAS, during the 1979-80 academic school year in response to increasing reports of sexual assaults on or near campus, Michigan State University established one of the first university-based sexual assault programs in the country; and

WHEREAS, in 1979 students, Counseling Center staff, MSU officials, and other concerned citizens from the greater Lansing area began examining the need for a specialized program to serve rape survivors; and

WHEREAS, one courageous woman served as a tremendous force driving these discussions and community awareness of incidents of rape on MSU campus – Jan Leland, whose dedication and hard work helping survivors proved to be invaluable, she provided counseling to rape survivors as a volunteer, on her own accord, and helped raise community and University awareness with respect to sexual assault; and

WHEREAS, upon receiving funding to support a specialized unit to serve survivors of sexual assault, a 24-hour hotline, crisis intervention and referral, personal advocacy, individual therapy and educational outreach was established, later the program received additional support by receiving its first Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) grant, which enabled the program to see more clients and provide more services and support; and

WHEREAS, the first Registered Student Organization (RSO), MSU Sexual Assault Crisis and Safety Education Program, affiliated with the program evolved in the late 90’s, which provided student volunteers and greatly enhanced the stability of hotline and medical advocacy services to survivors, the RSO MSU Sexual Assault Crisis and Safety Education Program was renamed the MSU Sexual Assault Crisis Intervention (SACI) Team; and

WHEREAS, like all programs, the MSU Sexual Assault Program encountered its challenges, however, after 35 years in existence, the program continues to grow and become stronger.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Michigan State University’s Sexual Assault Awareness Program on the event of their 35th Anniversary.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board appreciates their dedication and the many contributions they have made to the citizens of Ingham County and students of Michigan State University.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved  3/17/15
Commissioner Celentino moved to adopt the resolution. Commissioner Crenshaw seconded the resolution.

The motion carried unanimously. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

Commissioner Tsernoglou presented the resolution to Lauren Allswede, a former Advocacy Coordinator for the Michigan State University (MSU) Sexual Assault Awareness Program.

Commissioner Tsernoglou read the resolution into the record.

Ms. Allswede thanked the community for its support. She invited all to attend the MSU Counseling Center Sexual Assault Program 35th Anniversary and Teal Ribbon Award, scheduled for Thursday, April 2 at 5:00 p.m. at the Broad Art Museum, located at 547 East Circle Drive in East Lansing.

Chairperson McGrain thanked Ms. Allswede for her service to the community.
Introduced by the County Services Committee:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS:


RESOLUTION # 15 – 84

WHEREAS, Ingham County desires to provide for the effective long-term protection and preservation of farmland and natural land in Ingham County from the pressure of increasing residential and commercial development; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners adopted the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Ordinance in July 2004 and amended it in 2010 (Resolution #10-99); and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Ordinance authorized the establishment of the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Board to oversee the Farmland and Open Space Preservation Program; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County voters passed a millage of .14 mills in 2008 to fund purchases of agricultural conservation easements through the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Program; and

WHEREAS, in the course of implementing the Ordinance, the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Board has established Selection Criteria for ranking landowner applications to the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Program; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Ordinance requires that the Farmland and Open Space Selection Criteria’s be approved by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the attached 2015 Farmland and Open Space Selection Criteria’s developed by the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Board as set forth in the Farmland and Open Space Preservation Ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the Ingham County Farmland and Open Space Preservation Board hosting a 2015 farmland and open space preservation application cycle.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville

Nays: None
Absent: Koenig
Approved 3/17/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
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(approved at the February 17, 2015 FOSP Board meeting)

Selection Criteria for Farmland Preservation Program
2015 Application Cycle

**Tier I Criteria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Characteristics</td>
<td>57 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Pressure</td>
<td>48 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Ag Protection Efforts</td>
<td>38 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AGRICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS (57 POINTS)**

1) **Agricultural Productivity – Prime and Unique Soils**
   
   Maximum Points: 20
   
   - Prime and Unique Soils
   - Prime under all circumstances: 20 points
   - Prime if adequately drained: 15 points
   - Not prime or unique: 0 points
   
   Example:
   - 70% of parcel is prime under all circumstances (0.70 x 20 pts) = 14 points
   - 30% of parcel is prime if adequately drained (0.30 x 15 pts) = 4.5 points
   - Total points = 18.5 points

2) **Size of Parcel(s)**
   
   Maximum Points: 20
   
   Points for parcels between 15 and 200 acres are calculated by multiplying 0.1 times the parcel size. Any parcel above 200 acres receives 20 points. Parcels between 15 and 39.99 acres must be in specialty crop production. Parcels that are 0-14.99 acres receive 0 points.
   
   Example:
   - Parcel size is 150 acres: 150 x 0.1 = 15
   - Parcel is 85 acres: 85 x 0.1 = 8.5
   - Parcel is 350 acres: 350 x 0.1 = 35; 20 points, the maximum possible
   - Parcel is 13 acres: (0 points for parcel less than 14.99 acres)

3) **Additional Agricultural Income**
   
   Maximum Points: 5
   
   Points will be awarded to operations that have “value-added” agriculture either through animal related production or through production of a specialty crop (crops other than corn, wheat, soybeans), or both, with total sales over $10,000.00 annually.
   
   Example:
   - Parcel is integral to farm operation that produces a specialty crop, which grosses over $15,000 annually. Total points = 5 points

4) **Proximity to Existing Livestock Farms**
   
   Maximum Points: 5
   
   A livestock operation for this purpose means a farm with more than 50 animal units (EPA definition: 1000 lbs = 1 unit)
   
   - Parcel is contiguous to an existing livestock operation: 5 points
   - Parcel is located between 0.5 miles and 1 mile of an existing livestock operation: 3 points
   - Parcel is located further than 1 mile from an existing livestock operation: 0 points
   
   *Contiguous for this section means no other parcel is located between the parcels. Parcels separated only by a road are considered contiguous.*
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5) Amount of Undeveloped* Land in the Surrounding Area

A circle with a 1 mile radius and with a centroid (center point) generated by computer is used to calculate the points in this section.

75% or more of the surrounding area is undeveloped land
7 points

50% or more but less than 75% of the surrounding area is undeveloped land
5 points

25% or more but less than 50% of the surrounding area is undeveloped land
2 points

Less than 25% of the surrounding area is undeveloped land
0 points

*For the purposes of this section, “undeveloped” means no permanent buildings, residential, commercial, industrial or otherwise.

DEVELOPMENT PRESSURE (48 POINTS)

6) Proximity to Existing Public Sanitary Sewer or Water, or Both

Linear (straight line) distance to existing, usable public sanitary sewer, or water services, or both, will result in the following scoring options:

Less than one-half (1/2) mile from sewer or water
5 points

One-half (1/2) mile or more but less than 1 mile
7 points

One (1) mile or more but less than 2 miles
10 points

Two (2) miles or more but less than 5 miles
5 points

More than 5 miles
0 points

Example: Parcel is located 3 miles from existing sewer lines. Total points = 5 points.

7) Proximity to Designated Population Center in Ingham County (As Defined in “Regional Growth: Choices For Our Future”, Summary Report, Tri-County Regional Planning Commission, September 2005

Farm boundary is 1 mile from, or within the population center
30 points

Farm boundary is within 1 to 2 miles from population center
28 points

Farm boundary is within 2 to 3 miles from population center
26 points

Farm boundary is within 3 to 4 miles from population center
24 points

Farm boundary is within 4 to 5 miles from population center
22 points

Farm boundary is more than 5 miles from population center
0 points

Example: Farm is located 2 miles from “designated population center”. Total points= 28 points

8) Road Frontage (paved or gravel)

Emphasis is placed on parcels with greater linear distance of road frontage, placing the farmland under a greater threat of fragmented development. Frontage can be gravel, paved, or both and must be adjacent to the subject parcel.

Road frontage of 5280 feet (1 mile) or more
8 points

Road frontage of 2640 feet (1/2 mile) to 5279 (just under 1 mile)
6 points

Road frontage of 1320 feet (1/4 mile) to 2639 (just under ½ mile)
4 points

Road frontage less than ¼ mile
0 point

Example: Parcel has 1 mile of road frontage. Total points = 8 points

ADDITIONAL AGRICULTURAL PROTECTION EFFORTS (38 POINTS)

9) Location to Protected Property

Parcel is near other private land which has been permanently protected from development through a conservation easement or deed restriction (development rights may have been purchased, transferred or donated). Linear distance is used from nearest farm boundary.

Parcel is adjacent to protected land
20 points
Parcel is not adjacent but within 1/2 mile of protected land 15 points
Parcel is not adjacent but within 1 mile of protected land 10 points
Parcel is not adjacent but within 2 miles of protected land 5 points
Example: Parcel is adjacent to property under a permanent conservation easement = 20 points

10) Agricultural District Zoning
Maximum Points: 3
Additional points are given to a parcel that is in a designated agricultural district.
Exclusive Agricultural District A-1: (Restricts residential development) 3 points
General Agricultural District A-2: (Rural residential zoning) 1 point
Non-Agricultural District 0 points
Example: Parcel has been designated as an exclusive agricultural district, A-1 (maximum density 1 unit per 20 acres) under current zoning. Total Points = 3 points

11) Block Applications
Maximum Points: 15
Emphasis is placed on applications which consist of one or more landowners who create a 50-acre or more block of contiguous farmland. Contiguous blocks of farmland have a greater potential for creating a long-term business environment for agriculture. Parcels included in a block application must be contiguous (touching but may be separated by a road). Each applicant in the block application will receive points for this section.
One or more landowners apply together to create 1000 or more contiguous acres 15 points
One or more landowners apply together to create 750 to 999 contiguous acres 10 points
One or more landowners apply together to create 500 to 749 contiguous acres 8 points
One or more landowners apply together to create 300 to 499 contiguous acres 6 points
Contiguous acreage of 299 acres or less 0 points
Example: Four landowners, with varying parcel acreage, submit a block-application of about 800 contiguous acres. (Each of the four landowners would receive 10 points for this section).
Note: If a parcel in a block application is preserved, the remaining landowners will continue to receive full points for this section of the scoring criteria in future cycles, provided they still wish to participate in the block application.

OTHER CRITERIA (10 POINTS)

12) Additional Agricultural Characteristics
Maximum Points: 5
Additional agricultural characteristics are USDA certified organic farm or Centennial farm.
Parcel has one or more additional agricultural features 5 points
Parcel does not have an additional agricultural feature 0 points

13) Michigan Agricultural Environmental Assurance Program (MAEAP)
Maximum Points: 5
Participation in the MAEAP demonstrates a commitment to environmental stewardship above and beyond a conservation plan. The State Agriculture Preservation Board has identified the MAEAP as a priority to providing matching funds. Farms verified under the MAEAP must show verification to receive points.
Farm is MAEAP verified 5 points
Farm is not MAEAP verified 0 points

TIER I: TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE IS 153
Selection Criteria for Open Space Land Preservation Program
2015 Application Cycle

Tier I Criteria Sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecological, scenic, geological criteria</th>
<th>56 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

A. Potential Conservation Area(s) (from the Greening Mid-Michigan Project)  
   Maximum Points: 10
   1. High Potential  
      10 points
   2. Medium Potential  
      8 points
   3. Low Potential  
      6 points
   Example: parcel fall within a Medium Potential Conservation Area = 8 points

B. Water quality values
   Maximum Points: 5
   1. Riparian land
      Property with a water frontage of 200 linear feet or greater receives 5 points. Points for a property with water
      frontage of less than 200 linear feet are:  5 x linear feet of water frontage/200 = points.
      Example: parcel has 75 feet of water frontage on the Red Cedar River: 5 x 75 = 375/200 = 1.875 points
   2. Land in the 100-year flood plain  
      Maximum Points: 8
      Property that is 100% in the flood plain receives 8 points. Points for a property with less than 100% in the flood
      plain are:  8 x percent in flood plain = points.
      Example: 20 acres of an 80 acre parcel is in the 100-year flood plain: 8 x 25/100 (20/80 = 0.25) = 200/100
      = 2 points
   3. Wetlands, including buffer area  
      Maximum Points: 4
      Property that is 100% wetland receives 4 points. Points for a property with less than 100% in wetland are:
      4 x percent in wetland = points.
      Example: 5 acres of an 40 acre parcel is wetland: 4 x 12.5/100 (5/40= 0.125) = 50/100 =0 .5 points
   4. Aquifer recharge land  
      Maximum Points: 8
      Property that is qualified by the MSU RS&GIS model as aquifer recharge land will receive points based on the
      following formula; Eight x percent aquifer recharge land = points.
      Example: 10 acres of a 20 acre parcel is aquifer recharge land: 8 x 50/100 (10/20= 0.50) = 400/100 = 4 points

C. Habitats
   Maximum Points: 5
   1. Forestland
      Property that is 100% forest land receives 5 points. Points for a property with less than 100% forest land are:
      5 x percent in forest land = points.
      Example: 15 acres of a 20 acres parcel is wooded: 5 x 75/100 (15/20 = 0.75) = 375/100 = 3.75 points
   2. Others – grassland, shrub land, etc.  
      Maximum Points: 3
      Property that is 100% in other types of natural habitat receives 3 points. Points for a property with less than
      100% in other types of habitat are:  3 x percent in other types of habitat = points.
      Example: 10 acres of a 15 acre parcel is grassland: 3 x 66/100 (10/15= 0.66) = 198/100 = 1.98 points

D. Rare species  
   Maximum Points: 10
   1. State and federal threatened and endangered species on the property
      Up to 10 points may be given depending on rarity category; the higher the rarity category the more points given.
      Example: Parcel has a Copperbelly water snake on the property: =10 points

E. Physically (geologically) significant features  
   Maximum Points: 3
   Up to 3 points may be given. Example: property has a terminal marine.
F. Parcel size  
Maximum Points: 25

Parcels of 100 acres or greater receives 25 points. Points for a property of less than 100 acres are: \( 25 \times \frac{\text{acreage of parcel}}{100} = \text{points} \).

Example: Parcel is 40 acres in size: \( 25 \times 40 = 1000/100 = 10 \) points

G. Proximity to Designated Population Center in Ingham County (As Defined in “Regional Growth: Choices For Our Future”, Summary Report, Tri-County Regional Planning Commission, Sept. 2005)  
Maximum Points: 5

| Farm boundary is 1 mile from, or within the population center | 5 points |
| Farm boundary is within 1 to 2 miles from population center | 4 points |
| Farm boundary is within 2 to 3 miles from population center | 3 points |
| Farm boundary is within 3 to 4 miles from population center | 2 points |
| Farm boundary is within 4 to 5 miles from population center | 1 points |
| Farm boundary is more than 5 miles from population center | 0 points |

Example: Parcel is located 2 miles from “designated population center”. Total points = 4 points

H. Location with respect to other protected property  
Maximum Points: 10

Permanently protected land is property with a conservation easement or a deed restriction that permanently prohibits development on the property. Linear distance is from nearest land boundaries.

| Property is adjacent to protected land | 10 points |
| Property is not adjacent but within 1/2 mile of protected land | 8 points |
| Property is not adjacent but within 1 mile of protected land | 6 points |
| Property is not adjacent but within 2 miles of protected land | 4 points |

Example: Parcel is within 1 mile of an already protected property = 6 points

I. Road frontage (paved or gravel)  
Maximum Points: 2

Road frontage of 1320 feet (1/4 mile) or greater receives 2 points. Points for road frontage of less than 1320 feet are: \( 2 \times \frac{\text{feet of road frontage}}{1320} = \text{points} \).

Example: Parcel has 500 feet of road frontage: \( 2 \times 500 = 1000/1320 = 0.76 \) points

J. Block applications

K. maximum points: 3

Properties applying in a block application must be contiguous (they may be separated by a road). Each applicant in the block application will receive the stated points.

Two or more landowners applying together and submitting 300 or more contiguous acres each receives 3 points. Points for two or more landowners submitting less than 300 acres are: \( 3 \times \frac{\text{number of contiguous acres submitted}}{300} = \text{points} \).

Example: Parcel is applying with three other landowners to make a 450 acre block of land: \( 3 \times 450 = 1350/300 = 4.5 \therefore \text{the points received are 3, the maximum} \).

Note: If only one property in a block application is preserved, the remaining landowners will continue to receive full points for this section of the scoring criteria in future cycles, provided the remaining landowners still wish to participate in the block application.

TOTAL TIER I POINTS POSSIBLE – 101

Applicants note: Landowners who accept federal, state or local matching funds to protect their open space land may be selected for the program before landowners who do not accept such funds, regardless of their relative ranking based on the above “Selection Criteria for Protection of Open Space Land”.
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ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 8

Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL AND ROUTINE PERMITS FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 15 – 85

WHEREAS, as of July 23, 2013, the Ingham County Department of Transportation and Roads became the Ingham County Road Department per Resolution #13-289; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Commission periodically approved Special and Routine permits as part of their roles and responsibilities; and

WHEREAS, this is now the responsibility of the Board of Commissioners to approve these permits as necessary.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the attached list of Special and Routine Permits dated March 4, 2015 as submitted.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 3/17/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/W PERMIT#</th>
<th>R/W APPLICANT / CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>R/W WORK</th>
<th>R/W LOCATION</th>
<th>R/W CITY/TWP.</th>
<th>R/W SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-056</td>
<td>GREENLEE MILK HAULING</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE / MILK</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-057</td>
<td>US SIGNAL COMPANY</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>OKEMOS RD &amp; KINAWA DR</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>28, 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-058</td>
<td>LANSING CHARTER TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>WATERMAIN</td>
<td>WAVERLY RD BET JOE &amp; OLD LANSING RD</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-059</td>
<td>MERIDIAN CHARTER TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>OVERHEAD BANNER</td>
<td>HAMILTON RD &amp; ARDMORE AVE</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-060</td>
<td>LBWL</td>
<td>ELECTRIC / OH</td>
<td>MICHIGAN AVE BET WAVERLY RD &amp; CLARE ST</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-061</td>
<td>SPARTAN-NET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>LAKE LANSING RD &amp; KERRY ST</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-062</td>
<td>INGHAM COUNTY DRAIN COMM</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-063</td>
<td>JACK GANTZ TRUCKING</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE/MILK</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-064</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>CACLE / UG</td>
<td>WOODLAKE DR &amp; OKEMOS RD</td>
<td>ALAIEDON</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-065</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>HOLT RD &amp; OKEMOS RD</td>
<td>ALAIEDON</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-066</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE/ OH &amp; UG</td>
<td>RABY RD &amp; OKEMOS RD</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-067</td>
<td>LBWL</td>
<td>ELECTRIC / OH</td>
<td>HAGADORN RD BET GRAND RIVER &amp; EYDE PKWY</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-070</td>
<td>MARK GEOVJIAN</td>
<td>TREE REMOVAL</td>
<td>BENTLEY ROAD &amp; BARRY ROAD</td>
<td>WILLIAMSTOWN</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 9

Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE CONVEYANCE OF AN EASEMENT
TO MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY ADJACENT TO HAGADORN ROAD
FOR A PROPOSED STATE BUILDING AUTHORITY GRANT

RESOLUTION # 15 – 86

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department has been approached by Michigan State University’s Land Management Department to facilitate securing $30 million of financial assistance from the State Building Authority for a proposed Bioengineering facility to be built on property in the southwest corner of Hagadorn Road and Service Drive; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department received the a request letter from Michigan State University (MSU) asking for a formal easement document to gain an interest in the 10 foot wide strip of land along Hagadorn Road that MSU quitclaimed to the Ingham County Road Commission in 1979 for a pathway; and

WHEREAS, conveyance of the easement is necessary in order for MSU to grant the State Building Authority access to the new facility by crossing that land.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the Hagadorn Road easement conveyance and authorizes preparation of a formal Easement Agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign the Easement Agreement and other necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None       Absent: Koenig       Approved 3/17/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION APPROVING ENTERING INTO A GRANT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS AND APPOINTING DOUGLAS A. STOVER AS COUNTY GRANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE 2015 REMONUMENTATION PROJECT

RESOLUTION # 15 – 87

WHEREAS, a grant application was submitted to the Office of Land Survey and Remonumentation of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, for the sole purpose of receiving funds to implement Ingham County’s Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, as requested, the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee did consult with and take into account the preferences and needs of local units of government, the Ingham County Road Department, local surveyors, and area real estate developers in choosing areas in which to work; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Land Survey and Remonumentation of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs has reviewed Ingham County’s 2015 Survey and Remonumentation Grant Application in the amount of $103,370, and has forwarded the 2015 Grant Agreement/Contract for execution; and

WHEREAS, as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990, a condition of receiving annual grant funds to implement the County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan is that the County appoint a County Grant Administrator.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves entering into a grant with the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs for the purpose of receiving $103,370 in grant funds for the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Project in the year 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners appoint Douglas A. Stover, Equalization Director, for the related services of County Grant Administrator as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays:  None  Absent:  Koenig  Approved  3/17/15

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays:  None  Absent:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved  3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO CONTRACT WITH RONNIE M. LESTER AS COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY MONUMENTATION AND REMONUMENTATION PROJECT IN 2015

RESOLUTION # 15 – 88

WHEREAS, Acts 345 and 346, P.A. of 1990, states that each County in the State of Michigan shall prepare a County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan was submitted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and approved by the State Survey and Remonumentation Commission on June 24, 1992; and

WHEREAS, as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990 a condition of receiving annual grant funds to implement the County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan is that the County obtain and/or contract with a professional surveyor to oversee the activities of the grant project; and

WHEREAS, Ronnie M. Lester, P.S., was selected in 1992 to be the Ingham County Representative and has since been an integral part of the implementation of the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners contract with Ronnie M. Lester, P.S., upon approval of the 2015 Grant Application by the State Monumentation and Remonumentation Commission, for the related services of County Representative as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990. Said contract to be funded by Survey and Remonumentation grant funds authorized under Act 345, P.A. 1990, for the period of one year, January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015, at a cost not to exceed $14,560.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None   Absent: Koenig   Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None   Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis   Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
RESOLUTION TO CONTRACT WITH BUMSTEAD LAND SURVEYS, ENGER SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING, GEODENTIC DESIGN, INC., DAVID R. LOHR SURVEYING, CO., REYNOLDS HERITAGE LAND SURVEYING AND MAPPING AND WOLVERINE ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, P.C., AS PROJECT SURVEYORS FOR THE 2015 INGHAM COUNTY REMONUMENTATION PROJECT

RESOLUTION # 15 – 89

WHEREAS, Acts 345 and 346, 1990, state that each County in the State of Michigan shall prepare a County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Remonumentation Plan was submitted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and approved by the State Survey and Remonumentation Commission on June 24, 1992; and

WHEREAS, six qualified surveying firms were selected through a thorough competitive process and have each proposed performing a portion of the monumentation services for 2015; and

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Purchasing Director, with the concurrence of the Remonumentation Committee, that it is in the County’s best interest to authorize contracts with Bumstead Land Surveys, Enger Surveying and Engineering, Geodetic Design, Inc., David R. Lohr Surveying, Co., Reynolds Heritage Land Surveying and Mapping and Wolverine Engineering and Surveyors, Inc. for services as monumentation surveyors for 2015.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners contracts for the services of County Project Surveyors as required by Act 345, P.A., 1990, said contracts to be funded by survey and remonumentation grant funds authorized for 2015:

Bumstead Land Surveys: $13,500
Enger Surveying and Engineering: $13,500
Geodetic Design, Inc.: $13,500
David R. Lohr Surveying, Co.: $13,500
Reynolds Heritage Land Surveying and Mapping: $13,500
Wolverine Engineering and Surveyors, Inc.: $13,500

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 13

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE PROPOSED 2015 INGHAM COUNTY BRIDGE FUNDING APPLICATIONS FOR SUBMISSION TO THE LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM MANAGER

RESOLUTION # 15 – 90

WHEREAS, federal and state funding is made available for major bridge reconstruction, rehabilitation, and preventative maintenance projects through the Local Bridge Program; and

WHEREAS, the Local Bridge Program requires an application process where “… a current resolution, signed and dated, from the governing board supporting the project” must be submitted for bridge projects to be considered for funding under this program; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department has all Ingham County road bridges inspected by a state certified bridge inspection consultant biennially, or more often, as required by federal requirements; and

WHEREAS, the state certified bridge inspection consultant recommends bridge projects for replacement, rehabilitation, and preventative maintenance, which is provided to and evaluated by Road Department staff; and

WHEREAS, Road Department staff concurs with the bridge inspection consultant’s bridge project recommendations and priorities; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Advisory Board was convened for a meeting on January 21, 2015, among other issues, to consider and advise the Board of Commissioners on projects to be submitted for federal and state Local Bridge Program funding; and

WHEREAS, upon reviewing the county bridge needs and input from Road Department staff, the County Road Advisory Board passed a motion recommending approval for submitting 2015 funding applications to address replacement, rehabilitation, and preventative maintenance needs for the following bridges:

1. Replacement of the Dietz Road Bridge over the Red Cedar River, Locke Township
2. Replacement of the Nobel Road Bridge over Deer Creek, Wheatfield Township
3. Replacement of the Gale Road Bridge over Columbia Creek, Aurelius Township
4. Rehabilitation of the Holt Road Bridge over Doan Creek, Leroy Township
5. Preventative maintenance repairs on:
   Howell Road Bridge over Doan Creek, Wheatfield and Ingham Townships
   Olds Road Bridge over the Huntoon Lake Drain, Leslie Township
   Olds Road Bridge over the Perry Creek, Leslie Township
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes Road Department staff to submit five applications for the bridges listed above for fiscal year 2018 Local Bridge Program funding.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 14

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AWARD CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS FOR
WATERBORNE PAVEMENT MARKINGS TO M&M PAVEMENT MARKINGS, INC., OF
GRAND BLANC, MICHIGAN AND COLD PLASTIC COMMON TEXT & SYMBOLS TO
P.K CONTRACTING, INC., TROY, MICHIGAN

RESOLUTION # 15 – 91

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Purchasing Department solicits unit prices annually for a vast array of
contractor applied pavement markings, on behalf of the Road Department; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department uses the bid unit prices and a estimated quantity to determine and
recommend a contractor to perform the work; and

WHEREAS, a request for proposals was issued and three responsive bids were received to provide the
contractor applied pavement markings; and

WHEREAS, M&M Pavement Marking, Inc., Grand Blanc, Michigan, submitted the lowest qualified bid to
provide Countywide Waterborne Pavement Markings for a total estimated cost of $419,375.00; and

WHEREAS, P.K Contracting, Inc., Troy, Michigan, submitted the lowest qualified bid to provide Countywide
Cold Plastic Common Text & Symbols for a total estimated cost of $19,434.75; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Purchasing and the Road Department Director of Engineering recommend that the
Board of Commissioners accept the unit price bid results for Waterborne Pavement Markings and Cold Plastic
Common Text & Symbols and authorize contracts with the responsive low bidders; and

WHEREAS, the total estimated cost for the pavement markings will be accounted for in the Road Department’s
2015 road maintenance budget after the April 2015 budget amendment effort, which will recognize projected
additional state MTF revenue not anticipated when the original 2015 Road Department budget was prepared.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a
contract with M&M Pavement Marking, Inc., Grand Blanc, Michigan, to provide Countywide Waterborne
Pavement Markings for a total estimated cost of $419,375.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a
contract with P.K Contracting, Inc., Troy, Michigan, to provide Countywide Cold Plastic Common Text &
Symbols for a total estimated cost of $19,434.75.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board
Chairperson to sign any necessary agreements that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form
by the County Attorney.
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
          Nays:  None  Absent:  Koenig  Approved  3/17/15

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
          Nays:  None  Absent:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved  3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 15

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

LINGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE FUNDING FOR LOW INCOME TAX PREPARATION

RESOLUTION # 15 – 92

WHEREAS, the Asset Independence Coalition (AIC), under the umbrella of the Power of We Consortium (Ingham County Human Services Collaborative), coordinates a Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) income tax preparation program for low and moderate income citizens; and

WHEREAS, the group has successfully assisted thousands of low and moderate income taxpayers and helped achieve refunds over several million dollars, including substantial amounts from the Earned Income Tax Credit; and

WHEREAS, these efforts continue to need a coordinator position hosted and administered by the United Way; and

WHEREAS, the request for this base funding is being made to the Counties of Clinton, Eaton and Ingham and the City of Lansing; and

WHEREAS, these efforts assist the economic condition of low and moderate income citizens and income tax refunds to this group of citizens facilitates payment of delinquent property taxes owed to Ingham County.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Commissioners authorizes funding for the AIC’s VITA coordination efforts of $12,000 from the Delinquent Tax Administration fund (516-25601).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Controller/Administrator is directed to make all necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution to strengthen the capacity within Ingham County for low and moderate income tax preparation assistance and asset building.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board Chairperson is authorized to sign any necessary contracts upon approval as to form by the County Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution shall be forwarded to all local taxing authorities in Ingham County.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsermoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.

Page 23 of 69
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING INGHAM COUNTY LAND BANK TO JOIN INGHAM COUNTY’S SELF-INSURED DENTAL AND VISION PLAN FOR THE PURCHASE OF DENTAL AND VISION INSURANCE COVERAGE FOR LAND BANK EMPLOYEES

RESOLUTION # 15 – 93

WHEREAS, Ingham County has a self-insured dental and vision plan through which County-affiliated entities may purchase dental and vision insurance for their employees; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Land Bank Fast Track Authority desires to join Ingham County’s self-insured dental and vision plans in order to purchase dental and vision insurance coverage for its employees; and

WHEREAS, the Land Bank Board has adopted a resolution approving the Land Bank joining Ingham County’s self-insured dental and vision plans and requesting that the Board of Commissioners authorize the same.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Ingham County Land Bank Fast Track Authority to join Ingham County’s self-insured dental and vision plans in order to purchase dental and vision insurance coverage for its employees.

COUNTY SERVICES: YEAS: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: YEAS: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 17

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF A REPLACEMENT DELL BLADE CHASSIS SYSTEM FOR THE VIRTUAL SERVER ENVIRONMENT

RESOLUTION # 15 – 94

WHEREAS, the current virtual server environment is 6 plus years old; and

WHEREAS, the Innovation and Technology Department has been working with Dell to design a solution that meets the needs of Ingham County’s network; and

WHEREAS, Dell is offering to provide Ingham County with a blade server chassis with two Blade Servers and network switches at no cost; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County server environment has high requirements for redundancy and robustness when it comes to the County virtual servers and storage environment; and

WHEREAS, due to these requirements the Innovation and Technology Department recommends purchasing an additional server, two additional switches, and additional memory; and

WHEREAS, the Chief Information Officer for Ingham County recommends purchasing additional equipment and installation services for a total not to exceed cost of $75,000; and

WHEREAS, in the 2015 budgeting cycle the Innovation and Technology Department budgeted and was approved for $70,000 in server equipment and $30,000 in network equipment in the IT Network Data Center account 63625810-932032.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the acceptance of a Dell Server Chassis, two servers, and switches at no cost from Dell.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the purchase of additional servers, memory and networking equipment at a cost not to exceed $75,000 from the IT Network Data Center account 63625810-932032.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments related to this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any contract or purchase order documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.
COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
   Nays:  None   Absent:  Koenig   Approved  3/17/15

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Anthony,  Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
    Nays:  None   Absent:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis   Approved  3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 18

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO ENGAGE INDEPENDENT BOND COUNSEL

RESOLUTION # 15 – 95

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Drain Commissioner has made known his intention to seek a pledge of the full faith and credit of Ingham County in support of the $12 million Groesbeck Park Drain project; and

WHEREAS, if full faith and credit were pledged to the drain project, Ingham County would assume a significant financial obligation if there was a default in the payment of assessments; and

WHEREAS, a review of the Groesbeck Park Drain project is necessary in order for Ingham County to determine and fully understand the level of risk attached to a pledge of full faith and credit.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners directs its Controller/Administrator to engage the firm of Dickinson Wright as independent bond counsel on behalf of Ingham County to provide the services described below for a sum not to exceed $10,000.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the independent bond counsel be directed as follows:

1. To determine the risks to Ingham County in the event of a default by Lansing Township and/or the Drainage District in the payment of its annual drain assessments, which may involve an analysis of the Township’s finances.

2. To outline the various financing options for the Groesbeck Park Drain project that may not involve a pledge of full faith and credit of Ingham County or could otherwise protect the County from this potential significant liability if it were to pledge its full faith and credit.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
                      Nays:  None  Absent:  Koenig  Approved  3/17/15

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
                      Nays:  None  Absent:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved  3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 19

Introduced by County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGLEHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT TO LAUX CONSTRUCTION TO BUILD A NEW RED PANDA EXHIBIT

RESOLUTION # 15 – 96

WHEREAS, Potter Park Zoo has made moving the Red Panda Exhibit the highest priority Capital Improvement Project item in order to remain a full participating member of the SSP managed by the Association of Zoos & Aquariums (AZA); and

WHEREAS after careful review of bids, the Purchasing and Facilities Departments both agree that a contract be awarded to Laux Construction for the construction of the new Red Panda Exhibit at a cost not to exceed $101,200.00; and

WHEREAS, a private donor has committed a total of $30,000.00 with a remaining balance of $22,500.00 to support the Red Panda Exhibit project; and

WHEREAS, the approved CIP line item #258-69900-977000-1411Z for the Red Panda Exhibit has a balance of $44,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the Zoo would like to request a line item transfer of the remaining balances from each of the following funds totaling $63,000.00 to the Red Panda Project, line item #258-69900-977000-1411Z:

- $5,733 from Security Cameras/Wireless Internet, line item #258-69900-977000-1108Z
- $6,000 from Storage Building Holding Cages, line item #258-69900-977000-1304Z
- $5,000 from Vestibules, line item #258-69900-977000-1407Z
- $25,030 from Lions Outside Exhibit, line item #258-69900-977000-1410Z
- $4,284 from Garden Program, line item #258-69900-977000-1008Z
- $2,000 from Tiger Training Chute, line item #258-69900-977000-1303Z
- $1,800 from Yak Yard Fence, line item #258-69900-977000-1307Z
- $3,248 from Landscaping Upgrades, line item #258-69900-977000-1308Z
- $3,619 from Fencing Upgrades, line item #258-69900-977000-1309Z
- $1,362 from Cat Holding Repairs, line item #258-69900-977000-1401Z
- $3,032 from Mandrill Restraints, line item #258-69900-977000-1404Z
- $1,892 from Rhino Wallows, line item #258-69900-977000-1406Z

WHEREAS, once the above transfers are complete, the funds for this project will be available in the approved CIP line item #258-69900-977000-1411Z, which will have a balance of $107,000.
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes awarding a contract to Laux Construction, 4218 Charlar Drive, Holt, MI 48842, to construct the new Red Panda Exhibit for a cost not to exceed $107,000.00, which includes a $5,800.00 contingency.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Controller is authorized to transfer a total of $63,000.00 from the above mentioned accounts into the approved Red Panda Exhibit CIP # 258-69900-977000-1411Z bringing the total balance in that account to $107,000.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None   Absent: Koenig   Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None   Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
Introduced by the Human Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTIONS #80-336 AND #99-225 TO RESTRUCTURE THE INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH

RESOLUTION # 15 – 97

WHEREAS, passage of the Public Health Code (P.A. 368 of 1978) made the continuation of single County boards of healths discretionary and placed statutory responsibility for public health programs with county boards of commissioners; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #80-336 expanded and restructured the role of the Ingham County Board of Health, changing the composition of the Board of Health to require that no less than 50% of the members shall be health care consumers, with the remaining members being health care providers; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners feel it is no longer necessary to differentiate between health care consumers and health care providers on the Board of Health.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby amend Resolutions #80-336 and #99-225 to remove the designation of health care consumers and health care providers from the membership of the Board of Health.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas: Tennis, Nolan, Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert

Nays: None

Absent: None

Approved 3/16/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
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AGENDA ITEM NO. 21

Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT AGREEMENT WITH CIESA DESIGN, INC.

RESOLUTION # 15 – 98

WHEREAS, the Health Department’s current logo is 20 years old and features historical figures that are neither reflective of, nor inclusive of, current county residents; and

WHEREAS, the historical nature of the logo fails to suggest a modern health department; and

WHEREAS, the current logo does not embody the Health Department’s core values; and

WHEREAS, the redesign of the County’s website and migration of the Health Department’s site to the new format presents an opportunity to rebrand the Health Department; and

WHEREAS, funds are available in the Health Department’s budget to support these activities.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a contract agreement with Ciesa Design, Inc. for up to $7,000 for the development of a new logo and integrated graphic identity for the period of March 25, 2015 through July 31, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Controller/Administrator is authorized to transfer up to $7,000 from the Community Contracting Budget (221-60025-992111-01060) to Health Department Administration Contractual Services (221-60010-818000-01010) and a corresponding transfer of General Fund revenue from the Community Contracting Budget (221-60025-699000-01060) to the Health Department General Fund (221-60010-699000-01010).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board Chairperson is authorized to sign the contract after review by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas:  Tennis, Nolan, Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 3/16/15

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert  
Nays:  None  Absent:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT WITH THERAPY DOGS INTERNATIONAL AND AMENDING THE INGHAM COUNTY PET IN THE WORKPLACE POLICY

RESOLUTION # 15 – 99

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division manages the Youth Center, a detention facility for juveniles under the jurisdiction of the Court; and

WHEREAS, there is a great deal of research surrounding the benefits of a therapy dog in similar environments, including providing the youth comfort, companionship, and a calming effect as well as serving as a reward and incentive; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division would like to invite a therapy dog from Therapy Dogs International and its handler to come to the Youth Center from one to 3 times per week to visit with the juveniles; and

WHEREAS, there is no cost to the County for using a therapy dog from Therapy Dogs International; and

WHEREAS, dogs from Therapy Dogs International must be trained and certified in order to act as a therapy dog.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a no cost Memorandum of Understanding with Therapy Dogs International to provide therapeutic services to residents of the Ingham County Youth Center and other appropriate Court programming effective the date of the passage of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners waives the customary insurance requirements for this no cost contract.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolution #09-373 is hereby amended to allow for certified therapy dogs in Ingham County facilities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign a Memorandum of Understanding consistent with this resolution upon approval as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas:  Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope
Nays:  None  Absent:  Crenshaw, Schafer  None  Approved  3/12/15

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
RESOLUTION APPROVING THE LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, CAPITAL CITY LODGE NO. 141 – CORRECTIONS UNIT REGARDING VACATION MAXIMUM ACCUMULATION

RESOLUTION # 15 – 100

WHEREAS, a collective bargaining agreement had been reached between representatives of Ingham County and the Fraternal Order of Police (FOP), Capital City Lodge No. 141 – Corrections Unit for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the parties are actively engaged in negotiations for a successor agreement; and

WHEREAS, the parties wish to amend the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the parties have discussed the need for a temporary increase in the maximum vacation accumulation and the payment of hours in excess of the maximum vacation accumulation due to current staffing shortages; and

WHEREAS, the parties have prepared the attached Letter of Understanding between Ingham County and the FOP - Corrections Unit; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of the Letter of Understanding have been approved by the Law and Courts, County Services and Finance Committees.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the attached Letter of Understanding with respect to the temporary increase in maximum vacation accrual and payment of vacation hours in excess of the maximum accrual between Ingham County and the FOP - Corrections Unit.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign the Letter of Understanding on behalf of the County, subject to the approval as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope
Nays: None Absent: Crenshaw, Schafer None Approved 3/12/15

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN COUNTY OF INGHAM, INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF, AND
CAPITOL CITY LODGE #141 FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE
CORRECTIONS NON-SUPERVISORY UNIT

INCREASE IN MAXIMUM VACATION ACCUMULATION

WHEREAS, the current collective bargaining agreement between the parties provides in Article 32, Labor Relations, Section 32b that annual leave days not used may only be accumulated to a maximum of 300 hours or 320 hours with the written approval of the Undersheriff; and

WHEREAS, due to the current staffing shortages presently existing in the Sheriff’s Office the parties established a temporary expanded maximum vacation (annual leave) accumulation of 380 hours through June 30, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the parties determined a need to extend the expanded maximum accumulation through December 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, Corrections Officers will continue to accrue vacation (annual leave) above the 380 hour maximum; and

WHEREAS, the parties have come to agreement on the expanded maximum accumulation and the payment of hours accrued after the 380 hour maximum accumulation.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED between the parties as follows:

1. The annual maximum vacation accumulation shall be increased to 380 hours without the requirement for a written approval of the Undersheriff through December 31, 2015.
2. Corrections Officers will receive cash out of vacation accrued after or at 380 hours, effective on the pay period beginning April 4, 2015.
3. Vacation hours accrued, which exceed 380 hours during the current pay period and payroll which occur prior to April 4, 2014, will be paid out in the payroll ending April 17, 2015.
4. This Letter of Understanding will be effective through December 31, 2015, at which time the temporary increase in maximum leave accumulation and the cash out of hours accrued after the maximum shall expire. However, accumulations which exceed 320 hours as of December 31, 2015, shall be grandfathered until such time as the usage of such excess accumulation brings the employee’s accumulations into compliance.

COUNTY OF INGHAM

Brian McGrain, Chairperson

FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE

Steven T. Lett, Attorney

SHERIFF OF INGHAM COUNTY

Sheriff Gene Wriggelsworth

Tom Krug, Executive Director

Jack Bonner

Page 34 of 69
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

REFERRED BACK TO LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 24

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR 9-1-1 PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CONSULTING SERVICES WITH BRENT WILLIAMS

RESOLUTION # 15 –

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners operates a 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System used by all Ingham County Public Safety Agencies; and

WHEREAS, the system went live in 2006 and will need to be upgraded or replaced as it approaches end of life; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has asked for input from the 9-1-1 Advisory Board and Ingham County Public Safety Agencies on the best way to move forward with the 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System; and

WHEREAS, Brent Williams has been identified as an independent consultant with expertise and direct experience working with both the Harris Inc. EDACS trunked-simulcast radio systems and Michigan Public Safety Communications System/ Motorola 800 MHz digital trunked radio system; and

WHEREAS, Brent Williams is willing to provide consulting services to Ingham County to conduct an evaluation as to the pros and cons of the various County options for the future of the 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System; and

WHEREAS, the 9-1-1 Advisory Board is recommending that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hire Brent Williams to assist the County in this critical decision point.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a contract/purchase order for radio communications consulting services with Brent Williams to evaluate options for the future direction of the 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System, at a cost not to exceed $3,200 from the 9-1-1 Emergency Telephone Dispatch Services - 911 fund balance to be completed within sixty days of the signing of the contract/purchase order.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any contract/purchase order documents as prepared by or approved as to form by the County Attorney consistent with this resolution.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas: Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope
Nays: None  Absent: Crenshaw, Schafer  None  Approved 3/12/15

FINANCE:  Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

**Nays:** None  **Absent:** Bahar-Cook, Tennis  **Approved 3/18/15**

Commissioner Tsernoglou moved to adopt the resolution. Commissioner Koenig seconded the motion.

Commissioner Celentino stated that he was a commissioner in the early 2000’s during the debate over what 9-1-1 public safety radio communication system to purchase. He further stated that he was not pleased with the product that was eventually chosen. Commissioner Celentino stated he wanted to hear from Mr. Williams before voting on a resolution such as this.

Commissioner Celentino moved to refer the resolution back to the Law & Courts Committee. Commissioner Hope seconded the motion.

Commissioner Nolan stated that she supported the motion to refer the resolution back to the Law & Courts Committee. She further stated that this contract was for $3,200 and it did not need to go out for bid because it was beneath the $5,000 threshold. Commissioner Nolan stated that due the size of the project, the Board of Commissioners needed to go back to the drawing board and also consider contacting Allegan County in regards to their RFP.

Commissioner Nolan complimented the system that was in place for preserving the minutes of the Board of Commissioners.

Commissioner Nolan read from the March 5, 2003 Finance Committee meeting minutes, stating:

“Mr. Neilsen stated that the resolution supports the 9-1-1 Advisory Committee recommendation for a new radio system. The resolution also authorizes the negotiation of a contact with MayCom.”

Commissioner Nolan stated that Brent Williams was present at the March 5, 2003 Finance Committee meeting. She further stated that she had the organizational chart from 2003 and that Mr. Williams worked for Mike Leaf. Commissioner Nolan stated that Mr. Leaf and Mr. Williams worked for MayCom. She further stated that Maycom was now a part of the Harris system. Commissioner Nolan stated that Mr. Williams was part of the team that set the County’s current system up.

Commissioner Bahar-Cook stated that she supported the motion to refer the resolution back to the Law & Courts Committee. She asked that the Law & Courts Committee to consider whether this project needs to go out for a Request for Proposals (“RFP”) given the breadth of the project.

Commissioner Tsernoglou stated that Mr. Neilsen had provided information to the Law & Courts Committee when this resolution was going through the committee process. She further stated that Mr. Neilsen had stated that Mr. Williams had worked for both the Harris system and the state system. Commissioner Tsernoglou asked that Mr. Neilsen clarify this information before the Board of Commissioners.

Mr. Neilsen addressed the Board of Commissioners. He stated that Mr. Leaf was an independent consultant who assisted the County evaluate which system to purchase in the early 2000’s. Mr. Neilsen stated that Mr. Leaf never worked for the Harris Corporation. He further stated that they had three vendors at the time, including MayCom (Harris) and Motorola. Mr. Neilsen stated that Mr. Williams was hired by the County and Mr. Leaf to obtain frequencies. He further stated that he would reach out to Mr. Williams and invite him to attend the April 2, 2015 Law & Courts Committee meeting. Mr. Neilsen stated that this resolution was intended to begin the analysis of where the County’s public safety was at currently and where the County would want to go in the
future. He further stated that it had always been his intent to recommend a full RFP process. Mr. Neilsen stated that this resolution was the result of a joint recommendation by the 9-1-1 Advisory Committee and Mr. Neilsen.

Commissioner Celentino stated that he wanted all the commissioners to have a thorough understanding of the issues.

The motion to refer the resolution back to the Law & Courts Committee carried. **Yeas:** Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Banas, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, Koenig, Maiville, Naeyaert, Nolan, Tennis, Tsernoglou **Nay:** McGrain  
**Absent:** Schafer
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ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 25

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO EXTEND THE CANTEEN SERVICES, INC.
INMATE COMMISSARY CONTRACT FOR TWO YEARS

RESOLUTION # 15 – 101

WHEREAS, the Sheriff’s Office has devoted extensive efforts to establish and ensure that the jail commissary operates effectively; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff’s Office has been pleased with the current contractual relationship with Canteen Services, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, this base contract expires February 28, 2015 and the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office recommends that the Board of Commissioners exercise two one year options to extend the agreement from March 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017, under the same terms and conditions of the base contract; and

WHEREAS, this contract provides for a commissary sales commission of 40%; and

WHEREAS, this contract provides for Canteen Services, Inc. to continue to provide and maintain two inmate money deposit kiosks; and

WHEREAS, Canteen Services, Inc. will continue to charge $4.00 for each kiosk transaction with $2.00 returned to the Inmate Commissary fund; and

WHEREAS, Canteen Services, Inc. will assume the risk of inflation without a price increase for two (2) years; and

WHEREAS, Canteen Services, Inc. will provide the interface to partner with Securus Technologies to provide the Ingham County Jail with an Automated Information Service and Debit phone time.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes exercising two one year options to extend the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office Commissary Services contract under the same terms and conditions with Canteen Services, Inc. from March 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents to extend the current contract, subject to approval as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope
Nays: None  Absent: Crenshaw, Schafer  None  Approved 3/12/15
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FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsermoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
      Nays: None    Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis   Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
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ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 26

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO EXTEND THE CONTRACT WITH CANTEEN SERVICES, INC. TO MANAGE THE KITCHEN AND LAUNDRY SERVICES AT THE INGHAM COUNTY CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

RESOLUTION # 15 – 102

WHEREAS, in 2014 the Ingham County Correctional Facility had an average daily population of 608 inmates, the current rated capacity is 601 inmates; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff’s Office has been pleased with Canteen Services’ management of kitchen and laundry services under their current contract; and

WHEREAS, this base contract expires February 28, 2015 and the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office recommends that the Board of Commissioners exercise two one year options to extend the agreement from March 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017, under the same terms and conditions of the base contract; and

WHEREAS, Canteen Services, Inc. has been responsible for feeding all inmates housed within the facility, providing medical meals for inmates who have dietary needs as stated by a doctor; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 the Ingham County Correctional Facility kitchen staff served 719,648 meals; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 the Ingham County Correctional Facility Kitchen Supervisor was responsible for washing the clothing in over 140,000 inmate bags; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 the Ingham County Correctional Facility Kitchen Supervisor was responsible for training and supervising approximately 20 workers for kitchen and laundry duties every month or sooner.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes exercising two one year options to extend the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office contract for the management of kitchen and laundry operations at the Ingham County Correctional Facility under the same terms and conditions with Canteen Services, Inc. from March 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017 with the per meal cost based on the census of the Jail’s population as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Census</th>
<th>Per Meal Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 649</td>
<td>$ .98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650 – 674</td>
<td>$ .97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>675 and above</td>
<td>$ .96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents to extend the current contract, subject to approval as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope
   Nays: None  Absent: Crenshaw, Schafer  None  Approved 3/12/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None  Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A CONTINGENCY FUND APPROPRIATION FOR THE OASIS SUPERVISED VISITATION AND SAFE EXCHANGE CENTER

RESOLUTION # 15 – 103

WHEREAS, in 2008 the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice awarded to Ingham County a $350,745 “Safe Haven” grant, for purposes of providing supervised visitation and safe visitation exchange services; and

WHEREAS, this funding has been used to establish the Oasis Family Center for Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange, located at 5656 South Cedar Street in Lansing; and

WHEREAS, the establishment and opening of the Oasis Family Center in 2012 was accomplished with tremendous time and effort on the part of Ingham County Friend of the Court staff and its community collaborators, End Violent Encounters (EVE), Inc., and MSU Chance at Childhood; and

WHEREAS, the need for Oasis Family Center clearly exists in our community, as is evidenced by the fact that the center has seen an increase in usage since opening its doors in 2012, as well as the large number of Friend of the Court cases with minor dependent children and a family violence component; and

WHEREAS, it is projected that federal funds used to cover the cost of running Oasis Family Center, approximately $8,000 per month, will be exhausted by the end of April; and

WHEREAS, an application was made through EVE for a $500,000 three-year federal Justice For Families grant to continue to fund Oasis Family Center; and

WHEREAS, federal acceptance of this application will not be known until later this year; and

WHEREAS, closing the Oasis Family Center for the period between the two grants may damage its long-term viability as there is no guarantee the same staff, equipment and space would be available if the center was re-opened; and

WHEREAS, at their February 20, 2015 meeting, the Oasis Center Board of Directors committed to long-term partnership and collaboration with the Ingham County Friend of the Court by granting a permanent fee waiver for all Ingham FOC referrals.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a $40,000 contingency fund appropriation to cover the cost of keeping the Oasis Family Center open through the Friend of the Court fiscal year ending September 30, 2015.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this request is tied to and contingent upon passage of the Oasis Family Center Lease Extension Resolution for the period April 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments to the Circuit Court Family Division, Friend of the Court FY 2015 budget in accordance with this resolution.

**LAW & COURTS: Yeas:** Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope  
**Nays: None**  
**Absent:** Crenshaw, Schafer  
**Approved 3/12/15**

**FINANCE: Yeas:** Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays: None**  
**Absent:** Bahar-Cook, Tennis  
**Approved 3/18/15**

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
WHEREAS, the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice has awarded to Ingham County a $350,745 “Safe Haven” grant, for purposes of providing supervised visitation and safe visitation exchange services; and

WHEREAS, the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice award approved by the Board of Commissioners (Resolutions #08-286, #09-399, #12-020 and #14-243) was extended until March 31, 2015 by the Office on Violence Against Women; and

WHEREAS, the grant from the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice requires obtaining office space for a supervised visitation center; and

WHEREAS, the Safe Haven Grant Consulting Committee identified space, and the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice, approved the space recommended by the consulting committee at 5656 South Cedar Street (known as the Cedar Point Building) in Lansing; and

WHEREAS, by prior Resolutions (#12-021, #13-423 and #14-421), the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorized the initial lease agreement and subsequent extension to March 31, 2015 with JWJ Company, LLC (n/k/a Cedar IV LLC) for 2,087 square feet of rental space at the building known as Cedar Point; and

WHEREAS, the Landlord, CEDAR IV, LLC has agreed to extend the lease for the term of six months, commencing April 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a lease amendment extension to the agreement with JWJ Company, LLC (n/k/a Cedar IV LLC) at 5656 South Cedar Street, Lansing, Michigan in the building known as Cedar Point.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the terms of the lease amendment extension shall be from April 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015 at the rate of $2,300.00 per month.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this extension is tied to and contingent upon passage of the Oasis Center Contingency Fund Appropriation Resolution for the period April 1, 2015 through September 30, 2015, in the amount of $40,000.00.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments to the Circuit Court Family Division, Friend of the Court FY 2015 budget in accordance with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any contract/grant documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

**LAW & COURTS:**
**Yeas:** Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope
**Nays:** None  **Absent:** Crenshaw, Schafer  None  **Approved 3/12/15**

**FINANCE: Yeas:** Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
**Nays:** None  **Absent:** Bahar-Cook, Tennis  **Approved 3/18/15**

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
WHEREAS, the State of Michigan is coordinating a regional flight of Ingham, Eaton, and Clinton Counties with Tri-County Regional Planning Commission order to produce aerial imagery; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #14-483 authorized participation in this regional project for Ingham County and payment for 12 inch pixel, true color, leaf off digital orthoimagery; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County 911, Drain Commission, Environmental Health, and Road Department have requested to upgrade to 6 inch ortho photography; and

WHEREAS, the additional $32,800 cost will be divided among the four departments requesting the 6 inch ortho resolution; and

WHEREAS, each department will pay $8,200.00 for the additional cost of the 6 inch ortho resolution.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes participation in the 2015 Tri-County Regional Planning Commission digital aerial imagery project with the upgrade from 12 inch otho photography to 6 inch ortho photography as requested by Environmental Health, the Drain Commission, the Road Department, and Ingham County 911.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, each department listed below will pay for this upgrade in the amount of $8,200.00 and funds for this project will come from the department’s operating budgets as follows:

- Environmental Health - 22160200-818000-03043
- Drain Commission - 639-27500-802000
- Road Department – 201-44700-700001
- Ingham County 911 - 26132500-818000 (911 funding will be transferred from their fund balance)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign the necessary agreements with Tri-County Regional Planning Commission and the State of Michigan, after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the budget adjustments and transfers contemplated by the resolution.
LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Tsernoglou, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope
Nays: None  Absent: Crenshaw, Schafer  None  Approved 3/12/15

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: Tennis, Nolan, Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 3/16/15

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 3/17/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis  Approved 3/18/15

Adopted as part of the consent agenda.
Introduced by the Finance Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING 2015 ADMINISTRATIVE FUND

RESOLUTION # 15 – 106

A regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham, Michigan (the "County"), was held in Mason, Michigan, on March 24, 2015. The following Commissioners were present:

PRESENT: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Banas, Case-Naeyaert, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, Koenig, Maiville, McGrain, Nolan, Tennis, and Tsernoglou

ABSENT: Schafer

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING 2015 ADMINISTRATIVE FUND

IT IS RESOLVED BY THE INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AS FOLLOWS:

The County Treasurer, pursuant to Section 87c, Subsection (2), of Act 206, is designated as Agent for the County, and the Treasurer’s office shall receive all such sums as are provided in Section 87c, Subsection (3), to cover administrative expenses so long as Treasurer waives right to receive such sums as would be payable to his under Section 87c, Subsection (3).

Discussion followed. A vote was thereupon taken on the foregoing resolution and was as follows:

AYES: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Banas, Case-Naeyaert, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, Koenig, Maiville, McGrain, Nolan, Tennis, and Tsernoglou

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

A sufficient majority having voted therefor, the resolution appearing above was adopted.
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STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF INGHAM

I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of the resolution adopted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners, that such resolution was duly adopted at a regular meeting held on the 24th day of March, 2015, and that notice of such meeting was given as required by law.

Barb Byrum
Ingham County Clerk

[SEAL]

elk.GR-ING2015.doc

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: NoneAbsent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis Approved 3/18/15

Commissioner Anthony moved to adopt the resolution. Commissioner Celentino seconded the motion.

The motion carried by a unanimous roll call vote. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.
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ADOPTED - MARCH 24, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 31

Introduced by the Finance Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

2015 BORROWING RESOLUTION
(2014 DELINQUENT TAXES)

RESOLUTION # 15 – 107

A regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham, Michigan (the "County"), was held in Mason, Michigan, on March 24, 2015. The following Commissioners were present:

Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Banas, Case-Neyaert, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, Koenig, Maiville, McGrain, Nolan, Tennis, and Tsernoglou

Absent: Schafer

The preambles and resolution set forth below were offered by Commissioner Anthony and were seconded by Commissioner Maiville.

2015 BORROWING RESOLUTION
(2014 DELINQUENT TAXES)

WHEREAS, ad valorem real property taxes are imposed by the County and the local taxing units within the County on July 1 and/or December 1 of each year; and

WHEREAS, a certain portion of these taxes remain unpaid and uncollected on March 1 of the year following assessment, at which time they are returned delinquent to the County's treasurer (the "Treasurer"); and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer is bound to collect all delinquent taxes, interest and property tax administration fees which would otherwise be payable to the local taxing units within the County; and

WHEREAS, the statutes of the State of Michigan authorize the County to establish a fund, in whole or in part from borrowed proceeds, to pay local taxing units within the County their respective shares of delinquent ad valorem real property taxes in anticipation of the collection of those taxes by the Treasurer; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners (the "Board") has adopted a resolution authorizing the County's Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund (the "Revolving Fund Program"), pursuant to Section 87b of Act No. 206, Michigan Public Acts of 1893, as amended ("Act 206"); and

WHEREAS, such fund has been established to provide a source of monies from which the Treasurer may pay any or all delinquent ad valorem real property taxes which are due the County, and any city, township, school district, intermediate school district, community college district, special assessment district, drainage district, or other political unit within the geographical boundaries of the County participating in the County's Revolving Fund Program pursuant to Act 206 ("local units"); and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer is authorized under Act 206, and has been directed by the Board, to make such payments with respect to delinquent ad valorem real property taxes (including the property tax administration fees assessed under subsection (6) of Section 44 of Act 206) owed in 2014 to the County and the
local units (collectively, the "taxing units") which will have remained unpaid on March 1, 2015 and the Treasurer is authorized to pledge these amounts in addition to any amounts not already pledged for repayment of prior series of notes (or after such prior series of notes are retired as a secondary pledge) all as the Treasurer shall specify in an order when the notes authorized hereunder are issued (the "Delinquent Taxes"); and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that in order to raise sufficient monies to adequately fund the Revolving Fund, the County must issue its General Obligation Limited Tax Notes, Series 2015 in one or more series, in accordance with Sections 87c, 87d, 87g and 89 of Act 206 and on the terms and conditions set forth below.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED BY THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

101. Establishment of 2015 Revolving Fund. In order to implement the continuation of the Revolving Fund Program and in accordance with Act 206, the County hereby establishes a 2015 Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund (the "Revolving Fund") as a separate and segregated fund within the existing Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund of the County previously established by the Board pursuant to Section 87b of Act 206.

102. Issuance of Notes. The County shall issue its General Obligation Limited Tax Notes, Series 2015 in one or more series (the "Notes"), in accordance with this Resolution and Sections 87c, 87d, 87g and 89 of Act 206, payable in whole or in part from the Delinquent Taxes and/or from the other sources specified below.

103. Aggregate Amount of Notes.

(a) The Notes shall be issued in an aggregate amount to be determined in accordance with this Section by the Treasurer.

(b) The aggregate amount of the Notes shall not be less than the amount by which the actual or estimated Delinquent Taxes exceeds (i) the County's participating share of Delinquent Taxes, and (ii) any sums otherwise available to fund the Tax Payment Account established under Section 702 (including any monies held in respect of Section 704(c)).

(c) The aggregate amount of the Notes shall not be greater than the sum of (i) the actual amount of the Delinquent Taxes pledged to the payment of debt service on the Notes, plus (ii) the amount determined by the Treasurer to be allocated to a reserve fund. Original proceeds of the Notes devoted to a reserve fund shall not exceed the lesser of (A) the amount reasonably required for those of the Notes secured by the reserve fund, (B) 10% of the proceeds of such Notes, (C) the maximum amount of annual debt service on such Notes, or (D) 125% of average annual debt service on such Notes.

(d) The aggregate amount of the Notes shall be designated by the Treasurer by written order after (i) the amount of the Delinquent Taxes, or the amount of Delinquent Taxes to be funded by the issuance of the Notes, has been estimated or determined, and (ii) the amount of the reasonably required reserve fund has been calculated. Delinquent Taxes shall be estimated based on delinquencies experienced during the past three fiscal years and on demographic and economic data relevant to the current tax year, and shall be determined based on certification from each of the taxing units. The amount of the reasonably required reserve fund shall be calculated pursuant to such analyses and certificates as the Treasurer may request.

104. Proceeds. If the Notes are issued and sold before the Treasurer has received certification from the taxing units of the amount of the Delinquent Taxes and if such certification is not reasonably anticipated to occur to allow distribution of the proceeds of the Notes within 20 days after the date of issue, the proceeds of the Notes shall be deposited in the County's 2015 Delinquent Tax Project Account and thereafter used to fund the whole or a part of the County's 2015 Tax Payment Account, 2015 Note Reserve Account and/or 2015 Note
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Payment Account, subject to and in accordance with Article VII. If the Notes are issued and sold on or after such time, the proceeds of the Notes shall be deposited directly into the County's 2015 Tax Payment Account, 2015 Note Reserve Account and/or 2015 Note Payment Account, as provided in Article VII.

105. Treasurer's Order Authorizing Notes and Establishing Delinquent Taxes. At or prior to the time any Notes are issued pursuant to this resolution, the Treasurer, as authorized by Act 206, may issue a written order specifying the amount and character of the Delinquent Taxes, the Article or Articles under which the Notes are being issued and any other matters subject to the Treasurers control under either this resolution or Act 206.

II. FIXED MATURITY NOTES

201. Authority. At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, the Notes may be issued in accordance with this Article II. All reference to "Notes" in Article II refers only to Notes issued pursuant to Article II, unless otherwise specified.

202. Date. The Notes shall be dated as of the date of issue or as of such earlier date specified by written order of the Treasurer.

203. Maturity and Amounts. Notes issued pursuant to this Article II shall be structured in accordance with subsections (a) or (b) below as determined by the Treasurer pursuant to written order.

   (a) The first maturity of the Notes or of a series of the Notes shall be determined by the Treasurer pursuant to written order, but shall not be later than four years after the date of issue. Later maturities of the Notes shall be on the first anniversary of the preceding maturity or on such earlier date as the Treasurer may specify by written order. The Notes shall be structured with the number of maturities determined by the Treasurer to be necessary or appropriate, and the last maturity shall be scheduled for no later than the sixth anniversary of the date of issue. The amount of each maturity or of any mandatory or optional call date shall be set by the Treasurer when the amount of Delinquent Taxes is determined by the Treasurer or when a reliable estimate of the Delinquent Taxes is available to the Treasurer. In determining the exact amount of each maturity or of any mandatory or optional call date the Treasurer shall consider the schedule of delinquent tax collections prepared for the tax years ending December 31, 2014, or after any other years and the corollary schedule setting forth the anticipated rate of collection of those Delinquent Taxes which are pledged to the repayment of the Notes. The amount of each maturity and the scheduled maturity dates of the Notes shall be established to take into account the dates on which the Treasurer reasonably anticipates the collection of such Delinquent Taxes and shall allow for no more than a 15% variance between the debt service payable on each maturity date, the Notes, and the anticipated amount of pledged monies available on such maturity date to make payment of such debt service.

   (b) Alternatively, the Notes or a series of the Notes may be structured with a single stated maturity falling not later than the fourth anniversary of the date of issue. Notes issued under this subsection (b) shall be subject to redemption on such terms consistent with the applicable parts of subsection (a) of this section and with Section 209 as shall be ordered by the Treasurer, but in no event shall such Notes be subject to redemption less frequently than annually.

204. Interest Rate and Date of Record.

   (a) Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, Notes issued pursuant to subsection (a) of Section 203 shall bear interest payable semi-annually, with the first interest payment to be payable (i) on the first date, after issuance, corresponding to the day and month on which the maturity of such Notes falls, or (ii) if the Treasurer so orders, six months before such date. In the event (i) any maturity of the Notes arises either less than six months before the succeeding maturity date or less than six months after the preceding maturity date and (ii) the Treasurer so orders in writing, interest on the Notes shall be payable on such succeeding or
preceding maturity date. Subject to the following sentence, Notes issued pursuant to subsection (b) of Section
203 shall, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, bear interest monthly, quarterly, or semiannually, as
provided by written order of the Treasurer. If Notes issued under this Article II are sold with a variable rate
feature as provided in Article IV, such Notes may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, bear interest
weekly, monthly, quarterly or on any put date, or any combination of the foregoing, as provided by written
order of the Treasurer.

(b) Interest shall not exceed the maximum rate permitted by law.

(c) Interest shall be mailed by first class mail to the registered owner of each Note as of the
applicable date of record, provided, however, that the Treasurer may agree with the Registrar (as defined below)
on a different method of payment.

(d) Subject to Section 403 in the case of variable rate Notes, the date of record shall be not
fewer than 14 nor more than 31 days before the date of payment, as designated by the Treasurer prior to the sale
of the Notes.

205. Note Form. The form of Note shall be consistent with the prescriptions of this Resolution and
shall reflect all material terms of the Notes. Unless the Treasurer shall by written order specify the contrary, the
Notes shall be issued in fully registered form both as to principal and interest, registrable upon the books of a
note registrar (the "Registrar") to be named by the Treasurer. If the Notes are issued in bearer form the
Treasurer shall appoint a paying agent (the "Paying Agent"). (The Registrar or Paying Agent so named may be
any bank or trust company or other entity, including the County, offering the necessary services pertaining to
the registration and transfer of negotiable securities.)

206. Denominations and Numbers. The Notes shall be issued in one or more denomination or
denominations of $1,000 each or any integral multiple of $1,000 in excess of $1,000, as determined by the
Treasurer. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, in the event the Notes are deposited under a book entry
depository trust arrangement pursuant to Section 208, the Notes may, if required by the depository trustee, be
issued in denominations of $5,000 each or any integral multiple of $5,000. The Notes shall be numbered from
one upwards, regardless of maturity, in such order as the Registrar shall determine.

207. Transfer or Exchange of Notes.

(a) Notes issued in registered form shall be transferable on a note register maintained with
respect to the Notes upon surrender of the transferred Note, together with an assignment executed by the
registered owner or his or her duly authorized attorney-in-fact in form satisfactory to the Registrar. Upon
receipt of a properly assigned Note, the Registrar shall authenticate and deliver a new Note or Notes in equal
aggregate principal amount and like interest rate and maturity to the designated transferee or transferees.

(b) Notes may likewise be exchanged for one or more other Notes with the same interest rate
and maturity in authorized denominations aggregating the same principal amount as the Note or Notes being
exchanged, upon surrender of the Note or Notes and the submission of written instructions to the Registrar or, in
the case of bearer Notes, to the Paying Agent. Upon receipt of a Note with proper written instructions the
Registrar or Paying Agent shall authenticate and deliver a new Note or Notes to the owner thereof or to the
owner's attorney-in-fact.

(c) Any service charge made by the Registrar or Paying Agent for any such registration,
transfer or exchange shall be paid for by the County as an expense of borrowing, unless otherwise agreed by the
Treasurer and the Registrar or Paying Agent. The Registrar or Paying Agent may, however, require payment by
a noteholder of a sum sufficient to cover any tax or other governmental charge payable in connection with any
such registration, transfer or exchange.

208. Book Entry Depository Trust. At the option of the Treasurer, and notwithstanding any contrary
provision of Section 212, the Notes may be deposited, in whole or in part, with a depository trustee designated
by the Treasurer who shall transfer ownership of interests in the Notes by book entry and who shall issue
depository trust receipts or acknowledgments to owners of interests in the Notes. Such book entry depository
trust arrangement, and the form of depository trust receipts or acknowledgments, shall be as determined by the
Treasurer after consultation with the depository trustee. The Treasurer is authorized to enter into any depository
trust agreement on behalf of the County upon such terms and conditions as the Treasurer shall deem appropriate
and not otherwise prohibited by the terms of this Resolution. The depository trustee may be the same as the
Registrar otherwise named by the Treasurer, and the Notes may be transferred in part by depository trust and in
part by transfer of physical certificates as the Treasurer may determine.

209. Redemption.

(a) Subject to the authority granted the Treasurer pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section
(in the case of fixed rate Notes) and to the authority granted the Treasurer pursuant to Section 404 (in the case
of variable rate Notes), the Notes or any maturity or maturities of the Notes shall be subject to redemption prior
to maturity on the terms set forth in subsection (b) below.

(b) Notes scheduled to mature after the first date on which any Notes of the series are
scheduled to mature shall be subject to redemption, in inverse order of maturity, on each interest payment date
arising after the date of issue.

(c) If the Treasurer shall determine such action necessary to enhance the marketability of the
Notes or to reduce the interest rate to be offered by prospective purchasers on any maturity of the Notes, the
Treasurer may, by written order prior to the issuance of such Notes, (i) designate some or all of the Notes as
non-callable, regardless of their maturity date, and/or (ii) delay the first date on which the redemption of
callable Notes would otherwise be authorized under subsection (b) above.

(d) Notes of any maturity subject to redemption may be redeemed before their scheduled
maturity date, in whole or in part, on any permitted redemption date or dates, subject to the written order of the
Treasurer. Notes called for redemption shall be redeemed at par, plus accrued interest to the redemption date,
plus, if the Treasurer so orders, a premium of not more than 1%. Redemption may be made by lot or pro rata,
as shall be determined by the Treasurer.

(e) With respect to partial redemptions, any portion of a Note outstanding in a denomination
larger than the minimum authorized denomination may be redeemed, provided such portion as well as the
amount not being redeemed constitute authorized denominations. In the event less than the entire principal
amount of a Note is called for redemption, the Registrar or Paying Agent shall, upon surrender of the Note by
the owner thereof, authenticate and deliver to the owner a new Note in the principal amount of the principal
portion not redeemed.

(f) Notice of redemption shall be by first class mail 30 days prior to the date fixed for
redemption, or such shorter time prior to the date fixed for redemption as may be consented to by the holders of
all outstanding Notes to be called for redemption. Such notice shall fix the date of record with respect to the
redemption if different than otherwise provided in this Resolution. Any defect in any notice shall not affect the
validity of the redemption proceedings. Notes so called for redemption shall not bear interest after the date
fixed for redemption, provided funds are on hand with a paying agent to redeem the same.

210. Discount. At the option of the Treasurer, the Notes may be offered for sale at a discount not to
exceed 2%.

211. Public or Private Sale. The Treasurer may, at the Treasurer's option, conduct a public sale of the
Notes after which sale the Treasurer shall either award the Notes to the lowest bidder or reject all bids. The
conditions of sale shall be as specified in a published Notice of Sale prepared by the Treasurer announcing the
principal terms of the Notes and the offering. Alternatively, the Treasurer may, at the Treasurer's option,
negotiate a private sale of the Notes as provided in Act 206. If required by law, or if otherwise determined by
the Treasurer to be in the best interest of the County, (a) the Notes shall be rated by a national rating agency.
selected by the Treasurer, (b) a good faith deposit shall be required of the winning bidder, and/or (c) CUSIP numbers shall be assigned to the Notes. If a public sale is conducted or if otherwise required by law or the purchaser of the Notes, the Treasurer shall prepare or cause to be prepared and disseminated an offering memorandum or official statement containing all material terms of the offer and sale of the Notes. Pursuant to any sale of the Notes, the County shall make such filings, shall solicit such information and shall obtain such governmental approvals as shall be required pursuant to any state or federal law respecting back-up income tax withholding, securities regulation, original issue discount or other regulated matter.

212. Execution and Delivery. The Treasurer is authorized and directed to execute the Notes on behalf of the County by manual or facsimile signature, provided that if the facsimile signature is used the Notes shall be authenticated by the Registrar or any tender agent as may be appointed pursuant to Section 801(c). The Notes shall be sealed with the County seal or imprinted with a facsimile of such seal. The Treasurer is authorized and directed to then deliver the Notes to the purchaser thereof upon receipt of the purchase price. The Notes shall be delivered at the expense of the County in such city or cities as may be designated by the Treasurer.

213. Renewal, Refunding or Advance Refunding Notes. If at any time it appears to be in the best interests of the County, the Treasurer, by written order, may authorize the issuance of renewal, refunding or advance refunding Notes. The terms of such Notes, and the procedures incidental to their issuance, shall be set subject to Section 309 and, in appropriate cases, Article X.

III. SHORT-TERM NOTES

301. Authority. At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, Notes may be issued in accordance with this Article III. All references to "Notes" in Article III refer only to Notes issued pursuant to Article III, unless otherwise specified.

302. Date and Maturity. The Notes shall be dated as of their date of issuance or any prior date selected by the Treasurer and shall mature on such date not exceeding three years from the date of their issuance as may be specified by written order of the Treasurer.

303. Interest and Date of Record. The Notes shall bear interest payable monthly, quarterly, or semi-annually and at maturity at such rate or rates as may be determined by the Treasurer not exceeding the maximum rate of interest permitted by law on the date the Notes are issued. The date of record shall be not fewer than two nor more than 31 days before the date of payment, as designated by the Treasurer prior to the sale of the Notes.

304. Note Form. The form of Note shall be consistent with the prescriptions of this Resolution and shall reflect all material terms of the Notes. The Notes shall, in the discretion of the Treasurer and consistent with Section 205, either be payable to bearer or be issued in registered form. If issued in registered form, the Notes may be constituted as book-entry securities consistent with Section 208, notwithstanding any contrary provision of Section 308.

305. Denomination and Numbers. The Notes shall be issued in one or more denomination or denominations, as determined by the Treasurer. The Notes shall be numbered from one upwards in such order as the Treasurer determines.

306. Redemption. The authority and obligations of the Treasurer set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of Section 209 (in the case of fixed rate Notes), or Section 404 (in the case of variable rates Notes), as the case may be, shall apply also to Notes issued under Article III.

307. Sale of Notes. The authority and obligations of the Treasurer set forth in Sections 210 and 211 respecting Fixed Maturity Notes shall apply also to Notes issued under Article III.
308. **Execution and Delivery.** The authority and obligations of the Treasurer set forth in Section 212 respecting Fixed Maturity Notes shall also apply to Notes issued under Article III.

309. **Renewal or Refunding Notes.**

(a) The Treasurer may by written order authorize the issuance of renewal or refunding Notes (collectively the "Renewal Notes"). Renewal Notes shall be sold on the maturity date of, and the proceeds applied to the payment of debt service on, the Notes to be renewed. The maturities and repayment terms of the Renewal Notes shall be set by written order of the Treasurer.

(b) In the order authorizing Renewal Notes, the Treasurer shall specify whether the Notes shall be issued in accordance with this Article III, in which event the provisions of Article III shall govern the issuance of the Notes, or whether the Notes shall be issued in accordance with Article II, in which event the provisions of Article II shall govern the issuance of the Notes. The order shall also provide for and shall also govern with respect to:

(i) the aggregate amount of the Renewal Notes;

(ii) the date of the Renewal Notes;

(iii) the denominations of the Renewal Notes;

(iv) the interest payment dates of the Renewal Notes;

(v) the maturity or maturities of the Renewal Notes;

(vi) the terms of sale of the Renewal Notes;

(vii) whether any Renewal Notes issued in accordance with Article II shall be subject to redemption and, if so, the terms thereof; and

(viii) any other terms of the Renewal Notes consistent with, but not specified in, Article II or Article III.

(c) Regardless of whether Renewal Notes need be approved by prior order of the Department of Treasury, the Treasurer, pursuant to Section 89(5)(d) of Act 206, shall promptly report to the Department of Treasury the issuance of any Renewal Notes.

IV. **VARIABLE INTEREST RATE**

401. **Variable Rate Option.** At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, the Notes, whether issued pursuant to Article II or Article III, may be issued with a variable interest rate, provided that the rate shall not exceed the maximum rate of interest permitted by law.

402. **Determination of Rate.** The order of the Treasurer shall provide how often the variable interest rate shall be subject to recalculation, the formula or procedure for determining the variable interest rate, whether and on what terms the rate shall be determined by a remarketing agent in the case of demand obligations consistent with Section 801(d), and whether and on what terms a fixed rate of interest may be converted to or from a variable rate of interest. Such formula or procedure shall be as determined by the Treasurer, but shall track or float within a specified percentage band around the rates generated by any one or more of the following indices:

(i) Publicly reported prices or yields of obligations of the United States of America;
(ii) An index of municipal obligations periodically reported by a nationally recognized source;

(iii) The prime lending rate from time to time set by any bank or trust company in the United States with unimpaired capital and surplus exceeding $40,000,000;

(iv) Any other rate or index that may be designated by order of the Treasurer provided such rate or index is set or reported by a source which is independent of and not controlled by the Treasurer or the County.

The procedure for determining the variable rate may involve one or more of the above indices as alternatives or may involve the setting of the rate by a municipal bond specialist provided such rate shall be within a stated percentage range of one or more of the indices set forth above.

403. Date of Record. The Date of Record shall be not fewer than one nor more than 31 days before the date of payment, as designated by written order of the Treasurer.

404. Redemption. Notwithstanding any contrary provision of subsections (b) and (c) of Section 209, but subject to the last sentence of this Section 404, Notes bearing interest at a variable rate may be subject to redemption by the County and/or put by the holder at any time or times and in any order, as may be determined pursuant to written order of the Treasurer. Notes shall not be subject to redemption more frequently than monthly.

405. Remarketing, Repurchase and Resale.

(a) In the event Notes issued under this Article IV are constituted as demand obligations, the interest rate on the Notes shall be governed by, and shall be subject to, remarketing by a remarketing agent appointed in accordance with Section 801(c), under the terms of a put agreement employed in accordance with Section 801(d).

(b) The County shall be authorized, consistent with Act 206 and pursuant to order of the Treasurer, to participate in the repurchase and resale of Notes in order to reduce the cost of, or increase the revenue, attendant to the establishment of the Revolving Fund and the issuance and discharge of the Notes. Any purchase of Notes pursuant to this subsection (b) shall be made with unpledged monies drawn from revolving funds established by the County in connection with retired general obligation limited tax notes.

V. MULTIPLE SERIES

501. Issuance of Multiple Series. At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, the Notes issued under Article II, Article III or Article X may be issued in two or more individually designated series. Each series shall bear its own rate of interest, which may be fixed or variable in accordance with Article IV. Various series need not be issued at the same time and may be issued from time to time in the discretion of the Treasurer exercisable by written order. In determining the dates of issuance of the respective series, the Treasurer shall consider, among other pertinent factors, the impact the dates selected may have on the marketability, rating and/or qualification for credit support or liquidity support for, or insurance of, the Notes. The Notes of each such series shall be issued according to this Resolution in all respects (and the term "Notes" shall be deemed to include each series of Notes throughout this Resolution), provided that:

(a) The aggregate principal amount of the Notes of all series shall not exceed the maximum aggregate amount permitted under Section 103;

(b) Each series shall be issued pursuant to Article II or Article III, and different series may be issued pursuant to different Articles;
(c) Each series shall be issued pursuant to Section 502 or Section 503, and different series may be issued pursuant to different Sections;

(d) A series may be issued under Article II for one or more of the annual maturities set forth in Article II with the balance of the annual maturities being issued under Article II or under Article III in one or more other series, provided that the minimum annual maturities set forth in Section 203 shall be reduced and applied pro rata to all Notes so issued; and

(e) The Notes of all series issued pursuant to Article II above shall not, in aggregate, mature in amounts or on dates exceeding the maximum authorized maturities set forth in Section 203.

502. Series Secured Pari Passu. If the Notes are issued in multiple series pursuant to this Article V, each series of Notes may, by written order of the Treasurer, be secured pari passu with the other by the security described in and the amounts pledged by Article VII below. Moreover, such security may, pursuant to further written order of the Treasurer, be segregated in accordance with the following provisions.

(a) The Treasurer may by written order establish separate sub-accounts in the County's 2015 Note Reserve Account for each series of Notes, into which shall be deposited the amount borrowed for the Note Reserve Account for each such series.

(b) The Treasurer may by written order establish separate sub-accounts in the County's 2015 Note Payment Account for each series of Notes, and all amounts deposited in the Note Payment Account shall be allocated to the sub-accounts.

(c)(i) In the event separate sub-accounts are established pursuant to subsection (b) above, and subject to Paragraph (ii) below, the percentage of deposits to the County's 2015 Note Payment Account allocated to each sub-account may be set equal to the percentage that Notes issued in the corresponding series bears to all Notes issued under this Resolution or to any other percentage designated by the Treasurer pursuant to written order; provided that if the various series are issued at different times or if the various series are structured with different maturity dates, (I) sums deposited in the Note Payment Account prior to the issuance of one or more series may upon the issuance of each such series be reallocated among the various sub-accounts established under Subsection (b) above to achieve a balance among the sub-accounts proportionate to the designated percentage allocation, and/or (II) deposits to the Note Payment Account may be allocated among the sub-accounts according to the total amount of debt service that will actually be paid from the respective sub-accounts.

(ii) Alternatively, the Treasurer may, by written order, rank the sub-accounts established under Subsection (b) above in order of priority, and specify that each such sub-account shall receive deposits only after all sub-accounts having a higher priority have received deposits sufficient to discharge all (or any specified percentage of) Notes whose series corresponds to any of the sub-accounts having priority.

(d) In the absence of a written order of the Treasurer to the contrary, the amounts in each sub-account established pursuant to this Section 502 shall secure only the Notes issued in the series for which such sub-account was established, until such Notes and interest on such Notes are paid in full, after which the amounts in such sub-account may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, be added pro rata to the amounts in the other sub-accounts and thereafter used as part of such other sub-accounts to secure all Notes and interest on such Notes for which such other sub-accounts were created, until paid in full. Alternatively, amounts held in two or more sub-accounts within either the Note Reserve Account or the Note Payment Account may be commingled, and if commingled shall be held pari passu for the benefit of the holders of each series of Notes pertaining to the relevant sub-accounts.

503. Series Independently Secured. If the Notes are issued in multiple series pursuant to this Article V, each series of Notes may, by written order of the Treasurer, be independently secured in accordance with this Section 503.
(a) Each series of Notes shall pertain to one or more taxing units, as designated by the Treasurer pursuant to written order, and no two series of Notes shall pertain to the same taxing unit. A school district, intermediate school district, or community college district extending beyond the boundaries of a city in which it is located may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, be subdivided along the boundaries of one or more cities and each such subdivision shall be deemed a taxing unit for purposes of this Section 503.

(b) Separate sub-accounts shall be established in the County's 2015 Tax Payment Account. Each sub-account shall receive the proceeds of one and only one series of Notes, and amounts shall be disbursed from the sub-account to only those taxing units designated as being in that series.

(c) In the event Notes are issued for deposit into the Project Account established under Section 701, separate sub-accounts shall be established in the Project Account. Each sub-account shall receive the proceeds of one and only one series of Notes, and amounts shall be disbursed from the sub-account only to accounts, sub-accounts and/or taxing units designated as being in the series corresponding to the sub-account from which disbursement is being made.

(d) A separate sub-account shall be established in the County's 2015 Note Reserve Account for each series of Notes, into which shall be deposited the amount determined by the Treasurer under Section 103 or Section 703 with respect to the series. Each sub-account shall secure one and only one series.

(e) A separate sub-account shall be established in the County's 2015 Note Payment Account for each series of Notes. Each sub-account shall be allocated only those amounts described in Section 704 which pertain to the taxing units included in the series corresponding to the sub-account. Chargebacks received from a taxing unit pursuant to Section 905 shall be deposited in the sub-account corresponding to the series in which the taxing unit is included. Amounts held in each sub-account shall secure the debt represented by only those Notes included in the series corresponding to the sub-account, and disbursements from each sub-account may be applied toward the payment of only those Notes included in the series corresponding to the sub-account.

(f) The amounts in each sub-account established pursuant to this Section 503 shall secure only the Notes issued in the series for which such sub-account was established until such Notes and interest on such Notes are paid in full, after which any amounts remaining in such sub-account shall accrue to the County and shall no longer be pledged toward payment of the Notes.

VI.
TAXABILITY OF INTEREST

601. Federal Tax. The County acknowledges that the current state of Federal law mandates that the Notes be structured as taxable obligations. Consequently, the Notes shall, subject to Article X, be issued as obligations the interest on which is not excluded from gross income for purposes of Federal income tax.

602. State of Michigan Tax. Consistent with the treatment accorded all obligations issued pursuant to Act 206, interest on the Notes shall be exempt from the imposition of the State of Michigan income tax and the State of Michigan single business tax, and the Notes shall not be subject to the State of Michigan intangibles tax.

603. Change in Federal Tax Status. In the event there is a change in the Federal tax law or regulations, a ruling by the U.S. Department of Treasury or Internal Revenue Service establishes that the Notes may be issued as exempt from Federal income taxes or a change in Michigan law causes the Notes in the opinion of counsel to be exempt from federal income taxes, the Notes may be so issued.

VII.
FUNDS AND SECURITY
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701. Delinquent Tax Project Account. If the Notes are issued and sold before the Treasurer has received certification from the taxing units of the amount of the Delinquent Taxes and if such certification is not reasonably anticipated in time to allow distribution of the proceeds of the Notes within 20 days after the date of issue, a 2015 Delinquent Tax Project Account (the "Project Account") shall be established by the Treasurer as a separate and distinct fund of the County within its general fund. The Project Account shall receive all proceeds from the sale of the Notes, including any premium or accrued interest received at the time of sale. The Project Account shall be held in trust by an escrow agent until the monies therein are disbursed in accordance with this Article VII. The escrow agent shall be a commercial bank, shall be located in Michigan, shall have authority to exercise trust powers, and shall have a net worth in excess of $25,000,000. The form and content of the agreement between the County and the escrow agent shall be approved by the Treasurer. Subject to the following sentence, monies deposited in the Project Account shall be expended only (i) for the purpose of funding the Tax Payment Account established under Section 702 and (ii) to the extent permitted by Act 206, for the purpose of paying the expenses of the offering of the Notes. In the event the Treasurer by written order so directs, additional funding of the Project Account may be undertaken, and any surplus proceeds remaining in the Project Account after the Treasurer has completed the funding of the Tax Payment Account may be transferred to either the 2015 Note Reserve Account created under Section 703 or the 2015 Note Payment Account created under Section 704. Monies in the Project Account may be disbursed by the escrow agent to the County's 2015 Tax Payment Account at any time and from time to time, upon receipt of a written requisition signed by the Treasurer.

702. 2015 Tax Payment Account. The County's 2015 Tax Payment Account (the "Tax Payment Account") is hereby established as a distinct account within the Revolving Fund. The Treasurer shall designate all or a portion of the proceeds of the Notes, not to exceed the amount of Delinquent Taxes, for deposit in the Tax Payment Account. If, however, the proceeds of the Notes are initially deposited in the Project Account pursuant to Section 701, the Treasurer is instead authorized and directed to transfer monies included in the Project Account in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 701. The County shall apply the monies in the Tax Payment Account to the payment of the Delinquent Taxes or expenses of the borrowing in accordance with Act 206. The allocation of monies from the Tax Payment Account may be made pursuant to a single, comprehensive disbursement or may instead be made from time to time, within the time constraints of Act 206, to particular taxing units as monies are paid into the Tax Payment Account, such that the source of the monies (whether from the County's own funds, from the proceeds of a tax exempt borrowing or from the proceeds of a taxable borrowing) may be traced to the particular taxing unit receiving the funds. Moreover, and regardless of whether multiple series of Notes are issued, the Tax Payment Account may be divided into separate sub-accounts in order to allow the Treasurer to designate which taxing units shall receive borrowed funds and which shall receive funds otherwise contributed by the County.

703. 2015 Note Reserve Account. In the event funding is provided as described in this Section 703, the Treasurer shall establish a 2015 Note Reserve Account (the "Note Reserve Account") as a distinct account within the Revolving Fund. After depositing all of the monies to fund the Tax Payment Account pursuant to Section 702, the Treasurer shall next transfer to the Note Reserve Account, either from the Project Account or directly from the proceeds of Notes, any proceeds remaining from the initial issuance of the Notes. In addition, the Treasurer may transfer unpledged monies from other County sources to the Note Reserve Account in an amount which, when added to any other amounts to be deposited in the Note Reserve Account, does not exceed the amount reasonably required for the Notes secured by the Reserve Account or, if less, 20% of the total amount of the Notes secured by the Reserve Account. Except as provided below, all monies in the Note Reserve Account shall be used solely for payment of principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes to the extent that monies required for such payment are not available in the County's 2015 Note Payment Account. Monies in the Note Reserve Account shall be withdrawn first for payment of principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes before County general funds are used to make the payments. All income or interest earned by, or increment to, the Note Reserve Account due to its investment or reinvestment shall be deposited in the Note Reserve Account. When the Note Reserve Account is sufficient to retire the Notes and accrued interest thereon, the Treasurer may order that the Note Reserve Account be used to purchase the Notes on the market, or, if the Notes are not available, to retire the Notes when due. If so ordered by the Treasurer, all or any...
specified portion of the Note Reserve Account may be applied toward the redemption of any Notes designated for redemption in accordance with Section 209.

704. 2015 Note Payment Account.

(a) The County's 2015 Note Payment Account is hereby established as a distinct account within the Revolving Fund. (The County's 2015 Note Payment Account, as supplemented by monies held in any interim account that are designated for transfer to the 2015 Note Payment Account, is herein referred to as the "Note Payment Account"). The Treasurer is directed to deposit into the Note Payment Account, promptly on receipt, those amounts described below in Paragraphs (i), (ii), (iv), and (v) that are not excluded pursuant to Subsection (c) below. Furthermore, the Treasurer may, by written order, deposit into the Note Payment Account all or any portion of the amounts described below in Paragraph (iii).

(i) All Delinquent Taxes.

(ii) All statutory interest on the Delinquent Taxes.

(iii) All property tax administration fees on the Delinquent Taxes, net of any amounts applied toward the expenses of this borrowing.

(iv) Any amounts which are received by the Treasurer from the taxing units within the County because of the uncollectability of the Delinquent Taxes.

(v) Any amounts remaining in the Project Account after the transfers to the Tax Payment Account and Note Reserve Account have been made as specified in Sections 702 and 703.

(b) Monies in the Note Payment Account shall be used by the County to pay principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes as the same become due and payable.

(c)(i) The Treasurer may by written order provide that only a portion of the sums described above in Subsection (a) shall be deposited into the Note Payment Account and applied toward the payment of debt service on the Notes, in which event those sums which are withheld from the Note Payment Account shall be deposited into the Tax Payment Account or, pursuant to further order of the Treasurer, applied toward any other purpose consistent with Act 206. The portion of any sums described in Subsection (a) which are withheld from the Note Payment Account pursuant to this Subsection shall be determined in accordance with the following Paragraph.

(ii) Prior to the issuance of the Notes, the Treasurer may by written order specify a cut-off date not earlier than March 1, 2015, and only those sums payable to the Note Payment Account and received by the County after the cut-off date shall be applied to the Note Payment Account.

(d) The Treasurer may by written order provide that at such time as sufficient funds shall have been deposited into the Note Payment Account to pay all remaining amounts owed under the Notes the pledge on any additional monies otherwise payable to the Note Payment Account shall be discharged and such monies shall not be deposited into the Note Payment Account or otherwise pledged toward payment of the Notes.

(e) The Treasurer may by written order provide that in the event Notes are issued pursuant to Article III, amounts which would otherwise be included in the Note Payment Account or the Note Reserve Account (or any sub-account therein for a particular series of Notes) shall not include any amounts received by the County prior to the latest maturity date of any series of Notes previously issued under Article II and/or Article III.

705. Limited Tax General Obligation and Pledge.
(a) The Notes shall be the general obligation of the County, backed by the County's full faith and credit, the County's tax obligation (within applicable constitutional and statutory limits) and the County's general funds. The County budget shall provide that if the pledged monies are not collected in sufficient amounts to meet the payments of the principal and interest due on the Notes, the County, before paying any other budgeted amounts, shall promptly advance from its general funds sufficient monies to pay such principal and interest.

(b) In addition, the monies listed below are pledged to the repayment of the Notes and, subject to Section 901, shall be used solely for repayment of the Notes until the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes are paid in full:

(i) All amounts deposited or earned in any Project Account, until disbursed in accordance with Section 701;

(ii) All net proceeds from the sale of the Notes deposited or earned in the Tax Payment Account, until disbursed in accordance with Section 702;

(iii) All amounts deposited in the Note Payment Account pursuant to Section 704(a);

(iv) All amounts deposited in the Note Reserve Account;

(v) All amounts earned from the investment of monies held in the Note Payment Account or the Note Reserve Account; and

(vi) Any monies placed in the Note Payment Account and drawn in the discretion of the Treasurer from unpledged sums on the revolving funds, which pledge shall be subject to such limitations or exceptions as shall be set forth in the written order of the Treasurer.

(c) If the Notes shall be issued in various series pursuant to Article V, this pledge shall in the case of any independently secured series extend only to monies in accounts or sub-accounts pertaining to the particular series.

(d) If the amounts so pledged are not sufficient to pay the principal and interest when due, the County shall pay the same from its general funds or other available sources. Pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, the County may later reimburse itself for such payments from the Delinquent Taxes collected.

706. Security for Renewal, Refunding or Advance Refunding Notes. Renewal, refunding, or advance refunding Notes shall be secured by all or any portion of the same security securing the Notes being renewed, refunded or advance refunded. The monies pledged in Section 705 for the repayment of the Notes are also pledged for the repayment of the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on any renewal, refunding, or advance refunding Notes issued pursuant to this Resolution, and any such renewal, refunding, or advance refunding Notes shall be the general obligation of the County, backed by its full faith and credit, which shall include the tax obligation of the County, within applicable constitutional and statutory limits.

707. Use of Funds after Full Payment or Provisions for Payment. After all principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes have been paid in full or provision made therefor by investments of pledged amounts in direct noncallable obligations of the United States of America in amounts and with maturities sufficient to pay all such principal, premium, if any, and interest when due, any further collection of Delinquent Taxes and all excess monies in any fund or account of the Revolving Fund, and any interest or income on any such amounts, may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer and subject to Article V, be used for any proper purpose within the Revolving Fund including the securing of subsequent issues of notes.
SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS

801. Supplemental Agreements and Documents. The Treasurer, on behalf of the County, is authorized to enter into any or all of the following agreements or commitments as may, in the Treasurer’s discretion, be necessary, desirable or beneficial in connection with the issuance of the Notes, upon such terms and conditions as the Treasurer may determine appropriate:

(a) A letter of credit, line of credit, repurchase agreement, note insurance, or similar instrument, providing backup liquidity and/or credit support for the Notes;

(b) A reimbursement agreement, revolving credit agreement, revolving credit note, or similar instrument, setting forth repayments of and security for amounts drawn under the letter of credit, line of credit, repurchase agreement or similar instrument;

(c) A marketing, remarketing, placement, authenticating, paying or tender agent agreement or dealer agreement designating a marketing, remarketing, authenticating, paying, tender or placement agent or dealer and prescribing the duties of such person or persons with respect to the Notes; and

(d) A put agreement or provision allowing the purchaser of the Notes to require the County to repurchase the Notes upon demand at such times as may be provided in such put agreement or provision.

(e) An agreement to use amounts formerly pledged to other years borrowings as security for the Notes when no longer so pledged.

802. Revolving Credit Notes. If the Treasurer enters into a revolving credit agreement (the "Agreement") pursuant to Section 801 above, the Agreement may call for the issuance of one or more revolving credit notes (the "Revolving Credit Notes") for the purpose of renewing all or part of maturing Notes or Notes that have been put pursuant to a put agreement or provision. Such Revolving Credit Notes shall be issued pursuant to Article II or III, as appropriate, and in accordance with the following provisions:

(a) Interest on the Revolving Credit Notes may be payable on maturity, on prior redemption, monthly, bimonthly, quarterly, or as otherwise provided in the Agreement.

(b) The Revolving Credit Notes may mature on one or more date or dates not later than the final maturity date of the Notes, as provided in the Agreement.

(c) The Treasurer may, at the time of the original issuance of the Notes, execute and deliver one Revolving Credit Note in a maximum principal amount not exceeding the lending commitment under the Agreement from time to time in force (and may substitute one such Note in a lesser principal amount for another in the event the lending commitment is reduced), provided that a schedule shall be attached to such Note on which loans and repayments of principal and interest are evidenced and further provided that the making of a loan and the evidencing of such loan on the schedule of any such Note shall constitute the issuance of a renewal Note for the purposes of this Resolution.

IX.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

901. Expenses. Expenses incurred in connection with the Notes shall be paid from the property tax administration fees collected on the Delinquent Taxes and, if so ordered by the Treasurer, from any earnings on the proceeds of the offering or from other monies available to the County.

902. Bond Counsel. The Notes (and any renewal, refunding or advance refunding Notes) shall be delivered with the unqualified opinion of Axe & Ecklund, P.C., attorneys of Grosse Pointe Farms, Michigan,
bond counsel chosen by the Treasurer, which selection may, at the option of the Treasurer, be for one or more years.

903. **Financial Consultants.** Stauder, BARCH & ASSOCIATES, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, is hereby retained to act as financial consultant and advisor to the County in connection with the sale and delivery of the Notes.

904. **Complete Records.** The Treasurer shall keep full and complete records of all deposits to and withdrawals from each of the funds and accounts in the Revolving Fund and any account or sub-account created pursuant to this Resolution and of all other transactions relating to such funds, accounts and sub-accounts, including investments of money in, and gain derived from, such funds and accounts.

905. **Chargebacks.** If, by the date which is three months prior to the final maturity date of the Notes, sufficient monies are not on deposit in the Note Payment Account and the Note Reserve Account to pay all principal of and interest on the Notes when due, Delinquent Taxes not then paid or recovered at or prior to the latest tax sale transacted two or more months before the final maturity of the Notes shall, if necessary to ensure full and timely payment on the date of final maturity, be charged back to the local units in such fashion as the Treasurer may determine, and, subject to Article V, the proceeds of such chargebacks shall be deposited into the County's 2015 Note Payment Account no later than five weeks prior to the final maturity of the Notes. This Section 905 shall not be construed to limit the authority of the Treasurer under State law to charge back under other circumstances or at other times.

906. **Investments.** The Treasurer is authorized to invest all monies in the Project Account, in the Revolving Fund or in any account or sub-account therein which is established pursuant to this Resolution in any one or more of the investments authorized as lawful investments for counties under Act No. 20, Public Acts of 1943, as amended. The Treasurer is further authorized to enter into a contract on behalf of the County under the Surplus Funds Investment Pool Act, Act No. 367, Michigan Public Acts of 1982, as amended, and to invest in any investment pool created thereby monies held in the Project Account, in the Revolving Fund, or in any account or sub-account therein which is established pursuant to this Resolution.

907. **Mutilated, Lost, Stolen or Destroyed Notes.** In the event any Note is mutilated, lost, stolen, or destroyed, the Treasurer may, on behalf of the County, execute and deliver, or order the Registrar or Paying Agent to authenticate and deliver, a new Note having a number not then outstanding, of like date, maturity and denomination as that mutilated, lost, stolen, or destroyed. In the case of a mutilated Note, a replacement Note shall not be delivered unless and until such mutilated Note is surrendered to the Treasurer or the Registrar or Paying Agent. In the case of a lost, stolen or destroyed Note, a replacement Note shall not be delivered unless and until the Treasurer and the Registrar or Paying Agent shall have received such proof of ownership and loss and indemnity as they determine to be sufficient.

**ARTICLE X.**

**TAX-EXEMPT NOTES OR REFUNDING**

1001. **Refunding of Taxable Debt or Issuance of Tax-Exempt Debt.** The County acknowledges that the current state of Federal law precludes the issuance of the Notes as obligations the interest on which is exempt from Federal income tax. However, the County presently contemplates that anticipated amendments to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") and/or the Treasury Regulations issued thereunder (the "Regulations") or a change in Michigan law changing the character of the Notes may in the future permit the issuance of general obligation limited tax notes on a tax-exempt basis, and, in view of this expectation, the County, through the offices of the Treasurer, shall issue tax-exempt notes or issue obligations to refund any or all outstanding Notes issued as taxable obligations, at the time, on the terms, and to the extent set forth in this Article X.

1002. **Timing of Refunding.** The aforementioned refunding obligations (the "Refunding Notes") shall be issued after the effective date of any change in the Code, Regulations, Internal Revenue Service
pronouncements or judicial rulings which, as confirmed by the written opinion of bond counsel, permit the refunding of all or some of the outstanding Notes with proceeds from obligations the interest on which is excluded from gross income for purposes of Federal income tax.

1003. Extent of Refunding. Subject to the other provisions of this Section 1003, the Refunding Notes shall refund all Notes outstanding at or after the effective date of any change in the law described in Section 1002. This Section 1003 shall not, however, be construed to require the refunding of any Note prior to the time such Note may be refunded on a tax-exempt basis, nor shall this Section 1003 be construed to require the refunding of any Note, if that refunding would result in greater cost to the County (including interest expense, professional fees and administrative outlays) than would arise if the Note were to remain outstanding.

1004. Confirmatory Action. Subsequent to any change in the law described in Section 1002, the Board shall convene to consider any terms of the Refunding Notes requiring specific ratification by the Board.

1005. Arbitrage Covenant and Tax Law Compliance. In the event tax-exempt Notes or Refunding Notes are issued pursuant to this Article X, the following covenants shall be observed by the County:

(i) the County will make no use of the proceeds of the Notes or Refunding Notes and will undertake no other intentional act with respect to the Notes or Refunding Notes which, if such use or act had been reasonably expected on the date of issuance of the Notes or Refunding Notes or if such use or act were intentionally made or undertaken after the date of issuance of the Notes or Refunding Notes, would cause the Notes or Refunding Notes to be "arbitrage bonds," as defined in Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), in the Regulations promulgated under Sections 103 and 148 of the Code or in any successor or supplementary provision of law hereinafter promulgated,

(ii) the County will undertake all actions as shall be necessary to maintain the Notes or Refunding Notes as obligations the interest on which qualifies for the tax exemption provided by Section 103(a) of the Code, including, where appropriate and without limitation, filing informational returns with the Secretary of Treasury, keeping accurate account of all monies earned in any fund, account or sub-account authorized by this Resolution or any resolution adopted in accordance with Section 1004 above, certifying cumulative cash flow deficits of the County and the local units, and investing any required portion of the gross proceeds of the Notes or Refunding Notes, whether on behalf of the County or the local units, in tax-exempt obligations or State and Local Government Series obligations, and

(iii) the County will make timely payment to the United States of any investment earnings, realized by the County on the gross proceeds of the Notes or Refunding Notes, as may be subject to rebate under Section 148(f) of the Code, and, to the extent required under applicable law or deemed by the Treasurer to be in the best interest of the County pursuant to written order, the County's obligation to make such payment to the United States shall also account for excess investment earnings realized by local units on all or a portion of the gross proceeds distributed to, and held by, the local units pursuant to Section 702.

(iv) the Treasurer shall be directed to take such actions and to enter into such agreements and certifications, on behalf of the County, as the Treasurer shall deem necessary or appropriate to comply with the foregoing covenants.

1006. Undertaking to Provide Continuing Disclosure. If necessary, this Board of Commissioners, for and on behalf of the County of Ingham, hereby covenants and agrees, for the benefit of the beneficial owners of the Notes to be issued by the County, to enter into a written undertaking (the "Undertaking") required by Rule 15c2-12 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule") to provide continuing disclosure of certain financial information and operating data and timely notices of the occurrence of certain events in accordance with the Rule. The Undertaking shall be substantially in the form as approved by the Underwriter of the Notes. The Undertaking shall be enforceable by the beneficial owners of Notes or by the Underwriter on behalf of such beneficial owners (provided that the Underwriter's right to enforce the provisions of the Undertaking shall be limited to a right to obtain specific
enforcement of the County's obligations hereunder and under the Undertaking), and any failure by the County to comply with the provisions of the Undertaking shall not be deemed a default with respect to the Notes.

The County Treasurer or other officer of the County charged with the responsibility for issuing the Notes shall provide a Continuing Disclosure Certificate for inclusion in the transcript of proceedings, setting forth the terms of the County's Undertaking.

Discussion followed. A vote was thereupon taken on the foregoing resolution and the vote for each such resolution was as follows:

AYES: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Banas, Case-Neyaert, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, Koenig, Maiville, McGrain, Nolan, Tennis, and Tsernoglou

NAYS: None

ABSTAIN: None

A sufficient majority having voted therefor, the two resolutions appearing above were adopted.
MARCH 24, 2015 REGULAR MEETING

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF INGHAM

I, Barb Byrum, Clerk for the County of Ingham, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham, Michigan on March 24, 2015 as appears on record in my office, and that I have compared the same with the original and that it is a true transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said County at Mason, Michigan this _______ day of _____________, ____.  

Barb Byrum, Ingham County Clerk

[SEAL]

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None    Absent: Bahar-Cook, Tennis    Approved 3/18/15

Commissioner Anthony moved to adopt the resolution. Commissioner Celentino seconded the motion.

The motion carried by a unanimous roll call vote. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.
SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY

Commissioner Crenshaw moved to appoint Todd Heywood to the Community Health Center Board. Commissioner Tennis seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

Commissioner Crenshaw moved to appoint Scott Shattuck to the Historical Commission. Commissioner Anthony seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

Commissioner Crenshaw moved to appoint Laurie Koelling to the Farmland Preservation Board. Commissioner Celentino seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Commissioner Bahar-Cook announced that she would be unable to attend the next Board of Commissioners meeting.

Commissioner Bahar-Cook stated that she would giving the opening remarks at the MAC Conference. She requested input to help develop “The Top 10 Reasons You Know You Are In Ingham County.”

Commissioner Crenshaw invited all to attend the Fifth Annual César E. Chavéz Dinner, scheduled for Saturday, March 28 beginning at 6:00 p.m. at UAW Local 652, located at 426 Clare Street in Lansing.

Commissioner Tsermoglou invited all to attend the Humanitarian Awards, scheduled for Thursday, March 26 beginning at 6:00 p.m. at the Kellogg Center, located on MSU’s campus in East Lansing.

Commissioner Anthony invited all to attend the 19th Annual Otis M. Smith Scholarship Banquet put on by the Davis-Dunnings Bar Association. She stated that the event was scheduled for Friday, April 17 beginning at 5:30 p.m. at Eagle Eye Golf Course. Commissioner Anthony stated that Hon. Clinton Canady III, Circuit Court Judge, and Jeremy Orr, Equal Opportunity Committee Member, would be honored at the event.

Commissioner Banas congratulated the Haslett High School Girls Basketball Team on their successful journey to the 2015 Semifinals at the Breslin Center, located on MSU’s campus in East Lansing.

Commissioner Anthony wished the Everett High School Team success in their competition, which was occurring today on MSU’s campus.

CONSIDERATION AND ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS
Commissioner Bahar-Cook moved to pay the claims in the amount of $20,946,658.26. Commissioner Anthony seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously. Absent: Commissioner Schafer.

**ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 7:21 p.m.
March 31, 2015

Mr. Brian McGrain  
Chief Operations Officer  
Ingham County Board of Commissioners  
P. O. Box 319  
Mason, MI 48854  

RE: P.A. 328 Personal Property Tax Abatement – IBM

Dear Mr. McGrain:

The City of East Lansing has scheduled a public hearing for Tuesday, April 21, 2015 to consider a Personal Property tax exemption for International Business Machines (IBM), 600 Crescent Road, East Lansing, MI 48823. Per the requirements of Public Act 328 of 1998, as amended, each taxing jurisdiction affected must be notified. The official public notice is enclosed and provides additional details on time and location.

IBM is proposing to expand their operations at 600 Crescent Road and invest $200,000 in new equipment and hire 100 more employees. The exemption would be for five years and have an estimated annual value of $7,955 and a total value of $39,775. IBM received a previous personal property tax exemption in 2009 related to their original investment at this site. That exemption, which was related to personal property valued at $2,250,000, expired last year. The current exemption DOES NOT include real property.

The East Lansing City Council welcomes your comments on the proposed tax exemption.

If you have any questions, please contact Lori Mullins, Community and Economic Development Administrator at 319-8887 or lmullin@cityofeastlansing.com.

Sincerely,

Lori Mullins  
Community & Economic Administrator  

Enclosure
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
EAST LANSING CITY COUNCIL

Notice is hereby given that a public hearing will be held by the East Lansing City Council on Tuesday, April 21, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. in Council Chambers, 101 Linden Street, to consider the following:

A public hearing will be held to consider an application from International Business Machines Corporation, located at 600 Crescent Road, East Lansing, MI, for exemption of new personal property tax, pursuant to PA328, 1998, as amended, of the Public Acts of the State of Michigan.

For additional information, contact the Department of Planning, Building and Development at (517) 319-6930, East Lansing City Hall, 410 Abbot Road, East Lansing. All interested persons will be given an opportunity to be heard.

The City of East Lansing will provide reasonable accommodations, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at this meeting, upon notice to the City of East Lansing, prior to the meeting. Individuals with disabilities requiring reasonable accommodations or services should write or call the City Manager’s Office, 410 Abbot Road, East Lansing, MI 48823 (517) 319-6920, TDD 1-800-649-3777.

Marie E. McKenna
City Clerk

Dated: March 30, 2015
East Lansing, MI 48823
Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION IN HONOR OF THE 2015 STATE ARBOR DAY CELEBRATION

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, Arbor Day was first celebrated in 1872 to promote conservation efforts and has become a cherished and respected tradition in Michigan; and

WHEREAS, Arbor Day is a time to celebrate trees and their importance in our lives and represents an opportunity to emphasize that tree planting is an important personal demonstration of stewardship; and

WHEREAS, Arbor Day helps remind Ingham County residents that healthy natural resources are vital and that each of us can play a role in ensuring the quality of life in our community; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners wish to recognize the outstanding efforts of all involved with the success of Arbor Day including the Michigan Arbor Day Alliance, Michigan Forestry and Park Association, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources Forest, Mineral and Fire Management Division, City of Lansing’s Parks & Recreation and Forestry Division; and

WHEREAS, the 2015 State Arbor Day Celebration will take place Friday, April 24, 2015 at Potter Park Zoo.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby endorses Arbor Day and extends their congratulations and best wishes to all of those involved in the 2015 State Arbor Day.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
 Nays:  None  Absent:  Koenig  Approved  4/07/15
WHEREAS, much of the process by which a platted subdivision is developed follows state statute; and

WHEREAS, the residential subdivision called Meadow Ridge has followed the final plat recording requirements of PA 288 of 1967 for five of the many phases illustrated on the preliminary plat; and

WHEREAS, the proprietor has asked for approval of the Final Plat for Meadow Ridge No. 6, which contains 11 lots; and

WHEREAS, the road infrastructure servicing Meadow Ridge No. 6 was built and accepted into Ingham County’s public road system as part of the plat called Meadow Ridge No. 5; and

WHEREAS, Road Department staff recommends approval of the Final Plat of Meadow Ridge No. 6.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to certify approval of three copies of the “True Copy” of the final plat and subsequent mylar final plat of Meadow Ridge No. 6 in accordance with state statute.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None    Absent: Koenig    Approved 4/07/15
Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL AND ROUTINE PERMITS FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, as of July 23, 2013, the Ingham County Department of Transportation and Roads became the Ingham County Road Department per Resolution #13-289; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Commission periodically approved Special and Routine permits as part of their roles and responsibilities; and

WHEREAS, this is now the responsibility of the Board of Commissioners to approve these permits as necessary.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the attached list of Special and Routine Permits dated March 25, 2015 as submitted.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville

Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/07/15
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/W PERMIT#</th>
<th>R/W APPLICANT /CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>R/W WORK</th>
<th>R/W LOCATION</th>
<th>R/W CITY/TWP.</th>
<th>R/W SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-071</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>CEDAR ST BET HARPER RD &amp; EDGAR RD</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>24, 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-072</td>
<td>FERRIS &amp; SONS MILK HAULING</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE / MILK</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-073</td>
<td>KEISER TRUCKING</td>
<td>AGRICULTURAL</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-074</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>GROVENBURG RD &amp; BOXWOOD AVE</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-076</td>
<td>GANTZ TRUCKING LLC</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE / MILK</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-077</td>
<td>LANSING CHARTER TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>WATERMAIN</td>
<td>HARWICK DR BET NORWOOD AVE &amp; WESTFIELD RD</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-079</td>
<td>LANSING CHARTER TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>WATERMAIN</td>
<td>CATHERINE ST BET MICHIGAN AVE &amp; IONIA ST</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-080</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>SHEPPER RD &amp; CRAIG RD</td>
<td>STOCKBRIDGE</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-081</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>CEDAR ST BET CIRCLE DR &amp; EDGAR RD</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-082</td>
<td>ROBERT COOLEY TRUCKING</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE / MILK</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-084</td>
<td>ENBRIDGE ENERGY</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>MT PLEASANT RD &amp; DEXTER TR</td>
<td>STOCKBRIDGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-089</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>GRAND RIVER AVE M&amp; CENTRAL PARK DR</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-090</td>
<td>FRONTIER</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>WEBBERVILLE RD BET ALLEN RD &amp; GRAND RIVER</td>
<td>LEROY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-091</td>
<td>GREAT LAKES COMNET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>BELLE CHASE WAY &amp; LEGACY PKWY</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-092</td>
<td>MERIDIAN CHARTER TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>ROAD CLOSURE/</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-093</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>PINE TREE RD BET DEVELOPMENT DR &amp; ENGLISH OAK DR</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-100</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE / OH</td>
<td>CEDAR ST BET WILLOWHY RD &amp; DELHI COMM DR</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Company</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Addresses</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Zip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-101</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>HAMILTON RD BET MARSH RD &amp; KINGSWOOD CIR</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-102</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>OKEMOS RD BET HULTEE RD &amp; HERITAGE AVE</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-106</td>
<td>ENBRIDGE ENERGY</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE</td>
<td>MT PLEASANT RD</td>
<td>STOCKBRIDGE</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-113</td>
<td>FRONTIER</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>ZIMMER RD BET SHERWOOD RD &amp; CHERRY VALLE LN</td>
<td>WILLIAMSTOWN</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-114</td>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>COLUMBIA ST &amp; LAKE ST</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-115</td>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>DOBIE RD &amp; GRAND RIVER AVE</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHEREAS, Sean T. McGuire is a freshman at Michigan State University, he is active in his community and enjoys music and sports, he was the varsity tennis captain and saxophone section leader at Williamston High School; and

WHEREAS, Sean began his scouting career in 2002 and attained Cub Scouting’s highest honor, the Arrow of Light in 2007, he joined Williamston’s Boy Scout Troop 63 in the spring of 2007; and

WHEREAS, his scout leadership history includes Patrol Leader and Librarian and he attended numerous campouts, summer camps and three high adventure trips at Philmont Scout Ranch, Seabase and Northern Tier; and

WHEREAS, Sean’s eagle project entailed the design, construction and installation of two Little Free Library boxes for the Fowlerville District Library, which entailed 212 hours of service and leadership of fellow scouts, friends and adults to complete this project; and

WHEREAS, Sean has earned the highest rank attainable in Scouting, the Eagle Scout; and

WHEREAS, to achieve the Eagle rank, a scout must demonstrate leadership and citizenship while earning 21 merit badges before reaching the age of 18.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners congratulates Sean T. McGuire for earning the rank of Eagle Scout and extends its sincere appreciation to Sean for serving as a positive role model for the youth in our community.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/07/15
Minutes of a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of Ingham County, Michigan, held in the Ingham County Courthouse, Mason, Michigan, on April 14, 2015, at 6:30 p.m., local time.

PRESENT: Commissioners

ABSENT: Commissioners

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner _______________ and supported by Commissioner: ______________

WHEREAS, proceedings have previously been taken under the provisions of Act 40, Public Acts of Michigan, 1956, as amended (the “Act”), for the making of certain intra-county drain improvements referred to as the Auctioneer Drain, Webberville Industrial Park Branch County Drain Project (the “Project”), which was undertaken by the Auctioneer Drain, Webberville Industrial Park Branch County Drain Drainage District (the “Auctioneer Drainage District”) in a Special Assessment District (the “Special Assessment District”) established by the Drainage District; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide funds to pay the costs of the Project, the Auctioneer Drainage District issued its 2003 Auctioneer Drain, Webberville Industrial Park Branch County Drain Drainage District Bonds (General Obligation Limited Tax) (the “Prior Bonds”) in the original aggregate principal amount of $2,155,000 pursuant to the Act; and

WHEREAS, the principal of and interest on the Prior Bonds is payable from assessments made upon public corporations and/or benefited properties in the Special Assessment District; and

WHEREAS, by Order of the Ingham County Drain Commissioner, issued on July 9, 2007, the name of the Auctioneer Drainage District was changed to the Matthew L. Bugbee Drain Drainage District (the “Drainage District”); and

WHEREAS, the Drainage District has received a savings report from Stauder, Barch Associates, Inc., that shows that refunding all or a portion of the Prior Bonds may provide a net present value savings with respect to the debt service on the Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Drainage District intends to issue refunding bonds in the amount of not to exceed $970,000 (the “Refunding Bonds”) in order to refund the Prior Bonds; and
WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners (the “Board”) may, by resolution adopted by a majority of the members of the Board, pledge the full faith and credit of the County for the prompt payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds pursuant to Section 276 of the Act; and

WHEREAS, the pledge of the full faith and credit of the County to the Refunding Bonds will provide a net interest cost savings and will be a benefit to the County and the people of the County.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED as follows:

1. The County pledges its full faith and credit for the prompt payment of the principal of and interest on the Refunding Bonds in a par amount not to exceed $970,000. The County shall immediately advance sufficient moneys from County funds, as a first budget obligation, to pay the principal of and interest on any of the Refunding Bonds should the Drainage District fail to pay such amounts when due. The County shall, if necessary, levy a tax on all taxable property in the County, to the extent other available funds are insufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Refunding Bonds when due.

2. Should the County advance County funds pursuant to the pledge made in this Resolution, the amounts shall be repaid to the County from assessments or reassessments made upon benefited properties in the Special Assessment District as provided in the Act.

3. The Chairperson of the Board, the County Clerk, the County Treasurer and any other official of the County, or any one or more of them (“Authorized Officers”), are authorized and directed to take all actions necessary or desirable for the issuance of the Refunding Bonds and to execute any documents or certificates necessary to complete the issuance of the Refunding Bonds, including, but not limited to, any applications including the Michigan Department of Treasury, Application for State Treasurer’s Approval to Issue Long-Term Securities, any waivers, certificates, receipts, orders, agreements, instruments, and any certificates relating to federal or state securities laws, rules, or regulations and to participate in the preparation of a preliminary official statement and a final official statement for the Refunding Bonds and to sign such documents and give any approvals necessary therefor.

4. Any one of the Authorized Officers is hereby authorized to execute a certificate of the County to comply with the continuing disclosure undertaking of the County with respect to the Refunding Bonds pursuant to paragraph (b)(5) of SEC Rule 15c2-12 issued under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and amendments to such certificate from time to time in accordance with the terms of such certificate (the certificate and any amendments thereto are collectively referred to herein as the “Continuing Disclosure Certificate”). The County hereby covenants and agrees that it will comply with and carry out all of the provisions of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate.

5. All resolutions and parts of resolutions are, to the extent of any conflict with this resolution, rescinded to the extent of the conflict.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: Tennis, Schafer Approved 4/08/15
RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham

STATE OF MICHIGAN  )
   ) SS
COUNTY OF INGHAM  )

I, Barb Byrum, the duly qualified and acting Clerk of Ingham County, Michigan (the “County”) do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Commissioners at a meeting held on April 14, 2015, the original of which is on file in my office. Public notice of said meeting was given pursuant to and in compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of Michigan of 1976, as amended.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my signature this 14\textsuperscript{th} day of April, 2015.

Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham
Minutes of a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of Ingham County, Michigan, held in the Ingham County Courthouse, Mason, Michigan, on April 14, 2015, at 6:30 p.m., local time.

PRESENT: Commissioners _______________________________  
______________________________  

ABSENT: Commissioners _______________________________  
______________________________  

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner ____________ and supported by Commissioner: ____________  

WHEREAS, proceedings have previously been taken under the provisions of Act 40, Public Acts of Michigan, 1956, as amended (the “Act”), for the making of certain intra-county drain improvements referred to as the Towar Gardens and Branches Drain Project (the “Project”), which was undertaken by the Towar Gardens and Branches Drain Drainage District (the “Drainage District”) in a Special Assessment District (the “Special Assessment District”) established by the Drainage District; and  

WHEREAS, in order to provide funds to pay the costs of the Project, the Drainage District issued its 2005 Drainage District Bonds (General Obligation Limited Tax) (the “Prior Bonds”) in the original aggregate principal amount of $7,805,000 pursuant to the Act; and  

WHEREAS, the principal of and interest on the Prior Bonds is payable from assessments made upon public corporations and/or benefited properties in the Special Assessment District; and  

WHEREAS, the Drainage District has received a savings report from Stauder, Barch Associates, Inc., that shows that refunding all or a portion of the Prior Bonds may provide a net present value savings with respect to the debt service on the Prior Bonds; and  

WHEREAS, the Drainage District intends to issue refunding bonds in the amount of not to exceed $4,295,000 (the “Refunding Bonds”) in order to refund the Prior Bonds; and  

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners (the “Board”) may, by resolution adopted by a majority of the members of the Board, pledge the full faith and credit of the County for the prompt payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds pursuant to Section 276 of the Act; and
WHEREAS, the pledge of the full faith and credit of the County to the Refunding Bonds will provide a net interest cost savings and will be a benefit to the County and the people of the County.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED as follows:

1. The County pledges its full faith and credit for the prompt payment of the principal of and interest on the Refunding Bonds in a par amount not to exceed $4,295,000. The County shall immediately advance sufficient moneys from County funds, as a first budget obligation, to pay the principal of and interest on any of the Refunding Bonds should the Drainage District fail to pay such amounts when due. The County shall, if necessary, levy a tax on all taxable property in the County, to the extent other available funds are insufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Refunding Bonds when due.

2. Should the County advance County funds pursuant to the pledge made in this Resolution, the amounts shall be repaid to the County from assessments or reassessments made upon benefited properties in the Special Assessment District as provided in the Act.

3. The Chairperson of the Board, the County Clerk, the County Treasurer and any other official of the County, or any one or more of them (“Authorized Officers”), are authorized and directed to take all actions necessary or desirable for the issuance of the Refunding Bonds and to execute any documents or certificates necessary to complete the issuance of the Refunding Bonds, including, but not limited to, any applications including the Michigan Department of Treasury, Application for State Treasurer’s Approval to Issue Long-Term Securities, any waivers, certificates, receipts, orders, agreements, instruments, and any certificates relating to federal or state securities laws, rules, or regulations and to participate in the preparation of a preliminary official statement and a final official statement for the Refunding Bonds and to sign such documents and give any approvals necessary therefor.

4. Any one of the Authorized Officers is hereby authorized to execute a certificate of the County to comply with the continuing disclosure undertaking of the County with respect to the Refunding Bonds pursuant to paragraph (b)(5) of SEC Rule 15c2-12 issued under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and amendments to such certificate from time to time in accordance with the terms of such certificate (the certificate and any amendments thereto are collectively referred to herein as the “Continuing Disclosure Certificate”). The County hereby covenants and agrees that it will comply with and carry out all of the provisions of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate.

5. All resolutions and parts of resolutions are, to the extent of any conflict with this resolution, rescinded to the extent of the conflict.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: Tennis, Schafer Approved 4/08/15

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham
STATE OF MICHIGAN
)
COUNTY OF INGHAM
)

I, Barb Byrum, the duly qualified and acting Clerk of Ingham County, Michigan (the “County”) do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Commissioners at a meeting held on April 14, 2015, the original of which is on file in my office. Public notice of said meeting was given pursuant to and in compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of Michigan of 1976, as amended.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my signature this 14th day of April, 2015.

______________________________
Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham
AGENDA ITEM NO. 8

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION PLEDGING FULL FAITH AND CREDIT
TO TOWAR SNELL DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT BONDS

RESOLUTION # 15 -

Minutes of a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners of Ingham County, Michigan, held in the Ingham County Courthouse, Mason, Michigan, on April 14, 2015, at 6:30 p.m., local time.

PRESENT: Commissioners

ABSENT: Commissioners

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner ___________ and supported by Commissioner: ____________:

WHEREAS, proceedings have previously been taken under the provisions of Act 40, Public Acts of Michigan, 1956, as amended (the “Act”), for the making of certain intra-county drain improvements referred to as the Towar Snell Drain Project (the “Project”), which was undertaken by the Towar Snell Drain Drainage District (the “Drainage District”) in a Special Assessment District (the “Special Assessment District”) established by the Drainage District; and

WHEREAS, in order to provide funds to pay the costs of the Project, the Drainage District issued its 2005 Drainage District Bonds (General Obligation Limited Tax) (the “Prior Bonds”) in the original aggregate principal amount of $2,010,000 pursuant to the Act; and

WHEREAS, the principal of and interest on the Prior Bonds is payable from assessments made upon public corporations and/or benefited properties in the Special Assessment District; and

WHEREAS, the Drainage District has received a savings report from Stauder, Barch Associates, Inc., that shows that refunding all or a portion of the Prior Bonds may provide a net present value savings with respect to the debt service on the Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Drainage District intends to issue refunding bonds in the amount of not to exceed $1,100,000 (the “Refunding Bonds”) in order to refund the Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners (the “Board”) may, by resolution adopted by a majority of the members of the Board, pledge the full faith and credit of the County for the prompt payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds pursuant to Section 276 of the Act; and

The Board hereby

APRIL 14, 2015
WHEREAS, the pledge of the full faith and credit of the County to the Refunding Bonds will provide a net interest cost savings and will be a benefit to the County and the people of the County.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED as follows:

The County pledges its full faith and credit for the prompt payment of the principal of and interest on the Refunding Bonds in a par amount not to exceed $1,110,000. The County shall immediately advance sufficient moneys from County funds, as a first budget obligation, to pay the principal of and interest on any of the Refunding Bonds should the Drainage District fail to pay such amounts when due. The County shall, if necessary, levy a tax on all taxable property in the County, to the extent other available funds are insufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Refunding Bonds when due.

Should the County advance County funds pursuant to the pledge made in this Resolution, the amounts shall be repaid to the County from assessments or reassessments made upon benefited properties in the Special Assessment District as provided in the Act.

The Chairperson of the Board, the County Clerk, the County Treasurer and any other official of the County, or any one or more of them (“Authorized Officers”), are authorized and directed to take all actions necessary or desirable for the issuance of the Refunding Bonds and to execute any documents or certificates necessary to complete the issuance of the Refunding Bonds, including, but not limited to, any applications including the Michigan Department of Treasury, Application for State Treasurer’s Approval to Issue Long-Term Securities, any waivers, certificates, receipts, orders, agreements, instruments, and any certificates relating to federal or state securities laws, rules, or regulations and to participate in the preparation of a preliminary official statement and a final official statement for the Refunding Bonds and to sign such documents and give any approvals necessary therefor.

Any one of the Authorized Officers is hereby authorized to execute a certificate of the County to comply with the continuing disclosure undertaking of the County with respect to the Refunding Bonds pursuant to paragraph (b)(5) of SEC Rule 15c2-12 issued under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and amendments to such certificate from time to time in accordance with the terms of such certificate (the certificate and any amendments thereto are collectively referred to herein as the “Continuing Disclosure Certificate”). The County hereby covenants and agrees that it will comply with and carry out all of the provisions of the Continuing Disclosure Certificate.

All resolutions and parts of resolutions are, to the extent of any conflict with this resolution, rescinded to the extent of the conflict.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: Tennis, Schafer Approved 4/08/15

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

________________________
Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham
I, Barb Byrum, the duly qualified and acting Clerk of Ingham County, Michigan (the “County”) do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Commissioners at a meeting held on April 14, 2015, the original of which is on file in my office. Public notice of said meeting was given pursuant to and in compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of Michigan of 1976, as amended.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my signature this 14th day of April, 2015.

Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING AN INGHAM COUNTY LOCAL SITE REMEDIATION REVOLVING FUND AND A POLICY FOR ITS FUNDING AND IMPLEMENTATION

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (ICBRA) was established by Ingham County Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the mission of ICBRA is the maintenance and implementation of a Brownfields redevelopment program throughout Ingham County and to support the cleanup and redevelopment of environmentally contamination and previously used development sites, that promote the economic development goals of Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, Section 8 of the Brownfield Redevelopment Act, Act 381 of the Public Acts of Michigan of 1996 as amended (Act 381) authorizes the establishment of a Local Site Remediation Revolving Fund (LSRRF) for the purpose of providing loans for brownfield redevelopment projects for eligible activities defined in Act 381 and that are part of an approved brownfield plan; and

WHEREAS, the funding for the LSRRF would come primarily from tax increment revenues generated by completed Brownfield Plan Projects; and

WHEREAS, the LSRRF would provide funding to identify, evaluate, and characterize brownfields and to assist with the payment or financing of the cost of eligible activities in connection with redevelopment projects in Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the ICBRA at its meeting on March 13, 2015 adopted a resolution recommending that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners create an Ingham County Local Site Remediation Revolving Fund.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners does hereby adopt the Ingham County Local Site Remediation Revolving Fund Policy as attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners establishes an application fee of $1,000, to be reviewed annually, for the Ingham County Local Site Remediation Revolving Fund.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None   Absent: Koenig   Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None   Absent: Tennis, Schaefer   Approved 4/08/15
The Ingham County Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (ICBRA) has established a Local Site Remediation Revolving Fund (LSRRF) as authorized under Section 8 of the Brownfield Redevelopment Financing Act, Act 381 of the Public Acts of Michigan of 1996, as amended (“Act 381”). The ICBRA can provide loans for brownfield redevelopment projects in the County. Funding for the LSRRF comes primarily from tax increment revenues generated by completed Brownfield Plan Projects after such Projects have had certain eligible activities paid or reimbursed pursuant to a Development and Reimbursement Agreement, but the LSRRF may also receive funds from any other source permitted under Act 318 except that no monies shall be derived from the Ingham County General Fund. The LSRRF is intended to help identify brownfields within the County and provide financing to assist with eliminating brownfield conditions.

Objectives

The LSRRF exists to provide funding to identify, evaluate and characterize brownfields, and to assist with payment or financing of the cost of eligible activities (as defined below) in connection with redevelopment projects located in Ingham County (County). Projects will be considered for funding based on the extent to which the project:

- Demonstrates financial need
- Alleviates environmental contamination and/or blight
- Promotes “green remediation” or low-impact design elements
- Leverages additional private investment
- Will create or retain jobs

Management and Administration

The ICBRA Board will serve as the LSRRF Committee and will be responsible for final decisions regarding all loans. The Ingham County Economic Development Coordinator will manage and oversee the implementation of the LSRRF, will provide recommendations to the LSRRF Committee regarding individual projects, and will be responsible for reporting on the status of projects, loans, grants, and the non-obligated funds available in the LSRRF. The ICBRA’s legal counsel will advise on LSRRF activities. The ICBRA may enter into agreements with a loan servicing provider or participating lending institutions if it is determined to be in the ICBRA’s best interest. Any such agreement shall be approved by the LSRRF Committee.

Staff will report to the LSRRF Committee not less than quarterly on the status of any outstanding loans as well as on the financial standing of the LSRRF fund.

Applicant and Property Eligibility

Borrowers may be public or private for-profit or non-profit entities and must:
• Have a legal interest in the property that comprises the project (ownership or binding purchase agreement);
• Not have contributed or be liable for environmental contamination at the property;
• Have the ability to repay a loan as determined solely by the LSRRF Committee;
• Have conducted or have plans to conduct (with or without LSRRF funding), environmental due diligence, including at a minimum a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment prior to the purchase of the property and any Environmental Investigations recommended by the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment;
• Have received all necessary approvals for the proposed project from the local jurisdiction;
• Be current on all obligations to the County and the local jurisdiction, including but not limited to real and personal income tax obligations (both the applicant and any affiliated entities) and have no outstanding code compliance issues with the local jurisdiction.

In addition to applicant eligibility, the project must occur on a site that is an eligible property as defined in Act 381, and located in Ingham County. The determination of eligibility is the responsibility solely of the LSRRF Committee.

Eligible Activities

LSRRF funds may be used to fund the following activities as defined in Act 381, including:

• Environmental Site Assessment
• Due Care Planning and Due Care Activities
• Demolition as an Environmental Response Activity
• Additional Environmental Response Activities
• Public Infrastructure

Only those costs incurred after approval of the loan (with the exception of environmental site assessment) will be paid for by loan proceeds. The final determination of whether an activity constitutes an eligible activity rests solely with the LSRRF Committee. LSRRF funds may be used to fund some activities, a portion of some activities, or all of the eligible activities at a project.

Application

Applicants will submit an application in a form provided by the ICBRA, including all required attachments. In addition to the application, applicants will be required to submit the following:

• Evidence the of applicant eligibility as described above;
• Evidence of property and activity eligibility, as described above;
• Application fee as indicated in the most current version of the Application Fee Schedule
Loans

The LSRRF may be used to make loans for eligible activates (as defined above). The terms of each loan will be incorporated into a project’s Development and Reimbursement Agreement and will be determined on a case-by-case basis in the sole discretion of the LSRRF Committee, in general conformance with the following guidelines.

- Borrowers must seek tax increment financing through approval of a Brownfield Plan;
- Loans will be repaid with annual tax increment revenues generated by the completed project, after the ICBRA has retained its administrative percentage of annual revenues.
- Loans will not bear interest unless determined necessary by the LSSRF Committee.
- The ICBRA may enter into agreements with the lending institution providing the construction and/or permanent financing for a redevelopment project with the goal of eliminating the need for construction financing and/or permanent financing of eligible activities. (Additional documentation will be made available providing details on the mechanics of a lending institution’s participation)
- The minimum loan amount available to a project is $50,000 and the maximum loan amount available to a project is $300,000, dependent upon the availability of non-obligated funds, or the total tax increment revenues anticipated to be available for repayment over a fifteen (15) year period, whichever is less. The LSSRF Committee may, form time-to-time modify the maximum loan amount based on the availability of funds.
- Unless other arrangements have been made with a borrower and a participating lending institution, loan proceeds will be dispersed on a reimbursement basis upon fulfillment of the terms and conditions contained in the Development and Reimbursement Agreement.
- Any outstanding principal and/or interest are due and payable at the time the borrower sells the property that comprises the project for which it received a loan.

Reports

The ICBRA requires on electronic copy of all reports completed (i.e. Phase I, Phase II, Baseline Environmental Assessment, Due Care Plan, No Further Action Letter, etc.,) which are funded, in whole or in part by LSRRF Funds.

Amendments

The LSRRF Committee, in its sole discretion, may make amendments to this policy as it deems appropriate. The Ingham County Board of Commissioners would be notified if an amendment was made.

Waiver

The LSRRF Committee, in its sole discretion, may choose to waive all or any part of this policy if it determines such waiver to be in the ICBRA’s best interest.

Suspension of Tax Increment Revenue Collection
If at any time after approval of this policy, there is a period of three consecutive fiscal years of the ICBRA in which there is no new loan activity, the ICBRA will suspend capturing tax increment revenues to fund the LSRRF. If after such suspension of capture, there is a new grant or loan issued pursuant to this policy the ICBRA will evaluate the need to recommence capture based on the amount of funds available for loans. In no event will the ICBRA attempt to capture tax increment revenues from a prior fiscal year in which revenue capture was suspended pursuant to this paragraph, such tax increment revenues already having been distributed to various taxing jurisdictions.

Fees

Non-refundable fees will be assessed in connection with grant and loan applications and processing. Fees are assessed in the following categories in amounts provided in the ICBRA’s fee schedule.

- Loans Application Fee (due with application)
- Loan Processing Fee (due at loan closing)
- Assignment of or an Amendment to an Agreement

Application

1. The application will consist of an application form and all required attachments evidencing project and borrower eligibility and describing activities to be financed by the ICBRA loan and their estimated costs.

2. The ICBRA will determine whether the application is sufficient, meets the requirements of Act 381, and whether a loan will be provided to the project and will establish a not-to-exceed amount for the LSRRF loan. In order to be eligible for a loan form the LSSRF an applicant must seek tax increment reimbursement through a Brownfield Plan in order to secure a loan.

3. After an application is received and reviewed by the ICBRA, the following agreements are drafted for review and approval by the ICBRA, developer and Bank:
   a. Development and Reimbursement Agreement between Developer and ICBRA
      i. Describes the amount to be reimbursed through tax increment and the amount to be funded by a loan, requirements and process for reimbursement and repayment of a loan, requirements for disbursement of loan funds.
      ii. If desired by the Bank and Developer and acceptable to the ICBRA, the annual tax increment revenues may be utilized both to repay the ICBRA loan and to reimburse the developer. If mutually agreed to, the proportion of tax increment revenues to be utilized for these purposes must be defined in this agreement. The ICBRA will agree to a proportional share of revenues that will, using reasonable projections of tax increment, result in
repayment of its loan in the same term as the Bank’s permanent loan, but not to exceed 15 years.

b. Agreement between the ICBRA and Bank
   i. Commits the ICBRA to provide the Bank with funding for the loan pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Development and Reimbursement Agreement (in a maximum not to exceed amount)
   ii. Describes procedures and timeline for escrow of loan funds with Bank, Bank’s disbursement of loan funds to Developer, and timing and requirements for return of unallocated escrowed funds from Bank to ICBRA.

**NOTE:** Application approval can take up to 120 days depending on what stage of predevelopment the project has achieved. During the application process, cost estimates are refined and the above agreements may be negotiated and modified.

The ICBRA, the Developer and the participating lending institution (Bank) will determine which of the following procedures will be utilized to fund, close and service the BRA loan. Option A will eliminate the need for temporary financing of eligible activities, but will require additional resources from all parties. Option B will provide funding for eligible activities at the time of closing on the project’s permanent financing.

**OPTION A:** Tax Increment Generating Projects with ICBRA Loan to be funded during Construction

1. **Funding the Eligible Activities**
   a. Upon execution of the above agreements and prior to commencement of the project, the ICBRA will escrow the maximum loan amount with the Bank in accordance with the agreements.
   b. During the construction of the project, the Developer may submit invoices to the ICBRA and the Bank on a monthly basis. The submission will be in a form approved or provided by the ICBRA, and will evidence the costs incurred for eligible activities to be funded by the ICBRA loan. Submission may request distribution of some or all of the ICBRA funds.
   c. Within five business days of receipt of the invoices, the ICBRA will review the invoices and will provide the Bank with written approval of the amount of escrowed ICBRA funds to be provided to the Developer, any requested amounts disallowed, and the reason for their disallowance.
   d. Following a distribution, and before the ICBRA will approve any subsequent distribution, the Bank will provide the ICBRA with written documentation of the date and amount of all distributed ICBRA funds and amount of ICBT+RA funds remaining in escrow.

2. **Closing the Loan**
   a. Following project completion (or completion of activities to be funded by the ICBRA loan), Bank will provide the BRA with summary of distributions and remaining, unallocated amount of BRA funds held in escrow.
b. The Bank returns unallocated escrowed funds to the ICBRA, including any interest earned, pursuant to the Agreement between the Bank and the ICBRA.

c. Loan is considered funded and closed. Bank’s obligation in connection with the BRA loan are complete.

d. If annual tax increment revenues are to be shared as agreed to in the Development and Reimbursement, the Bank may request an assignment of the Developer’s rights to their portion of the annual tax increment for that portion only of the Bank loan that has been used to pay eligible costs.

3. Servicing the Loan

a. The ICBRA will service the loan utilizing tax increment as described in the Development and Reimbursement Agreement. If previously agreed upon, the BRA will distribute tax increment revenues proportionately between ICBRA loan repayment and Developer reimbursement of additional (i.e. non-BRA Loan funded) eligible activities.

OPTION B: Tax Increment Generating Projects with ICBRA Loan to be funded at Project Completion

1. Funding the Eligible Activities

a. Upon execution of the above agreements, and prior to the commencement of the project, the BRA will escrow the maximum loan amount with the Bank in accordance with the agreements.

b. At completion of the project, or completion of the eligible activities, the Developer shall submit invoices to ICBRA, evidencing their costs incurred for eligible activities to be funded by the ICBRA loan.

c. The ICBRA Board will approve the total amount of eligible activities and will provide the Bank with written approval of the amount of escrowed ICBRA funds to be provided to the Developer, any requested amounts disallowed, and the reason for the disallowance.

2. Closing the Loan

a. Upon satisfaction of the terms and conditions contained in the Development and Reimbursement Agreement, the ICBRA will provide the Bank with approval to proceed with the loan closing, which may occur concurrently with closing on the permanent financing.

b. At the closing, the Bank will provide the ICBRA with the unallocated amount of the BRA funds, including any interest earned, held in escrow.

c. The ICBRA closing documents will consist only of a closing statement form the Bank indicating the fulfillment of the Bank’s obligations pursuant to the Agreement and closing the escrow account.

d. The loan is considered funded and closed, Bank’s obligation in connection with the ICBRA loan are complete.

e. If annual tax increment revenues are to be shared as agreed to in the Development and Reimbursement Agreement, the Bank may request an assignment of the Developer’s rights to their portion of the annual tax increment to be executed at the closing. The assignment shall only be for that portion of the Bank loan that has been used to pay eligible costs.
3. **Servicing the Loan**
   a. The ICBRA will service the loan utilizing tax increment as described in the Development and Reimbursement Agreement.
   b. If previously agreed upon, the ICBRA will distribute tax increment revenues proportionately between the ICBRA loan repayment and Developer reimbursement of additional (i.e. non-BRA loan funded) eligible activities.
BROWNFIELD FEE STRUCTURE

BROWNFIELD PLAN

A non-refundable $1,500 Application fee is due at time of application for approval of a Brownfield Plan by the Ingham County Brownfield Authority

BROWNFIELD LOCAL SITE REMEDIATION REVOLVING FUND LOAN

A non-refundable $1,000 Application Fee is due at time of application for the Loan

A loan processing fee is due at the time of closing on the loan. The fee is 1% of the approved loan amount

ADDITIONAL FEES

1. Applicant must agree to pay any legal or consulting fees incurred by the ICBRA
2. The applicant must agree to pay any fees incurred by the ICBRA from a participating lending institution
3. A $1,000 fee for the assignment of an agreement or an amendment to an existing agreement.
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING AN APPLICATION POLICY FOR INCLUSION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY IN AN INGHAM COUNTY BROWNFIELD PLAN AND TO ESTABLISH AN APPLICATION FEE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners created the Ingham County Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (ICBRA) to maintain and implement a Brownfield redevelopment program throughout Ingham County and to support the cleanup and redevelopment of environmentally contaminated and previously used development sites, that promote the economic development goals of Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the ICBRA in carrying out its mission accepts and reviews Brownfield Plans for redevelopment in Ingham County and makes recommendations to the Board of Commissioners for approval of such plans; and

WHEREAS, the ICBRA believes to better serve both Ingham County and the developer a policy should be established for the submission and review of Brownfield Plans to the ICBRA; and

WHEREAS, on March 13, 2015 the ICBRA adopted a resolution to recommend the Ingham County Board of Commissioners adopt an Application Policy for Inclusion of Private Property in an Ingham County Brownfield Plan.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners adopts the “Policy for Inclusion of Private Property in Ingham County Brownfield Plan” as attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Brownfield Redevelopment Authority and all applicants thereto shall adhere to all Ingham County Human Resources policies.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners establishes an application fee of $1,500, to be reviewed annually, for the submission of a Brownfield Plan to the Ingham County Brownfield Redevelopment Authority.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yea's: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville  
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yea's: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert  
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Schafer  Approved 4/08/15
POLICY FOR INCLUSION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY IN
INGHAM COUNTY BROWNFIELD PLAN

Introduction

In 2000, the Michigan legislature created statutory provisions that much more broadly define properties considered "brownfields" for the purposes of inclusion in local brownfield plans. Under new state definitions, brownfields may include "functionally obsolete," "blighted," or environmentally contaminated properties. Privately owned properties that fall into one or more of these categories are potentially enormous in number. In order to make the best use of the county's limited staffing and financial resources, and to focus on brownfield sites already designated in Ingham County's Brownfield Plan (sites that are nearly all publicly owned), there is a need to be selective in the future choice of privately owned properties to include in the plan.

At the same time, the Brownfield Redevelopment Authority seeks to include in its Brownfield Plan eligible properties that lead to high-impact redevelopment projects consistent with the county's strategic plan. Therefore, the following local criteria shall be used to determine the eligibility of private property and specific factors that the BRA will examine in making a recommendation to include a property in the Brownfield Plan.

Local Threshold Criteria

1. An eligible property, when redeveloped, must generate property tax revenue "capturable" by the BRA for use on other sites included in the county's Brownfield Plan. Alternatively, a non-tax-generating project on a private property must result in new job opportunities and/or provide a needed neighborhood or community service. (Unless reimbursable expenses are incurred by a project, brownfield statutes do not allow the county to capture tax revenue. Brownfield Plan benefits provided for non-tax-generating properties must be subsidized by tax capture on tax-producing properties in the plan.); and

2. An eligible property that produces a major development (at least $3 million total project value) or is demonstrated to be a unique development opportunity for the county or a catalyst for spinoff redevelopment will be considered a Category A Project. The BRA will consider action to amend its Brownfield Plan for a Category A Project independent of periodic county-initiated plan amendments; or

3. An eligible property that does not qualify as a Category A project will be designated as a Category B Project. Category B projects will be considered for inclusion in the Brownfield Plan at the time of the next County-initiated amendment (usually once per year).

Evaluation Factors

County staff and the BRA board, in formulating a recommendation to the County Commission about the inclusion and categorization of a privately owned property in the Brownfield Plan, will consider and evaluate the factors below. A given project/property need not possess every characteristic implied by each of the following evaluation factors:
1. Strength of business plan, financial commitments, architectural plans, and market analysis for the re-use of vacant properties or structures.

2. Amount of property tax to be generated relative to property taxes abated.

3. Amount of investment in buildings and equipment.

4. Project allows a business to expand in the county, retains a significant number of jobs, and/or will add sustainable, quality jobs.

5. Project includes other investment in neighborhood revitalization; is located in a targeted redevelopment area; involves improvement of public infrastructure; or utilizes other public or private financing tools to maximize redevelopment benefits.

6. For residential projects, the extent to which the project is consistent with county housing strategies, creates mixed-use redevelopment, or develops downtown housing.

7. Project is consistent with a redevelopment plan and/or project enhances county investment in related brownfield projects.

8. All applicants must comply with the following county ordinances and policies:
   a. Not delinquent with any real and personal property taxes, fees, assessments, liens or other charges;
   b. No record of loan loss with the county; and
   c. Not subject to a pending violation notice or compliance order for any violation of the county's Zoning Ordinance

9. Proposed use of a property must be consistent with the county's Comprehensive Plan.

10. Applicant has not contributed to, exacerbated, nor is responsible for any environmental contamination on the subject site.

11. Strength of references.

**Application, Fees and Other Requirements**

1. Each applicant shall complete an application form provided by the county/BRA.

2. There is an application fee for all projects. The amount will be determined annually by the Ingham County Brownfield Redevelopment Authority based on project size.

3. Applicants shall be responsible for reimbursing the county/BRA for any specialized legal expenses incurred in formalizing a development agreement or other contractual work required to include a project/site in the Brownfield Plan. A not-to-exceed cost will be determined following application review.

4. A development agreement between the applicant and the BRA, in a form generally used by the BRA, will be required. The agreement will contain enforceable provisions for non-compliance. The BRA may require that an applicant obtain a
performance bond tied to the provisions of the development agreement.

Approved by Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Board, March 13, 2015
BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT PLAN APPLICATION

APPLICANT (Firm & Primary Contact):
_______________________________________________

MAILING ADDRESS:_____________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________
PHONE: ___________________________ EMAIL: ______________________________

Project Name and Location:
___________________________________________________________________________

Please provide the following information. Incomplete applications will not be considered.


2. Provide documentation for items under local Threshold Criteria on the attached policy. Please note that the information required in item number 1 of the Threshold Criteria may be contained in the Brownfield Redevelopment Plan.

3. A non-refundable application fee of $1,500 must be paid with the submission of the Plan. Checks should be made payable to the Ingham County Treasurer.

4. Applicants shall be responsible for reimbursing the County/ICBRA for any specialized legal expenses incurred in formalizing a development agreement or other contractual work required to include a project/site in the Brownfield Plan. A not to exceed cost will be determined following application review.

5. A development agreement between the applicant and ICBRA, in a form generally used by the ICBRA will be required. The agreement will contain enforceable provisions for non-compliance. The ICBRA may require that an applicant obtain a performance bond tied to the provisions of the development agreement.
6. Provide documentation for the applicable items under Evaluation Factors in the attached policy. Please note that not all items will apply to all plans.

The Applicant submits this application to the Ingham County Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (BRA) for the purpose of obtaining consideration for reimbursement of certain eligible expenses. It is understood that the ICBRA will rely on the information provided here in making its decision. The undersigned warrants and represents the information herein submitted is true and correct and understands that the ICBRA will consider this representation continuing until the ICBRA receives written notice to the contrary from the undersigned. The ICBRA is authorized to make all inquiries it deems necessary to ratify the accuracy of the information provided or to further determine the undersigned’s ability to perform the proposed project. The ICBRA is also hereby authorized to answer any questions from third parties concerning the undersigned’s experience with the ICBRA.

Applicant Signature: _______________________________ Date: ______________
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A THREE-YEAR AGREEMENT WITH GRANGER CONTAINER INC. FOR WASTE REMOVAL AND RECYCLING SERVICES

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, Ingham County has a need for waste removal and recycling services at various County facilities; and

WHEREAS, bids were sought for these services and pricing information was obtained for a period of three years, with an additional two-year option to renew; and

WHEREAS, an evaluation committee comprised of representatives from the Facilities, Fair, Parks and Purchasing Departments, has jointly recommended accepting the bid submitted by Granger Container Inc., a local vendor, who submitted the lowest and most responsible bid; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department currently has a contract with Granger Container Inc. for waste removal and recycling services; and

WHEREAS, in an effort to consolidate waste removal and recycling services, the Purchasing, Facilities and Road Departments discussed and agreed to add the Road Department to the contract; and

WHEREAS, Granger Container Inc. agreed to merge the Road Department into this new contract; and

WHEREAS, Granger Container Inc.’s costs for waste removal and recycling services at various county facilities will be fixed for a three-year period.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby approves entering into a three-year agreement with an option to renew for two additional years with Granger Container Inc., 3515 Wood Rd., Lansing, Michigan 48906, for waste removal and recycling services according to Granger’s bid response.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None   Absent: Koenig   Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None   Absent: Tennis, Schafer   Approved 4/08/15
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR JANITORIAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department needs janitorial, cleaning and related services and supplies for all Road Department facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department recently released proposal packet #14-15 and received sealed, competitive proposals for janitorial services for a 3-year period beginning on the date of service contract execution; and

WHEREAS, Boling Janitorial of Lansing, Michigan submitted the lowest qualified and responsive proposal for a total 3-year cost of $128,520.00 for all of the services required per proposal packet #14-15, as shown on the Proposal Summary; and

WHEREAS, to consolidate janitorial services for all county departments effective August 1, 2016; and

WHEREAS, Boling Janitorial has agreed to honor an amended proposal to begin May 1, 2015 and expire July 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, both the Road Department and the Purchasing Department have reviewed all proposals received and recommend accepting the amended proposal from Boling Janitorial.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners accepts the amended proposal and authorizes entering into a contract with Boling Janitorial of Lansing, Michigan for janitorial, cleaning and related services and supplies for the Road Department per proposal packet #14-15 for a total cost of $52,710.00 for a 15-month period beginning May 1, 2015, ending July 30, 2016.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: Tennis, Schafer Approved 4/08/15
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A FIRST PARTY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT WITH HOFFMAN BROTHERS, INC. A SECOND PARTY AGREEMENT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND A THIRD PARTY AGREEMENT WITH JACKSON NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE IN RELATION TO A ROAD RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT FOR OKEMOS ROAD FROM SOUTH OF SANDHILL ROAD TO THE I-96 INTERCHANGE

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department (ICRD) received a State of Michigan, Transportation Economic Development Fund, Category A (TEDF-A) grant to reconstruct Okemos Road from approximately 700 feet south of Sandhill Road to the I-96 interchange; and

WHEREAS, the road work is needed to accommodate traffic generated as a result of the Jackson National Life Insurance (JNL) $100M office expansion project; and

WHEREAS, the project will be undertaken pursuant to a contract between Ingham County, on behalf of the Road Department, and the recommended low bidder; and

WHEREAS, the County in turn, must enter into an associated second party agreement with the State of Michigan/MDOT, consistent with the requirements for the Transportation Economic Development Fund, Category A grant requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department and JNL agree that the JNL will administer construction of the project, and be responsible for up to $600,000.00 of the project’s local match costs, by way of a third party agreement; and

WHEREAS, the project is a State-Aid Highway project, and as such, JNL has agreed to comply with all applicable and appropriate ICRD, MDOT, AASHTO design standards, and to fully administer construction of the project, complying with all ICRD and MDOT construction requirements; and

WHEREAS, the estimated construction costs for the project are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Participating work</th>
<th>Non-participating work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Bid - $2,789,438.05</td>
<td>$2,683,222.05</td>
<td>$106,216.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEDF-A Funding</td>
<td>$2,573,040.00</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local (Hard) Match Amount</td>
<td>$110,182.05</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local (Soft) Match Amount</td>
<td>$395,495.00</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** JNL Match Contribution</td>
<td>$505,677.05</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICRD Match Contribution</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$106,216.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
** JNL match contribution will be adjusted to provide the minimum required 20% of the actual TEDF-A grant funded construction costs.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a construction contract with Hoffman Brothers, Inc. to effect reconstruction of Okemos Road from Holt Road to I-96 and Sandhill Road at its intersection with Okemos Road for a total estimated cost of $2,789,438.05, consisting of $2,573,040.00 in State of Michigan, Transportation Economic Development Fund, Category A grant funding, $110,182.05 of JNL local match, and $106,216.00 in Road Department funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a second party agreement with State of Michigan/MDOT (Contract No. 14-5652) to secure the Transportation Economic Development Fund, Category A funds, to define our (Requesting Agency) responsibilities, and to administer the construction contract on MDOT’s behalf.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a third party agreement with Jackson National Life Insurance to be responsible for the County’s applicable second party agreement project Early Preliminary Engineering, Preliminary Engineering, Construction Engineering, and local match requirement estimated to total $505,677.05. Said match requirement is to be met utilizing up to $600,000 pledged from Jackson National Life at the onset of the application phase of the project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary Agreements that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville  
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert  
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Schafer  Approved 4/08/15
APRIL 14, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 14

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A SECOND PARTY AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT IN RELATION TO STATE FUNDED BRIDGE PROJECTS LOCATED AT MEECH ROAD OVER DOAN CREEK, HOLT ROAD OVER DOAN CREEK, CLARK ROAD OVER DEER CREEK - MDOT CONTRACT NO. 15-5001

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Road Department has received Local Bridge Program funding to perform bridge rehabilitation and preventative maintenance work on the Meech Road Bridge over Doan Creek, the Holt Road Bridge over Doan Creek, and the Clark Road Bridge over Deer Creek; and

WHEREAS, the PROJECT will be undertaken pursuant to a contract between the State of Michigan/MDOT and the contractor; and

WHEREAS, the County on behalf of the Road Department, in turn, must therefore enter into an associated second party agreement with the State of Michigan/MDOT consistent with the requirement for state and federal funding requirements; and

WHEREAS, the estimated costs for the project are as follows:

State Local Bridge Funding   $   681,750
Road Department Match:      $     35,880
                           $   717,630

WHEREAS, the Road Department match is included in the 2015 Road Department budget.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a contract with the State of Michigan/MDOT to effect rehabilitation and preventative maintenance work on the Meech Road Bridge over Doan Creek, the Holt Road Bridge over Doan Creek, and the Clark Road Bridge over Deer Creek for a total estimated cost of $717,630 consisting of $681,750 of state Local Bridge Program funding and $35,880 in Road Department funds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary agreements that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
                  Nays:  None  Absent:  Koenig  Approved  4/07/15
FINANCE: **Yea**: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert

**Nays**: None  **Absent**: Tennis, Schafer  **Approved 4/08/15**
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT WITH SMITH’S WATERPROOFING, LLC

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, Ingham County Purchasing Department solicited proposals from Michigan Department of Transportation prequalified and experienced contractors to apply penetrating epoxy bridge deck healer-sealer to the Hagadorn Road Bridge over the Red Cedar River; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department advertised for the bridge deck healer-sealer work and received three (3) proposals; and

WHEREAS, Road Department and Purchasing Department staff reviewed the proposals for adherence to county purchasing requirements, experience, traffic control scheme, costs, and overall value to the county; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department and Purchasing Department staff jointly recommend that the Board of Commissioners retain Smith’s Waterproofing, LLC to perform the work.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a contract with Smith’s Waterproofing, LLC, 3821 Van Dyke Road, Almont, Michigan, based on its $19,361.00 unit price proposal dated February 18, 2015, for the 2015 Hagadorn Road Bridge Deck Healer-Sealer Project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary agreements that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Schafer  Approved 4/08/15
INTRODUCED

APRIL 14, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 16

INTRODUCED BY THE FINANCE COMMITTEE OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE 2015 INGHAM COUNTY BUDGET

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners adopted the 2015 Budget on October 28, 2014 and has authorized certain amendments since that time, and it is now necessary to make some adjustments as a result of updated revenue and expenditure projections, fund transfers, reappropriations, accounting and contractual changes, errors and omissions, and additional appropriation needs; and

WHEREAS, the Liaison Committees and the Finance Committee have reviewed the proposed budget adjustments prepared by the Controller’s staff and have made adjustments where necessary; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 621 of 1978 requires that local units of government maintain a balanced budget and periodically adjust the budget to reflect revised revenue and expenditure levels.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby directs the Controller to make the necessary transfers to adjust revenues and expenditures in the following funds, according to the attached schedules:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>2015 BUDGET 3/15/15</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGES</th>
<th>PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>$80,725,093</td>
<td>$113,662</td>
<td>$80,838,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Road Department</td>
<td>32,052,420</td>
<td>1,745,671</td>
<td>33,798,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2,011,656</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>2,061,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>Family Counseling</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>Trails &amp; Parks Millage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>Public Improvements</td>
<td>504,778</td>
<td>436,689</td>
<td>941,467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>Potter Park/Zoo</td>
<td>3,520,064</td>
<td>629,856</td>
<td>4,149,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>Juvenile Justice Millage</td>
<td>4,606,128</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,611,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
<td>Anti-Drug Abuse Grant</td>
<td>452,520</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>452,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>Community Health Centers</td>
<td>21,215,963</td>
<td>15,600</td>
<td>21,231,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>636</td>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>4,400,376</td>
<td>234,765</td>
<td>4,635,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664</td>
<td>Mach. &amp; Equip. Revolving</td>
<td>781,737</td>
<td>571,097</td>
<td>1,352,834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None    Absent: Tennis, Schafer    Approved 4/08/15
## GENERAL FUND REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Property Tax</td>
<td>43,359,737</td>
<td></td>
<td>43,359,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax Adjustments</td>
<td>(300,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(300,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Real Property Tax</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid Personal Property Tax</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Facility Tax</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailer Fee Tax</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Intergovernmental Transfers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intergovernmental Transfers</th>
<th>2015 Budget – 3/15/15</th>
<th>Proposed Changes</th>
<th>2015 Proposed Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Revenue Sharing</td>
<td>6,088,744</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,088,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention/Tourism Tax - Liquor</td>
<td>2,630,338</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,630,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Equity Fund</td>
<td>1,450,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Fund Balance</td>
<td>2,972,580</td>
<td>109,943</td>
<td>3,082,523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Department Generated Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department Generated Revenue</th>
<th>2015 Budget – 3/15/15</th>
<th>Proposed Changes</th>
<th>2015 Proposed Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>704,992</td>
<td></td>
<td>704,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Family Division</td>
<td>1,103,831</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,103,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Friend of the Court</td>
<td>512,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>512,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Crt - General Trial</td>
<td>2,320,737</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,320,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Mental Health</td>
<td>1,182,830</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,182,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperative Extension</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>3,719</td>
<td>6,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Clerk</td>
<td>644,210</td>
<td></td>
<td>644,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Court</td>
<td>2,674,823</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,674,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Commissioner/Drain Tax</td>
<td>363,758</td>
<td></td>
<td>363,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>55,188</td>
<td></td>
<td>55,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>66,550</td>
<td></td>
<td>66,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Operations</td>
<td>53,582</td>
<td></td>
<td>53,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>2015 Budget - 3/15/15</td>
<td>Proposed Changes</td>
<td>2015 Proposed Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Commissioners</td>
<td>557,349</td>
<td></td>
<td>557,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - General Trial</td>
<td>8,452,849</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,452,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Court</td>
<td>2,937,115</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,937,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Friend of the Court</td>
<td>1,448,189</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,448,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jury Board</td>
<td>1,106</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probate Court</td>
<td>1,447,972</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,447,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Family Division</td>
<td>5,347,223</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,347,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jury Selection</td>
<td>104,598</td>
<td></td>
<td>104,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>185,681</td>
<td></td>
<td>185,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services</td>
<td>707,695</td>
<td></td>
<td>707,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Attorney</td>
<td>441,158</td>
<td></td>
<td>441,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Clerk</td>
<td>866,703</td>
<td></td>
<td>866,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Budget 2023</td>
<td>Budget 2024</td>
<td>Budget 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>834,261</td>
<td>67,500</td>
<td>901,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equalization/Tax Services</td>
<td>715,509</td>
<td>715,509</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>951,842</td>
<td>951,842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecuting Attorney</td>
<td>6,137,474</td>
<td>6,137,474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>203,715</td>
<td>203,715</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>2,041,808</td>
<td>2,041,808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of Deeds</td>
<td>727,411</td>
<td>727,411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remonumentation Grant</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>539,771</td>
<td>539,771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Commissioner</td>
<td>915,545</td>
<td>915,545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>128,645</td>
<td>128,645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Agencies</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingham Conservation District</td>
<td>8,029</td>
<td>8,029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Opportunity Committee</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Commission</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Commission</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-County Regional Planning</td>
<td>111,480</td>
<td>111,480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Maintenance</td>
<td>204,750</td>
<td>204,750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>20,163,674</td>
<td>31,543</td>
<td>20,195,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-County Metro Squad</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Corrections</td>
<td>142,538</td>
<td>142,538</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>1,565,882</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>1,576,782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Sec./Emergency Ops.</td>
<td>196,901</td>
<td>196,901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Public Works</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Tax at Large</td>
<td>430,000</td>
<td>430,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Department</td>
<td>5,014,379</td>
<td>5,014,379</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Centers</td>
<td>2,917,390</td>
<td>2,917,390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Medical</td>
<td>1,902,084</td>
<td>1,902,084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Examiner</td>
<td>334,575</td>
<td>334,575</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Amount 1</td>
<td>Amount 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>1,319,484</td>
<td>1,319,484</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Mental Health</td>
<td>2,996,298</td>
<td>2,996,298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Human Services</td>
<td>2,016,850</td>
<td>2,016,850</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-County Aging</td>
<td>76,225</td>
<td>76,225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>519,083</td>
<td>519,083</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperative Extension</td>
<td>477,800</td>
<td>3,719</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Legacy Costs</td>
<td>88,163</td>
<td>88,163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td>1,618,906</td>
<td>1,618,906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Reserves</td>
<td>228,307</td>
<td>228,307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1-1 Project</td>
<td>45,750</td>
<td>45,750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Coalition for Youth</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Improvements</td>
<td>2,214,126</td>
<td>2,214,126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total General Fund Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>80,725,093</strong></td>
<td><strong>113,662</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Fund Revenues**

Cooperative Extension: Increase 4-H donation revenue $3,719 to reimburse copier and postage expenses.

Use of Fund Balance: Increase use of fund balance for the following reappropriations; $54,000 for 911 Center organization assessment approved by Resolution 14-523, $13,500 for Animal Control Department evaluation approved by Resolution 14-475, $31,543 balance from 2014 Sheriff vehicle budget and $10,900 balance from 2014 Animal Control vehicle budget.

**General Fund Expenditures**

Controller: Reappropriate $54,000 for 911 Center organization assessment approved by Resolution 14-523 and $13,500 for Animal Control Department evaluation approved by Resolution 14-475.

Sheriff: Reappropriate balance of 2014 vehicle budget to purchase one replacement vehicle ($29,043) and tires ($2,500).

Animal Control: Reappropriate $10,900 from 2014 vehicle budget to complete outfitting of truck received in 2014.
Cooperative Extension  Increase copier and postage expenses $3,719. Expenses reimbursed by 4-H donations.

Non-General Fund Adjustments

Road (F201)  Increase use of unrestricted fund balance $1,171,671. This is an annual adjustment that is done once the prior year’s final fund balance has been analyzed. Increase Michigan Transportation Fund/Motor Vehicle Highway Fund revenue $574,000 for two expected special state payments. Increase expenses as follows: $40,000 for temporary salaries, $53,000 for 2.5% salary increase, $147,000 for longevity payments, $960,671 for asphalt & tack, $80,000 for signals, $225,000 for pavement marking, and $240,000 for road equipment (two new tandem axle plow trucks and two new light truck chassis).

Parks (F208)  Transfer $50,000 from Trails & Parks Millage to fund a contract with the Sheriff’s Office to provide patrols using part-time deputies ($30,000) and to increase maintenance supplies ($20,000) as approved in the 2015 budget contingent on passage of the new millage. Increase budget to purchase 10 credit card terminals to replace failing ones ($8,000).

Family Counseling (F211)  Increase use of fund balance $2,000 and decrease psychological services expense $3,000. Contractual services will be increased $5,000 to supplement the Access & Visitation grant for supervised visitations.

Trails & Parks Millage (F228)  Transfer $50,000 from millage funds to Parks fund for a contract with the Sheriff’s Office to provide patrols using part-time deputies ($30,000) and to increase maintenance supplies ($20,000) as approved in the 2015 budget contingent on passage of the new millage.

Public Improvements (F245)  Reappropriate funds for the following capital improvement projects: Circuit Court key card reader ($1,600), District Court bathroom repairs ($2,456), new maintenance garage ($45,000), Lake Lansing Park bathhouse/concession renovation ($60,000), Hawk Island non-motorized trail ($60,000) and refurbish Animal Control 2 way radios ($5,500) per 2014 capital budget, space utilization study ($74,900) per 2014 capital budget and Resolution 14-379, Mason Courthouse security enhancements ($110,375) per 2014 capital budget and Resolution 14-166, Grady Porter Building window glazing ($8,541) per Resolution 14-501, and Jail audio system ($68,317) per Resolution 14-400.

Potter Park/Zoo (F258)  Reappropriate funds for the following capital projects not completed in previous years: security cameras/wireless internet ($12,698) approved in 2011 capital budget, zoo and park graphics ($10,000) and pavilion #2 roof repair ($90,127) approved in 2012 capital budget, raven exhibit ($10,000) approved in 2013 capital budget, pulverize north drive approved in 2014 capital budget and moose & bison exhibit ($507,031) per Resolution 14-290.

Juvenile Justice Millage (F264)  Reappropriate funds for roof replacement at the Little Red School House ($5,000) per the 2014 capital budget.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Drug Abuse Grant (F266)</td>
<td>Amend Byrne grant to recognize contribution of $47,887 from Tri-County Metro Squad contingency to cover reduction in grant funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Centers (F511)</td>
<td>Reappropriate funds for Adult Health Center patient kiosk per 2014 capital budget ($15,600).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS (F636)</td>
<td>Reappropriate remaining funds for the following projects: Probate Court scanning project ($224,211) approved by 2014 capital budget and Resolution 11-120 and Clerk imaging project ($10,554) approved by Resolution 13-199.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mach./Equip. Revolving (F664)</td>
<td>Increase CIP upgrade funds to purchase replacement copier for Adult Probation ($7,431) and replacement PC and monitor for Health Department ($862). Reappropriate funds for the following capital projects: Circuit Court imaging/scanning project ($228,702), backscanning for Circuit Court ($50,000) and video surveillance for District Court ($4,200) per 2012 capital budget, video surveillance for District Court ($15,400) per 2013 capital budget, Health Department inventory accounting software ($4,750), Probate Court presence at St. Lawrence Campus ($8,000), video surveillance for District Court ($40,689), Circuit Court courtroom technology replacements ($9,974), Circuit Court e-filing software/integration ($10,000), Circuit Court polycomm replacements/video conferencing ($39,969), Circuit Court courtroom control system ($18,000), Animal Control body armor vests ($730), Animal Control laptop projector ($500) and Animal Control smart phones and smart pads ($8,461) per 2014 capital budget. Reappropriate funds for computer replacements budgeted but not purchased in 2014 for the following departments; Circuit Court ($28,960), District Court ($10,221), Equalization ($4,500), Health Department ($60,489), Probate Court ($10,343), Prosecuting Attorney ($4,714), and Sheriff ($4,202).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APRIL 14, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 17

Introduced by the Human Services, County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION APPROVING CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER #4 TO THE LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF MICHIGAN FOR SPACE AT THE HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING (HSB) AND AWARDING A CONTRACT TO LAUX CONSTRUCTION TO BUILD A LARGER DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES (DHS) TRAINING ROOM AT THE HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, DHS currently leases a portion of the Human Services Building (HSB) from Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the DHS training group has moved from their location on Saginaw Street in Lansing to the HSB; and

WHEREAS, the intent of this project is to enlarge the current DHS training room to 39’X 29’, 1131 square feet, to allow the training group more space; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department submitted proposals and after careful review of the bids, it is the recommendation of both the Purchasing and Facilities Departments to award a contract to Laux Construction, LLC, a local company who submitted the lowest responsive and responsible bid, in the amount of $57,642.00, to construct a larger training room for DHS; and

WHEREAS, the Facilities Department would like to ask for a $5,000.00 contingency for any unforeseen circumstances that may arise with this type of project; and

WHEREAS, the funds for this project are available within the approved Line Item 631-23304-931000-DHSCR.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves contract change order #4 to the lease agreement with the State of Michigan for space at the Human Services Building and authorizes awarding a contract to Laux Construction, LLC, 4218 Charlar Drive, Holt, Michigan 48842 to construct a larger Department of Human Services training room at the Human Services Building for a not to exceed cost of $62,642.00, which includes a $5,000.00 contingency.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Ingham County will be reimbursed by DHS through rent payments according to the lease agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yea:  Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Nolan  Approved 4/06/15

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/07/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Schafer  Approved 4/08/15
Introduces the Human Services, County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AMENDING THE AGREEMENT WITH HOBBS+BLACK TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING (A&E) SERVICES AND APPROVE SCHEMATIC DESIGNS FOR HEALTH DEPARTMENT RENOVATIONS TO THE HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the scope of the project has expanded since the original Resolution #14-221 was approved in May of 2014; and

WHEREAS, the project cost has increased from $750,000.00 to $1,291,197.89; and

WHEREAS, due to the project cost increase the department has incurred additional A&E costs of $35,204.90; and

WHEREAS, in addition to the original A&E costs of $32,300.00 the new A&E total is $67,504.90; and

WHEREAS, funds for the Hobbs+Black A&E services for this project are available within the approved CIP Line Item 511-61553-976000-02011; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Building Authority has reviewed and approved the amendment to the contract with Hobbs+Black contingent upon Board of Commissioner approval; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #14-221 requires that preliminary schematic designs be presented to the Board of Commissioners for approval prior to authorizing the architect to proceed to bid the documents.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes amending the agreement with Hobbs+Black, 117 East Allegan Street, Lansing, Michigan 48933 to provide additional architectural and engineering services for the Ingham County Health Department renovations to the Human Services Building for a not to exceed cost of $35,204.90 in addition to the original architectural and engineering cost of $32,300.00 bringing the total not to exceed cost for architectural and engineering services to $67,504.90.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the projected A&E costs, cost of construction, plus fees and furnishings is not to exceed $1,291,197.89.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the attached schematic designs are approved consistent with the requirement in Resolution #14-221.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

**HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas:** Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** Tennis, Nolan  
**Approved 4/06/15**

**COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:** Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** Koenig  
**Approved 4/07/15**

**FINANCE:  Yeas:** Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** Tennis, Schafer  
**Approved 4/08/15**
Introduced by the Human Services, County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A REORGANIZATION OF THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT’S ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH DIVISION STAFF AND ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Health Department’s Environmental Health Division (EH) has experienced significant changes in the last year, including hiring a new EH Director, the resignation of the Deputy EH Director, and the retirement of a Program Lead/Supervisor; and

WHEREAS, the two vacated positions present an opportunity to restructure the division to suit the programs and services offered; and

WHEREAS, the Human Resources Department has reviewed the proposed changes and has no objections; and

WHEREAS, the ICEA Professionals union and the UAW union have reviewed the proposed changes and have no objections.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners approves the following changes to the Health Department’s Environmental Health Division:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITION #</th>
<th>CURRENT TITLE</th>
<th>NEW TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>601437 (Vacant)</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Deputy Environmental Health Director – MCF 11 ($65,232 - $78,299) Eliminated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601323 (Vacant)</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian III – ICEA PRO 9 ($51,228 - $61,496) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian I – ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601337</td>
<td>.50 FTE Health Programs Assistant – UAW C ($14,748 - $17,554) 1.0 FTE Community Health Representative II – UAW D ($31,448 - $37,438)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601326</td>
<td>.75 FTE Environmental Health Specialist (EHS) - ICEA PRO 4 ($29,720 - $35,679) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601338</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Environmental Health Specialist (EHS) - ICEA PRO 4 ($39,672 - $47,572) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601334</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Environmental Health Specialist (EHS) - ICEA PRO 4 ($39,672 - $47,572) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601342</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Environmental Health Specialist (EHS) - ICEA PRO 4 ($39,672 - $47,572) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601327</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian II (San II) ICEA PRO 8 ($55,173 - $66,233)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601328</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian II (San II) ICEA PRO 8 ($55,173 - $66,233)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601331</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483) 1.0 FTE Sanitarian II (San II) ICEA PRO 8 ($55,173 - $66,233)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Position Description</td>
<td>Updated job description, no change in union or grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601030</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Tobacco Reduction Specialist</td>
<td>Updated job description, no change in union or grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601324</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian III: Demand Program Supervisor – ICEA PRO 9 ($60,342 - $72,438)</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian III: Land and Water Program Supervisor – ICEA PRO 9 ($60,342 - $72,438)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601333</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian III: Planned Program Supervisor – ICEA PRO 9 ($60,342 - $72,438)</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian III: Food and Facilities Supervisor – ICEA PRO 9 ($60,342 - $72,438)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601322</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian III: Toxicologist – ICEA PRO 9 ($60,342 - $72,438)</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Prevention and Response Program Supervisor – ICEA PRO 9 ($60,342 - $72,438)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601339</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Sanitarian I (San I) ICEA PRO 6 ($46,218 - $55,483)</td>
<td>1.0 FTE Health Analyst – ICEA PRO 7 ($50,584 – $60,724)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the necessary adjustments to the budget and position allocation list in accordance with this resolution.

**HUMAN SERVICES:** Yeas: Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Nolan   **Approved 4/06/15**

**COUNTY SERVICES:** Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None  Absent: Koenig   **Approved 4/07/15**

**FINANCE:** Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Schafer   **Approved 4/08/15**
APRIL 14, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 20

Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A SUBCONTRACT WITH GAMALIEL OF MICHIGAN WITH FUNDS FROM THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTY AND CITY HEALTH OFFICIALS (NACCHO)

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, health equity – the elimination of the root causes of health disparity—is one of the core values for the Ingham County Health Department; and

WHEREAS, since 2011 representatives of the Health Department have been building relationships with local, state, and national organizations about promising ways to align the health equity work of public health practitioners and community organizers; and

WHEREAS, organizers and public health personnel from eight counties in Michigan have worked together to create a new network called Michigan Power to Thrive; and

WHEREAS, in Resolutions #13-285, #14-168, and #14-417, the Board of Commissioners authorized agreements between the Health Department and the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) which accepted funding in the amount of $142,300 to coordinate the “Building Networks” activity in Michigan; and

WHEREAS, funds received through these agreements are to be used to subcontract with other departments and organizations to carry out any of the following activities: 1) develop new community organizing groups to work with local departments, technical assistance; 2) provide technical assistance to local health departments and organizers working collaboratively in Michigan; and 3) educate and familiarize health department personnel with the tools, methods, and strategies used by organizers to achieve policy victories that improve health equity in communities; 4) provide networking/summit events to help resolve barriers that restrict or inhibit strong working relationships between community organizers and local health departments; and 5) coordinate community dialogues that use the documentary series The Raising of America to develop a regional or statewide issue campaign for health equity; and

WHEREAS, GAMALIEL of Michigan is a statewide network of community organizing entities with affiliates in many of the counties participating in Michigan Power to Thrive, and has been instrumental to creating and sustaining the collaboration between local public health departments and community organizers; and

WHEREAS, GAMALIEL of Michigan has agreed to coordinate the distribution of funds from the NACCHO grants to its affiliate organizations working to build the Michigan Power to Thrive network; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that the Board of Commissioners authorize a subcontract in an amount not to exceed $40,000 with GAMALIEL of Michigan.
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes a subcontract not to exceed $40,000 to GAMALIEL of Michigan to 1) assist in building and sustaining the Michigan Power to Thrive network’s effort to promote “Health in All Policies” and other issue campaigns in Michigan such as early childhood development and mass incarceration; 2) develop new organizing entities in Michigan Power to Thrive counties where they currently do not exist; 3) provide training and technical assistance to organizers and public health personnel participating in the network; and 4) equitably distribute funds to support local Gamaliel affiliates in their participation in the Michigan Power to Thrive network in 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that these funds may be applied to travel and lodging expenses, staff time, materials and meeting costs incurred in accomplishing the above activities between January 1, 2015 and September 30, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

**HUMAN SERVICES:**  **Yeas:**  Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:**  None  
**Absent:**  Tennis, Nolan  
**Approved 4/06/15**

**FINANCE:**  **Yeas:**  Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:**  None  
**Absent:**  Tennis, Schafer  
**Approved 4/08/15**
APRIL 14, 2015
AGENDA ITEM NO. 21

Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT WITH THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC HEALTH INSTITUTE (MPHI) FOR THE PATHWAYS TO BETTER HEALTH GRANT AND TO ESTABLISH TEMPORARY POSITIONS

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, Ingham County was one of three sites selected to participant in the Michigan Pathways to Better Health project; and

WHEREAS, in Resolution #14-270, the Board of Commissioners accepted a grant in the amount of $1,719,425.00 and authorized an agreement with the Michigan Public Health Institute (MPHI) for the period of July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014; and

WHEREAS, The Michigan Public Health Institute (MPHI), received authorization from the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) to reallocate unspent grant funds from FY 13-14; and

WHEREAS, MPHI will provide Ingham County additional grant funds in the amount up to $175,000 to focus on activities that support sustainability planning and preparation for continued services once the grant ends; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that the Board of Commissioners accept the additional funding in the amount of up to $175,000 from the Michigan Public Health Institute.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes an amendment to the agreement with the Michigan Public Health Institute accepting additional funding in the amount of up to $175,000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the agreement with the Ingham Health Plan Corporation shall be amended in the amount of up to $12,000 to obtain National HUB Accreditation.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the following temporary positions are established for the duration of the grant: Project Specialist, (ICEA/5, 2 part-time positions).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the funds to support this amendment shall be spent by June 30, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.
HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas:  Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert  
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Nolan  Approved 4/06/15

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert  
Nays: None  Absent: Tennis, Schafer  Approved 4/08/15
Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AMEND RESOLUTION #14-360 TO ACCEPT FUNDING FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION FOR THE RYAN WHITE TITLE IV WOMEN, INFANTS, CHILDREN, YOUTH AND AFFECTED FAMILY MEMBERS AIDS HEALTHCARE GRANT

RESOLUTION #15 -

WHEREAS, Ingham County Health Department is the recipient of U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) Ryan White Title IV Women, Infants, Children, Youth and Affected Family Members AIDS Healthcare grant (also known as Ryan White Part D) to provide family centered care involving outpatient and ambulatory care for women, infant, children and youth with HIV/AIDS; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham Community Health Centers accepted the third year’s award of the Ryan White Part D funding through Resolution #14-360; and

WHEREAS, the amount of the award accepted through Resolution #14-360 was $436,190, for the period of August 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the Health Department has received a Notice of Award from HRSA which extends the end date of the award term from June 30, 2015 to July 31, 2015, and provides $37,930 in additional funds, which is the equivalent of one-month of the current award; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham Community Health Centers Board of Directors supports the amendment to Resolution #14-360 to accept the total award of $474,120 for the period of August 1, 2014 through July 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that the Board of Commissioners amend Resolution #14-360 to accept the total award of $474,120 for the period of August 1, 2014 through July 31, 2015.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissions authorizes the amendment of Resolution #14-360 to accept the total third year of Ryan White Part D funding in the amount of $474,120 for the period of August 1, 2014 through July 31, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the authorized contractual services supported through Ryan White Part D funding be amended accordingly in order to ensure a continuation of services through the one-month extension period from June 30, 2015 through July 31, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign the necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: Anthony, Banas, McGrain, Maiville, Case Naeyaert
    Nays: None    Absent: Tennis, Nolan    Approved 4/06/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
    Nays: None    Absent: Tennis, Schafer    Approved 4/08/15
Introduced by the Law & Courts Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION TO THE
INGHAM COUNTY ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS DURING
NATIONAL ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER APPRECIATION WEEK APRIL 12-18, 2015

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has established an Animal Control Department that employs six full time Animal Control Officers; and

WHEREAS, the Animal Control Officers are responsible for enforcing State Laws and Ingham County Ordinances related to animals; and

WHEREAS, the Animal Control Officers are professionals that provide the essential community functions of enforcing Animal Control laws and protecting the community from diseases such as rabies and ensuring the safety of citizens; and

WHEREAS, Animal Control Officers devote huge amounts of time and resources while serving the public to protect the welfare of helpless animals and pets that are rescued from injury, disease, abuse and starvation; and

WHEREAS, Animal Control Officers respond to numerous complaints and have contributed to the conviction of animal abusers; and

WHEREAS, the Animal Control Officers show compassion, understanding and professionalism while performing their daily duties.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners declares the week of April 12-18, 2015 to be National Animal Control Officer Appreciation week in Ingham County in honor of the Ingham County Animal Control Officers for their crucial role in the protection of the lives and safety of the animals and the citizens of Ingham County.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas:  Tsernoglou, Crenshaw, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope, Schafer
               Nays:  None   Absent:  None   Approved 4/02/15
RESOLUTION TO HONOR SHERIFF GENE WRIGGELSWORTH AND THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S ORGANIZATION AS RECIPIENTS OF THE 2015 ADVANCING CHANGE AWARD

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, Sheriff Gene Wriggelsworth and the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office have participated for several years with the Michigan Human Trafficking Task Force; and

WHEREAS, as this initiative grew and members were added the Task Force outgrew its initial meeting space and the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office offered their facilities for use by the Task Force and its subcommittees; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office has developed training for police officers to identify human trafficking; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office has investigated and contributed to the conviction of several criminals involved in human trafficking; and

WHEREAS, the “Advancing Change Award” acknowledges organizations that have lead through steady and consistent efforts significant advancements to further the mission of the Michigan Human Trafficking Task Force.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby recognizes and honors Sheriff Gene L. Wriggelsworth and the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office as recipients of the Michigan Human Trafficking Task Force “2015 Advancing Change Award” in recognition of the achievements of their important work within our collective community to “break the silence.”

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas:  Tsernoglou, Crenshaw, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope, Schafer  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved  4/02/15
WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has established a Consolidated 9-1-1 Emergency Dispatch Center that opened June 27, 2012; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators (9-1-1 Dispatchers & Supervisors) daily serve the citizens of Ingham County by answering their emergency calls for police, fire and emergency medical services and by dispatching the appropriate assistance as quickly as possible; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators are the first and most critical contact our citizens have with emergency services; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators are the single vital link for our police officers and firefighters by monitoring their activities and providing them information to ensure their safety; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators are professionals who work to improve the emergency response capabilities of these communications through their knowledge and experience; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators have contributed substantially to the apprehension of criminals, suppression of fires and treatment of the injured; and

WHEREAS, each Telecommunicator has exhibited compassion, understanding and professionalism during the performance of their job in the past year.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners declares the week of April 12-18, 2015 to be National Telecommunicators week in Ingham County in honor of the Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators for their crucial role in the protection of life and property, for the Public Safety Agencies and the Citizens of Ingham County.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Tsernoglou, Crenshaw, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope, Schafer
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/02/15
Introduced by the Law & Courts and County Services Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO NAME SHERIFF’S OFFICE TRAINING ROOM B THE DEPUTY GRANT WHITAKER TRAINING ROOM

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office has two (2) Regional Training Rooms, one that honors Sgt. Paul Cole who died in the line of duty and the other Training Room B; and

WHEREAS, on December 7, 2014, Deputy Grant Whitaker, while in the pursuit of another vehicle, bravely and valiantly, lost his life in the line of duty; and

WHEREAS, to honor Deputy Grant Whitaker and to keep his memory alive, the Sheriff’s Office wishes to name Training Room B, as the Deputy Grant Whitaker Training room as was done for the late, Sgt. Paul Cole.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the renaming of Training Room B at the Sheriff’s Office to the Deputy Grant Whitaker Training Room.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Tsernoglou, Crenshaw, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope, Schafer
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 4/02/15

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Crenshaw, Banas, Bahar-Cook, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 4/07/15
INTRODUCED BY LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE APPOINTMENT OF SUSAN ADAMS
ATTORNEY MAGISTRATE OF THE 55TH DISTRICT COURT

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, Michigan Compiled Law 600.8501 authorizes the judges of district court to appoint a magistrate to carry out duties as set forth by statute; and

WHEREAS, Michigan Compiled Law 600.8501 also requires that the appointment of a magistrate to the district court be approved by the county board of commissioners prior to the magistrate taking office; and

WHEREAS, the 55th District Court has funds within its existing budget to pay for a per diem Magistrates when the full time Magistrate is absent because of leave or training; and

WHEREAS, the 55th District Court wants to insure that there is no interruption of service to the community when the full-time Magistrate is absent; and

WHEREAS, the 55th District Court intends to appoint Susan Adams as a per diem Magistrate and the appointment is contingent upon the approval the Ingham County Board of Commissioners.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the appointment of Susan Adams as a per diem magistrate for the 55th District Court.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Tsernoglou, Crenshaw, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Hope, Schafer
Nays: None    Absent: None    Approved 4/02/15

FINANCE: Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None    Absent: Tennis, Schafer    Approved 4/08/15
Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR 9-1-1 PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO COMMUNICATIONS CONSULTING SERVICES WITH BRENT WILLIAMS

RESOLUTION # 15 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners operates a 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System used by all Ingham County Public Safety Agencies; and

WHEREAS, the system went live in 2006 and will need to be upgraded or replaced as it approaches end of life; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has asked for input from the 9-1-1 Advisory Board and Ingham County Public Safety Agencies on the best way to move forward with the 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System; and

WHEREAS, Brent Williams is willing to provide consulting services to Ingham County to conduct an evaluation as to the pros and cons of the various County options for the future of the 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System; and

WHEREAS, the 9-1-1 Advisory Board is recommending that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hire Brent Williams to assist the County in this critical decision point.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a contract/purchase order for radio communications consulting services with Brent Williams to evaluate options for the future direction of the 9-1-1 Public Safety Radio Communication System, at a cost not to exceed $3,200 from the 9-1-1 Emergency Telephone Dispatch Services - 911 fund balance to be completed within sixty days of the signing of the contract/purchase order.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any contract/purchase order documents as prepared by or approved as to form by the County Attorney consistent with this resolution.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas:  Tsernoglou, Crenshaw, Koenig, Celentino, Nolan, Schafer
Nays:  Hope  Absent:  None  Approved  4/02/15

FINANCE:  Yeas: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, McGrain, Case Naeyaert
Nays:  None  Absent: Tennis, Schafer  Approved  4/08/15