AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL

III. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

IV. TIME FOR MEDITATION

V. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM APRIL 12, 2016

VI. ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

VII. PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

1. A LETTER FROM THE CAPITAL AREA UNITED AUTO WORKERS (UAW) CAP COUNCIL ENDORSING THE WORK OF THE VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA (VOA) IN TH GREATER LANSING COMMUNITY

2. A LETTER FROM MERIDIAN CHARTER TOWNSHIP REGARDING A NOTIFICATION TO AMEND ITS MASTER PLAN

3. A LETTER FROM THE LANSING REGIONAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE ASKING THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS TO REEXAMINE ITS TAX PRIORITIES

VIII. LIMITED PUBLIC COMMENT

IX. CLARIFICATION/INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR

X. CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA

XI. COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RESOLUTIONS

4. COUNTY SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION FOR APPROVAL OF THE PRELIMINARY PLAT OF GEORGETOWN

5. COUNTY SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL AND ROUTINE PERMITS FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

6. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE ROAD DEPARTMENT BUDGET
7. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES - RESOLUTION
   AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR SUPPLYING AND SERVICING
   MECHANICS’ UNIFORMS, SHOP TOWELS, FLOOR MATS & RELATED
   SERVICES FOR THE ROAD DEPARTMENT

8. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION
   AUTHORIZING ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT WITH BIERLEIN
   COMPANIES, INC. FOR DEMOLITION SERVICES AND LEGAL DISPOSAL OF
   THE GRANDSTANDS AT THE INGHAM COUNTY FAIRGROUNDS

9. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION
   AUTHORIZING THE INGHAM COUNTY PARKS DEPARTMENT 2015/2016
   TRAILS AND PARK MILLAGE EXPENDITURES

10. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION
    APPROVING THE SCORING/RANKING CRITERIA FOR THE TRAILS AND
    PARKS PROGRAM APPLICATION

11. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION
    AUTHORIZING AN EXTENSION OF THE AGREEMENT WITH COMERICA,
    INC. FOR THE PROCUREMENT CARD PROGRAM

12. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION
    ESTABLISHING A TEMPORARY CLERICAL SUPPORT POSITION

13. COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO
    SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE AN INGHAM COUNTY POTTER PARK ZOO
    AND POTTER PARK RENEWAL QUESTION

14. FINANCE COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION APPROVING A COLLECTIVE
    BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE,
    CAPITAL CITY LABOR PROGRAM, INC. – 911 NON-SUPERVISORY UNIT

15. FINANCE COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING PRIORITIES TO
    GUIDE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2017 BUDGET AND ACTIVITIES OF
    COUNTY STAFF

16. FINANCE COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE 2016 COUNTY
    EQUALIZATION REPORT AS SUBMITTED WITH THE ACCOMPANYING
    STATEMENTS

17. FINANCE COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION DESIGNATING COUNTY
    REPRESENTATIVES AT STATE TAX COMMISSION HEARINGS

18. HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION HONORING CHERYL
    EVANS

19. HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION HONORING KAREN KOLB
20. HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE 2016 HEALTH SERVICES MILLAGE CONTRACT WITH THE INGHAM HEALTH PLAN CORPORATION

21. HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO AMEND RESOLUTION #15-193 TO INCLUDE INGHAM COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT BREAST & CERVICAL CANCER CONTROL (BCCCP)/WISEWOMAN FEES

22. HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEE – RESOLUTION TO SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE A SPECIAL MILLAGE QUESTION FOR A COUNTYWIDE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PRIMARILY FOR THE DISABLED AND ELDERLY

23. LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE - RESOLUTION MAKING AN APPOINTMENT TO THE JURY BOARD

24. LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE - RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FILING OF THE NOTICE OF INTENT TO FUNCTION AS A PUBLIC SAFETY ANSWERING POINT (PSAP) WITHIN THE EATON COUNTY 9-1-1 SERVICE DISTRICT

25. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE A SPECIAL MILLAGE QUESTION FOR ANIMAL CONTROL SHELTER REPLACEMENT AND EXPANDED OPERATIONS

26. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION SUBMITTING TO A VOTE OF THE ELECTORATE A SPECIAL MILLAGE FOR CONTINUING COMPREHENSIVE EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SERVICES (911 SERVICES)

27. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE A JUVENILE MILLAGE RENEWAL QUESTION

28. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE TO CONTRACT WITH THE DELHI FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR THE USE OF INGHAM COUNTY PARAMEDIC EQUIPMENT

29. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE TO CONTRACT WITH THE INGHAM REGIONAL SPECIAL RESPONSE TEAM FOR THE USE OF INGHAM COUNTY PARAMEDIC EQUIPMENT

30. LAW & COURTS AND FINANCE COMMITTEES – RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT THE BISSELL PET FOUNDATION SUPER SATURDAY FREE ADOPTION GRANT

XII. SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY

XIII. PUBLIC COMMENT
XIV. COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS

XV. CONSIDERATION AND ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS

XVI. ADJOURNMENT

THE COUNTY OF INGHAM WILL PROVIDE NECESSARY REASONABLE AUXILIARY AIDS AND SERVICES, SUCH AS INTERPRETERS FOR THE HEARING IMPAIRED AND AUDIO TAPES OF PRINTED MATERIALS BEING CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING FOR THE VISUALLY IMPAIRED, FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES AT THE MEETING UPON FIVE (5) WORKING DAYS NOTICE TO THE COUNTY OF INGHAM. INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES REQUIRING AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES SHOULD CONTACT THE COUNTY OF INGHAM IN WRITING OR BY CALLING THE FOLLOWING: INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, P.O. BOX 319, MASON, MI 48854, 517-676-7200.

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES AND OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING

FULL BOARD PACKETS ARE AVAILABLE AT: www.ingham.org
CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Hope called the April 12, 2016 Statutory Equalization Meeting of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners to order at 6:32 p.m.

Members Present at Roll Call: Anthony, Bahar-Cook, Banas, Case-Naeyaert, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, Koenig, Maiville, McGrain, Nolan, Schafer, Tennis, and Tsernoglou

Members Absent: None

A quorum was present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairperson Hope asked Tim Morgan, Parks Department Director, to lead the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

TIME FOR MEDITATION

Chairperson Hope asked those present to remain standing for a moment of silence or prayer.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF MARCH 22, 2016

Commissioner McGrain moved to approve the minutes of the March 22, 2016 meeting. Commissioner Crenshaw seconded the motion.

The motion to approve the minutes carried unanimously.

ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

Chairperson Hope indicated that Board rules state resolutions would ordinarily be referred to a committee unless there was a 2/3 vote to allow the resolution to be considered by the Board immediately.

Moved by Commissioner Crenshaw, supported by Commissioner Anthony, to add the following resolution:

Resolution of Appreciation to the Ingham County 9-1-1 Central Dispatch Center Telecommunicators During National Telecommunicators Week April 10-16, 2016

The motion carried unanimously.

Chairperson Hope stated that the resolution would be added as Agenda Item No. 43. She further stated that substitute resolutions would be added for Agenda Items No. 21 and 22.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS
A Letter from Kevin Duffy Regarding His Resignation from the Parks and Recreation Commission. Chairperson Hope accepted the letter and placed it on file.

A Letter from the City of East Lansing Regarding a Notice of Public Hearing for Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Plan #21. Chairperson Hope referred the letter to the Finance Committee.

A Letter from The City of Lansing Regarding a Notice of Public Hearing to Consider a Variance to Permit 3 Projecting Signs On the West Wall of 800 E. Michigan Avenue. Chairperson Hope referred the letter to the Finance Committee.

A Letter from The City of Lansing Regarding a Notice of Public Hearing for The Approval of Brownfield Plan #65. Chairperson Hope referred the letter to the Finance Committee.

A Letter from the Capital Area Transportation Authority Regarding the Discontinuation of the Williamston/Webberville Redi-Ride and Connector Service. Chairperson Hope referred the letter to the Human Services Committee.

A Letter from Sparrow Endorsing the Volunteers of America’s (VoA) Financial Assistance Request to Support the New VoA Dental Clinic. Chairperson Hope referred the letter to the Human Services Committee.

Commissioner Schafer expressed concern regarding the discontinuation of Redi-Ride for Webberville and Williamston and the lack of communication between CATA and the Board.

Vice-Chairperson Anthony stated that she was recently appointed as a liaison between the Board and CATA and that she could answer any questions.

Commissioner Tennis stated that the service would be discontinued, but the customers would be shifted to another rural service.

Commissioner Case-Naeyaert stated that the customers would be notified of the change.

Commissioner Schafer stated that when there is a change in services in an area one represents, that individual should be notified.

**LIMITED PUBLIC COMMENT**

None.

**CLARIFICATION/INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COMMITTEE CHAIR**

None.

**CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA**

Commissioner Maiville moved to adopt a consent agenda consisting of all action items except Agenda Item No. 8, 29, and 30. Commissioner McGrain seconded the motion.
The motion carried unanimously.

Those agenda items that were on the consent agenda were adopted by unanimous roll call vote.

Item voted on separately is so noted in the minutes.
WHEREAS, Arbor Day was first celebrated in 1872 to promote conservation efforts and has become a cherished and respected tradition in Michigan; and

WHEREAS, Arbor Day is a time to celebrate trees and their importance in our lives and represents an opportunity to emphasize that tree planting is an important personal demonstration of stewardship; and

WHEREAS, Arbor Day helps remind Ingham County residents that healthy natural resources are vital and that each of us can play a role in ensuring the quality of life in our community; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners wish to recognize the outstanding efforts of all involved with the success of Arbor Day including the Michigan Arbor Day Alliance, Michigan Forestry and Park Association, the Michigan Department of Natural Resources Forest, Mineral and Fire Management Division, City of Lansing’s Parks & Recreation and Forestry Division; and

WHEREAS, the 2016 State Arbor Day Celebration will take place Friday, April 29, 2016 at Potter Park Zoo.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby endorses Arbor Day and extends their congratulations and best wishes to all of those involved in the 2016 State Arbor Day.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville  
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016
ADOPTED - APRIL 12, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 8

Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION HONORING BOB ALEXANDER

RESOLUTION #16 – 122

WHEREAS, Bob Alexander has circulated thousands of petitions for causes beginning in the early 1950’s as he watched his father organize his neighborhood’s fight against Dutch Elm disease; and

WHEREAS, he earned a history degree from the University of Michigan in 1966, and joined the Peace Corps where he spent two years in India working in intensive chicken breeding; and

WHEREAS, Bob joined the National Teacher Corps and taught middle school in newly desegregated Hopkinsville, Kentucky; he also taught at Webster Elementary in Detroit, where 40 percent of the children spoke Spanish, and there were no Spanish-speaking teachers; and

WHEREAS, upon moving back to Ann Arbor, he became involved with farm workers’ groups, the Human Rights Party, and many other causes; and

WHEREAS, Bob has led campaigns for dozens of fellow Democrats running for Ingham County Commissioner, East Lansing School Board, State Representative and other offices; he headed the Draft Al Gore for President campaign in 2007 and was State Campaign Director for Dennis Kucinich in 1994; he is currently working on the Bernie Sanders’ Michigan campaign; and

WHEREAS, his first bid for public office was running for State Representative in 1972 as a third party candidate; he stepped aside in the race for Senate in support of his friend and colleague Lana Pollack, who later authored the “polluter pay” law; and

WHEREAS, Bob once again stepped aside in the East Lansing City Council race in support of Sam Singh, Mark Meadows, and Douglas Jester, all of whom were elected and went on to serve as East Lansing Mayor; he also ran for U.S. Congress twice; and

WHEREAS, Bob retired in 2002 after 30 years of service with the State of Michigan in the Department of Social Services and other departments.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Bob Alexander, a leader in the community, for his tireless commitment to community organizing, activism, and local, state, and federal legislation.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board appreciates the contributions he has made to the citizens of Ingham County, and he is a true inspiration to us all.
COUNTY SERVICES:  **Yea**: Nolan, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
    **Nays**: None  **Absent**: Celentino, Koenig  **Approved 4/05/2016**

Commissioner Nolan moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner McGrain seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

Commissioners Nolan, Tsernoglou, and Koenig presented Bob Alexander with an honorary resolution.
Resolutions

Resolutions

Resolutions

Resolutions

Resolutions

Resolutions
road rights-of-way held by the ICRD necessary for the Maintenance and Improvements of the Drain, subject to and conditioned upon permission by the ICRD.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

YEAS: Commissioners

NAYS: Commissioners

ABSTAIN: Commissioners

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino Approved 4/05/2016

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham

STATE OF MICHIGAN )
) SS
COUNTY OF INGHAM )

I, Barb Byrum, the duly qualified and acting Clerk of Ingham County, Michigan (the “County”) do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Commissioners at a meeting held on April 12, 2016, the original of which is on file in my office. Public notice of said meeting was given pursuant to and in compliance with Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of Michigan of 1976, as amended.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my signature this ___ day of ____________, 2016.

Barb Byrum, Clerk
County of Ingham
AGREEMENT FOR WORK IN ROAD RIGHT OF WAY BY STIMSON DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT

This agreement is made and entered into on this ___ day of ____________, 2016, by and between the Stimson Drain Drainage District (the “Drainage District”), a public body corporate, administered by the Ingham County Drain Commissioner (the “Drain Commissioner”) of 707 Buhl St, Mason, MI 48854-0220, and County of Ingham on behalf of the Ingham County Road Department (hereinafter, the “ICRD”) of 301 Bush Street, P.O. Box 38, Mason, Michigan 48854.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Drain Commissioner received a petition pursuant to Chapter 8 of the Michigan Drain Code, 1956 P.A. 40, as amended, MCL 280.1 et seq. (the “Drain Code”), from five freeholders located within the township dated July 22, 2014, requesting the cleaning out, relocating, widening, deepening, straightening, tiling, extending, improving, providing structures, adding lands, adding branches and a relief drains, and/or relocating along a highway (hereinafter referred to as “Maintenance and Improvements”) of the Stimson Drain (the “Drain”); and,

WHEREAS, an Order of Necessity was entered on January 13, 2015, determining that the petitioned Maintenance and Improvements were necessary and conducive to the public health, convenience or welfare, and further, that the Maintenance and Improvements to the Drain were necessary for the protection of the public health in Delhi Charter Township, in the County of Ingham, State of Michigan; and,

WHEREAS, the Drain Commissioner and ICRD agree that the Maintenance and Improvements should include the public roads and public road rights-of-way under the control and jurisdiction of the ICRD, for which permission must be obtained from the ICRD pursuant to Section 321 of the Drain Code, MCL 280.321 and;

WHEREAS, the Drain Commissioner has requested that the ICRD grant permission to include in the Maintenance and Improvements of the existing road drainage structures in and under the roads and road rights-of-way under the jurisdiction of the ICRD; and

WHEREAS, the ICRD and the Drain Commissioner agree to cooperate to assure that drainage from properties and roads is unobstructed and that the Maintenance and Improvements are completed in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

In exchange for the consideration in and referred to by this Agreement, the parties agree:

1. The ICRD does hereby grant a permit to the Drainage District, its assigns and successors in interest, for purposes of constructing, improving and maintaining the Drain, and to allow said Drain to occupy and be constructed in, under and occupy any and all granted roads and road rights-of-way held by the ICRD necessary for the construction, improvement and maintenance of the Drain, subject to and conditioned upon construction to be performed and constructed as depicted on the maps attached hereto as Exhibit A.
2. The Drainage District is solely responsible for, and shall maintain, the Drainage Structures installed under the roads and within the road rights-of-way described and depicted in the attached Exhibit A. The term “Drainage Structures” as used herein shall mean all storm sewer pipes, open ditches, tiles, culverts, trench drains, planting material, manholes, catch basins, vegetation and bio-retention areas residing within the Drainage District for drainage and storm water management purposes.

3. The ICRD shall not be obligated in the future to repair and maintain any Drainage Structures that are located under the roads or within the road rights-of-way that are also within the drainage route and course that have been installed, improved and/or maintained, arising out of or as a result of this Agreement. Additionally, the Drainage District shall be responsible, without cost to the ICRD, for repairing any portion of a road or ICRD property located within the road rights-of-way, as described and depicted on the attached Exhibit A, that is damaged during or as a result of construction on the Drain performed by the Drainage District under this Agreement. Such repair shall reasonably restore any damaged portion to the same general condition as it was prior to such damage.

4. Except as specifically set forth herein, this Agreement does not otherwise alter the ICRD’s obligations, or rights to governmental immunity as may be provided by law, for road administration, repair and maintenance of roads and road rights-of-way under its control and jurisdiction as provided by law.

5. Except as specifically set forth herein, this Agreement does not otherwise alter the Drainage District’s obligations for maintenance and repair of the Drain as provided by law.

6. This Agreement shall not be construed as obligating the ICRD or the Drain Commissioner to expend funds in excess of appropriations or assessments authorized by law or otherwise commit the Drain Commissioner or the ICRD to actions for which they lack statutory authority.

7. For the Maintenance and Improvements to be performed pursuant to this Agreement, and for any future maintenance and/or repair work, the Drain Commissioner, on behalf of the Drainage District, shall obtain any and all necessary permits from the ICRD required to perform said construction, maintenance and/or repair work. Any subsequent changes in Plans and Specifications during construction for work under the roads or within the road rights-of-way must first receive a permit amendment. Subsequent to completion of construction, the Drainage District shall provide the ICRD with construction record drawings illustrating all Maintenance and Improvements and their details constructed under the roads and within the public road rights-of-way and identifying the Drainage Structures to be maintained by the Drainage District.

8. This Agreement is entered specific to the construction, improvements and maintenance of the Drain set forth in Exhibit A and the above-referenced Plans and Specifications and shall not otherwise be applicable beyond said Drain and Drainage District, and does not otherwise modify existing Drain Commissioner and ICRD
authorities or transfer any authority, on to the other. The ICRD and the Drain Commissioner do not waive any claims, positions and/or interpretations that may have with respect to the applicability and/or enforceability of any law, regulation or ordinance.


10. This Agreement does not confer or grant an easement or other rights or interests in the roads or road rights-of-way to the Drain Commissioner or Drainage District other than as necessary for the construction, maintenance and repair of the Drain, unless otherwise stated herein.

11. This Agreement is not intended to create, nor does it create, any third-party rights, but has been entered into for the sole benefit of the parties hereto.

12. The parties signing this Agreement on behalf of each party are, by said signatures, affirming that they are authorized to enter into this Agreement for and on behalf of the respective parties to this Agreement.

STIMSON DRAIN DRAINAGE DISTRICT

By: ____________________________________
    Patrick E. Lindemann
    Ingham County Drain Commissioner

STATE OF MICHIGAN    )
                    )SS
COUNTY OF INGHAM    )

Acknowledged before me, a Notary Public, this ____ day of ______________, 2016 by Patrick E. Lindemann, Ingham County Drain Commissioner, on behalf of the Stimson Drain Drainage District.

______________________________, Notary Public
State of Michigan, County of Ingham
My commission expires: ______________
Acting in the County of: ______________
WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department is responsible for placing, maintaining, and when conditions warrants, upgrading, county road intersection control signs and/or devices appropriate for current traffic speed and volumes, sight distance, topography, adjacent development and other current conditions of the given intersection; and

WHEREAS, Road Department engineering staff have reviewed the various intersections in the Everett Woods residential subdivision in Section 9 of Meridian Township and find that certain intersections therein, listed below in this resolution should currently be signed and/or upgraded as indicated below.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign, to replace the existing yield sign and any related Traffic Control Order, and to stop southbound traffic on Everett Lane for eastbound and westbound traffic on Westminster Way.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop southbound traffic on York Way for eastbound and westbound traffic on Westminster Way.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop eastbound traffic on York Way for northbound and southbound traffic on Everett Lane.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop westbound traffic on Dickens Way for northbound and southbound traffic on Everett Lane.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop southbound traffic on Westminster Way for eastbound and westbound traffic on Barnsbury Road.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign, to replace the existing yield sign and any related Traffic Control Order, and to stop northbound traffic on Bent Tree Drive for eastbound and westbound traffic on Barnsbury Road (north end).
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign, to replace the existing yield sign and any related Traffic Control Order, and to stop southbound traffic on Bent Tree Drive for eastbound and westbound traffic on Barnsbury Road (south end).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners also authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign and date the above mentioned Traffic Control Orders and filing of same with the County Clerk.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville  
Nays:  None  Absent:  Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016
Introducing the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE STOP SIGN TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDERS IN THE MEADOWS SUBDIVISION SECTION 32, MERIDIAN TOWNSHIP

RESOLUTION # 16 – 125

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department is responsible for placing, maintaining, and when conditions warrants, upgrading, county road intersection control signs and/or devices appropriate for current traffic speed and volumes, sight distance, topography, adjacent development and other current conditions of the given intersection; and

WHEREAS, Road Department engineering staff have reviewed the various intersections in the Meadows residential subdivision in Section 32 of Meridian Township and find that certain intersections therein, listed below in this resolution should currently be signed and/or upgraded as indicated below.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign, to replace the existing yield signs and any related Traffic Control Order, and to stop north and southbound traffic on Hyacinth Street for eastbound and westbound traffic on Lupine Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop eastbound traffic on Lupine Drive for north and southbound traffic on Astilbe/Lupine Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop westbound traffic on Hyacinth Street for northbound and southbound traffic on Astilbe Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop eastbound traffic on Coreopsis Court for north and southbound traffic on Astilbe/Coreopsis Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop northbound traffic on Coreopsis Boulevard for eastbound and westbound traffic on Coreopsis Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop westbound traffic on Robbins Way for north and southbound traffic on Hyacinth St./Loon Lane.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop westbound traffic on Lupine Court for north and south bound traffic on Coreopsis/Lupine Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop northbound traffic on Myrtle Drive for east and west bound traffic on Lupine Court.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners also authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign and date the above mentioned Traffic Control Orders and filing of same with the County Clerk.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
   Nays:  None  Absent:  Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016
Resolutions:

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department is responsible for placing, maintaining, and when conditions warrants, upgrading, county road intersection control signs and/or devices appropriate for current traffic speed and volumes, sight distance, topography, adjacent development and other current conditions of the given intersection; and

WHEREAS, Road Department engineering staff have reviewed the various intersections in the Sierra Ridge Estates residential subdivision in Section 4 of Meridian Township and find that certain intersections therein, listed below in this resolution should currently be signed and/or upgraded as indicated below.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop west bound traffic on Isaac Lane for north and south bound traffic on Sleepy Hollow Lane.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop signs to stop north and south bound traffic on Sleepy Hollow Lane for eastbound and westbound traffic on Sacramento Way.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop south bound traffic on Marietta Way for east and west bound traffic on Isaac Lane.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop north bound traffic on Marietta Way for east and west bound traffic on Sacramento Way.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners also authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign and date the above mentioned Traffic Control Orders and filing of same with the County Clerk.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016
WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department is responsible for placing, maintaining, and when conditions warrants, upgrading, county road intersection control signs and/or devices appropriate for current traffic speed and volumes, sight distance, topography, adjacent development and other current conditions of the given intersection; and

WHEREAS, Road Department engineering staff have reviewed the various intersections in the Dells residential subdivision in Section 11 of Delhi Township and find that certain intersections therein, listed below in this resolution should currently be signed and/or upgraded as indicated below.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop southbound traffic on Brigantine Drive (entry drive) for eastbound and westbound traffic on Halyard/Brigantine Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop eastbound traffic on Bowline Court for north and south bound traffic on Brigantine Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop eastbound traffic on Helmsway Drive for northbound and southbound traffic on Brigantine Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop southbound traffic on Bowline Court for east and west bound traffic on Helmsway Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop northbound traffic on Yachtsman Drive for east and west bound traffic on Bowline Court.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop southbound traffic on Yachtsman Drive for east and west bound traffic on Helmsway Drive.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop southbound traffic on Cutter Court for east and west bound traffic on Keelson Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a Traffic Control Order and placement of the necessary stop sign to stop southbound traffic on Halyard Drive for east and west bound traffic on Keelson Drive.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners also authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign and date the above mentioned Traffic Control Orders and filing of same with the County Clerk.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays:  None  Absent:  Celentino  Approved  4/05/2016
Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL AND ROUTINE PERMITS
FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 128

WHEREAS, as of July 23, 2013, the Ingham County Department of Transportation and Roads became the Ingham County Road Department per Resolution #13-289; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Commission periodically approved Special and Routine permits as part of their roles and responsibilities; and

WHEREAS, this is now the responsibility of the Board of Commissioners to approve these permits as necessary.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the attached list of Special and Routine Permits dated March 22, 2016 as submitted.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None
Absent: Celentino
Approved 4/05/2016
### INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

**LIST OF CURRENT PERMITS ISSUED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/W PERMIT#</th>
<th>R/W APPLICANT / CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>R/W WORK</th>
<th>R/W LOCATION</th>
<th>R/W CITY/TWP.</th>
<th>R/W SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-085</td>
<td>WADSWORTH MILK TRANS</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE / MILK</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-086</td>
<td>WADSWORTH MILK TRANS</td>
<td>HAUL ROUTE / MILK</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-087</td>
<td>GEORGE &amp; BETHEL WILLITS</td>
<td>LAND DIVISION</td>
<td>ELLIOTT RD</td>
<td>AURELIUS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-089</td>
<td>ALAIEDON TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>ROAD CLOSURE / SPECIAL EVENT</td>
<td>SANDHILL RD BET OKEMOS RD &amp; HAGADORN RD</td>
<td>ALAIEDON</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-090</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>ZIMMER RD &amp; LINN RD</td>
<td>WHEATFIELD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-091</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE OH &amp; UG</td>
<td>SHAW ST &amp; LAKE DR</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-092</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>JARCO DR &amp; KELLER RD</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-093</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>TIMES SQUARE &amp; CENTRAL PARK</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-099</td>
<td>EATON FARM BUREAU</td>
<td>AGRICULTURAL MOVE</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-100</td>
<td>MERIDIAN TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>ROAD CLOSURE / SPECIAL EVENT</td>
<td>OKEMOS RD &amp; KINAWA DR</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-101</td>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>CABLE OH &amp; UG</td>
<td>WOOD ST &amp; LAKE LANSING RD</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-102</td>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>FIVE OAKS DR &amp; DUNCKEL RD</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-103</td>
<td>CITY OF LANSING</td>
<td>SANITARY</td>
<td>UPTON RD &amp; FITTING AVE</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-104</td>
<td>ONONDAGA TOWNSHIP</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>BELLEVUE RD &amp; EDGAR RD</td>
<td>ONONDAGA</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-107</td>
<td>EDITH WILSON</td>
<td>LAND DIVISION</td>
<td>FITCHBURG RD &amp; WRIGHT RD</td>
<td>LESLIE</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-108</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>HULL RD &amp; ROLFE RD</td>
<td>VEVAY</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-110</td>
<td>AT &amp; T</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>NIGHTINGALE DR &amp; KAHRES RD</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-111</td>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>ENGLISH OAK DR &amp; PINE TREE RD</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-112</td>
<td>FRONTIER</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>HAYNES RD &amp; FITCHBURG RD</td>
<td>BUNKER HILL</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-113</td>
<td>CONCUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>BARRITT ST 7 WOOD RD</td>
<td>LANSING</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-114</td>
<td>RANDY SMITH</td>
<td>LAND DIVISION</td>
<td>LOVEJOY RD &amp; M-52</td>
<td>LOCKE</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-115</td>
<td>JERRY JORGENSEN</td>
<td>LAND DIVISION</td>
<td>BELL OAK RD &amp; M-52</td>
<td>LOCKE</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-121</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>HASLETT RD &amp; OKEMOS RD</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resolutions

ADOPTED - APRIL 12, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 15

INTRODUCED

ADOPTED

ADOPTED - APRIL 12, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 15

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING INGHAM COUNTY TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH SPICER GROUP, INC. FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES TO ASSIST THE INGHAM COUNTY PARKS DEPARTMENT AND PARK COMMISSION IN ASSEMBLING THE 2017-2021 MASTER PLAN

RESOLUTION # 16 – 129

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Parks & Recreation Commission has identified a need to update the Ingham County Parks 2012-2016 Master Plan to provide direction for park operations and improvements; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Purchasing Department solicited Requests for Proposals (RFP) inviting proposals from qualified companies for the purpose of entering into a contract with the County to provide professional consulting services to assist the Ingham County Parks Department and Park Commission in assembling the 2017-2021 Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, after careful review and evaluation of the proposals received, the Evaluation Committee recommends that a contract be awarded to Spicer Group, Inc. who submitted the most responsive and responsible proposal.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes awarding a contract to Spicer Group, Inc., for a cost not to exceed $23,700 with January 31, 2017 as the completion date to provide professional consulting services to assist the Ingham County Parks Department and Park Commission in assembling the 2017-2021 Master Plan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that funds are available in 208-75200-967000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this Resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
Resolutions

Resolutions

Resolutions

Resolutions

Resolutions
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION APPROVING ENTERING INTO A GRANT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS AND APPOINTING DOUGLAS A. STOVER AS COUNTY GRANT ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE 2016 REMONUMENTATION PROJECT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 131

WHEREAS, a grant application was submitted to the Office of Land Survey and Remonumentation of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, for the sole purpose of receiving funds to implement Ingham County’s Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, as requested, the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee did consult with and take into account the preferences and needs of local units of government, the Ingham County Road Department, local surveyors, and area real estate developers in choosing areas in which to work; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Land Survey and Remonumentation of the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs has reviewed Ingham County’s 2016 Survey and Remonumentation Grant Application in the amount of $87,454, and has forwarded the 2016 Grant Agreement/Contract for execution; and

WHEREAS, as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990, a condition of receiving annual grant funds to implement the County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan is that the County appoint a County Grant Administrator.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves entering into a grant with the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs for the purpose of receiving $87,454 in grant funds for the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Project in the year 2016.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners appoint Douglas A. Stover, Equalization Director, for the related services of County Grant Administrator as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
ADOPTED - APRIL 12, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 18

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO CONTRACT WITH RONNIE M. LESTER AS COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY MONUMENTATION AND REMONUMENTATION PROJECT IN 2016

RESOLUTION # 16 – 132

WHEREAS, Acts 345 and 346, P.A. of 1990, states that each County in the State of Michigan shall prepare a County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan was submitted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and approved by the State Survey and Remonumentation Commission on June 24, 1992; and

WHEREAS, as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990 a condition of receiving annual grant funds to implement the County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan is that the County obtain and/or contract with a professional surveyor to oversee the activities of the grant project; and

WHEREAS, Ronnie M. Lester, P.S., was selected in 1992 to be the Ingham County Representative and has since been an integral part of the implementation of the Ingham County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners contract with Ronnie M. Lester, P.S., upon approval of the 2016 Grant Application by the State Monumentation and Remonumentation Commission, for the related services of County Representative as required by Act 345, P.A. 1990. Said contract to be funded by Survey and Remonumentation grant funds authorized under Act 345, P.A. 1990, for the period of one year, January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, at a cost not to exceed $12,600.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO CONTRACT WITH BUMSTEAD LAND SURVEYS, ENGER SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING, GEODETiC DESIGN, INC., DAVID R. LOHR SURVEYING, Co., REYNOLDS HERITAGE LAND SURVEYING AND MAPPING AND WOLVERINE ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, P.C., AS PROJECT SURVEYORS FOR THE 2016 INGHAM COUNTY REMONUMENTATION PROJECT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 133

WHEREAS, Acts 345 and 346, 1990, state that each County in the State of Michigan shall prepare a County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Remonumentation Plan was submitted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and approved by the State Survey and Remonumentation Commission on June 24, 1992; and

WHEREAS, six qualified surveying firms were selected through a thorough competitive process and have each proposed performing a portion of the monumentation services for 2016; and

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Evaluation Committee, with the concurrence of the Remonumentation Committee, that it is in the County’s best interest to authorize contracts with Bumstead Land Surveys, Enger Surveying and Engineering, Geodetic Design, Inc., David R. Lohr Surveying, Co., Reynolds Heritage Land Surveying and Mapping and Wolverine Engineering and Surveyors, Inc. for services as monumentation surveyors for 2016.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, upon the respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners contracts for the services of County Project Surveyors as required by Act 345, P.A., 1990, said contracts to be funded by survey and remonumentation grant funds authorized for 2016:

Bumstead Land Surveys: $11,500
Enger Surveying and Engineering: $11,500
Geodetic Design, Inc.: $11,500
David R. Lohr Surveying, Co.: $11,500
Reynolds Heritage Land Surveying and Mapping: $11,500
Wolverine Engineering and Surveyors, Inc.: $11,500

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None   Absent: Celentino   Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved 4/06/2016
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO CONTRACT WITH AND APPOINT ANTHONY BUMSTEAD, DAVID CLIFFORD, RONALD ENGER, GILBERT BARISH, DAVID VAN DENBERGHE, DAVID LOHR, BRIAN REYNOLDS AND GREG VAUGHN, AS PEER REVIEW GROUP MEMBERS FOR THE 2016 INGHAM COUNTY REMONUMENTATION PROJECT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 134

WHEREAS, Acts 345 and 346, 1990, state that each County in the State of Michigan shall prepare a County Monumentation and Remonumentation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Remonumentation Plan was submitted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and approved by the State Survey and Remonumentation Commission on June 24, 1992; and

WHEREAS, the State Survey and Remonumentation Act, Public Act 345 of 1990, specifically MCL 54.296b, requires that Peer Review Group members be appointed by the County Board of Commissioners.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that upon respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners appoints the following individuals as Peer Review Group Members for the 2016 Ingham County Remonumentation Project:

Anthony Bumstead, 513 W. Lovett Street, Charlotte, MI 48813
David Clifford, 805 N. Cedar Street, Mason, MI 48854
Ronald Enger, 805 N. Cedar Street, Mason, MI 48854
Gilbert Barish, 2300 N. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, MI 48906
David Van Denbergh, 2300 N. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, MI 48906
David Lohr, 6014 Chesapeake Drive, Lansing, MI 48911
Brian Reynolds, 138 W. State Street, Hastings, MI 49058
Greg Vaughn, 312 North Street, Mason, MI 48854
Brett Hollandsworth, 312 North Street, Mason, MI 48854

to terms expiring December 31, 2016.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, upon respectful recommendation of the Ingham County Remonumentation Committee, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners contracts the services of the Peer Review Group Members, said contracts to be funded by survey and remonumentation grant funds authorized for 2016 at a cost not to exceed $600 per Peer Review Group Member.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.
COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
WHEREAS, Ingham County Purchasing Department solicited proposals from Michigan Department of Transportation prequalified and experienced construction inspection and supervision firms to provide services on an as-needed basis; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department advertised for the as-needed construction inspection and supervision services for the 2016 and 2017 road construction seasons and received two (2) proposals; and

WHEREAS, Road Department and Purchasing Department staff reviewed the proposals for adherence to county purchasing requirements, proposed unit prices, experience, and overall value to the county; and

WHEREAS, when retaining as-needed construction inspection and supervision, ICRD staff shall strive to retain the lowest cost consultant whenever possible; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department recommends that the Board of Commissioners retain both Tetra Tech of Michigan, P.C. and NTH Consultants, Ltd. to provide the as-needed construction inspection and supervision services during the 2016 and 2017 road construction seasons at fees not to exceed those stated in their proposals which are attached.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes retaining both Tetra Tech of Michigan, P.C., 401 South Washington Square, Suite 100, Lansing, Michigan and NTH Consultants, Ltd., 608 South Washington Avenue, Lansing, Michigan to provide the as-needed construction inspection and supervision services for the 2016 and 2017 road construction seasons.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Tetra Tech of Michigan, PC and NTH Consultants, Ltd. shall be compensated for services performed at fee rates not to exceed those set forth in their proposals, which are attached and made a part of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary agreements that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville  
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO RETAIN AS-NEEDED MATERIAL TESTING SERVICES
WITH SOIL AND MATERIALS ENGINEERS, INC. (SME)
AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC. (PSI)

RESOLUTION # 16 – 136

WHEREAS, Ingham County Purchasing Department solicited proposals from Michigan Department of Transportation prequalified and experienced material testing firms to provide services on an as-needed basis; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department advertised for the as-needed testing services for the 2016 and 2017 road construction seasons and received two (2) proposals; and

WHEREAS, Road Department and Purchasing Department staff reviewed the proposals for adherence to county purchasing requirements, proposed unit prices, testing experience, and overall value to the county; and

WHEREAS, when retaining as-needed testing services, ICRD staff shall strive to retain the lowest cost consultant whenever possible; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department recommends that the Board of Commissioners retain both Soil and Materials Engineers, Inc. (SME) and Professional Service Industries, Inc. (PSI) to provide the as-needed material testing during the 2016 and 2017 road construction seasons at fees not to exceed those stated in their proposals which are attached.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes retaining both Soil and Materials Engineers, Inc., 2663 Eaton Rapids Road, Lansing, Michigan and Professional Service Industries, Inc., 3120 Sovereign Drive, Suite C, Lansing, Michigan to provide the as-needed material testing during the 2016 and 2017 road construction seasons.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, Soil and Materials Engineers, Inc. and Professional Services Industries, Inc. shall be compensated for services performed at fee rates not to exceed those set forth in their proposals which are attached and made a part of this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary agreements that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016
FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF 2016 SEASONAL REQUIREMENT OF ASPHALT EMULSIONS FOR THE ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 137

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department annually purchases various types of asphalt emulsion (asphalt oil suspended in water) for placement by Road Department crews in various road maintenance operations and in the Local Road Program in the following approximate, estimated quantities and for the following purposes: SS-1h for asphalt pavement tack (bond) coat—20,000 gallons, HFRS-2M for chip-sealing sealer—450,000 gallons, HFRS-2 for chip-sealing sealer—as needed where HFRS-2M may not be necessary, AE-90 for spray-patching oil—10,000 gallons, CM-300 for on-site manufacturing of cold patch—16,000 gallons; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department adopted 2016 budget includes in controllable expenditures, funds for this and other maintenance material purchases; and

WHEREAS, bids for the various types of asphalt emulsions were solicited and evaluated by the Ingham County Purchasing Department per Request for Proposals (RFP) #43-16, and it is their recommendation, with the concurrence of Road Department staff, to award this bid and to purchase the HFRS 2-M, SS-1H and AE-90 asphalt emulsions on an as-needed, plant pickup, unit price basis from Michigan Paving and Materials Inc. based on their lowest qualified bid and/or logistically practical plant location and to award HFRS-2 (if needed) and CM-300 asphalt emulsions on an as-needed, delivered, unit price basis from Asphalt Materials Inc. and to award HFRS-2M, SS-1H and AE-90 emulsions as a secondary option to Asphalt Materials Inc. in the event that Michigan Paving and Materials Inc. fails to meet specifications or is unable to provide material when needed.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners accepts the bids, and authorizes the purchase of the various types of asphalt emulsions on an as-needed, unit price basis from Michigan Paving and Materials Inc. and Asphalt Materials Inc. based on their lowest qualified bid and/or logistically practical plant location and/or availability of specified material for the selected various types of asphalt emulsions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Road Department and Purchasing Department are hereby authorized to execute purchase orders accordingly with Michigan Paving and Materials Inc. and Asphalt Materials Inc., and purchase asphalt emulsions as needed and budgeted.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 4/06/2016
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A BRIDGE DESIGN PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES CONTRACT WITH DLZ MICHIGAN, INC.

RESOLUTION # 16 – 138

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Department received 2017 Local Bridge Program funding to replace the Columbia Road Bridge over the Grand River; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County Purchasing Department solicited proposals from Michigan Department of Transportation pre-qualified design consultants to provide professional engineering services for the replacement of the Columbia Road Bridge; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department advertised for professional engineering services for the Columbia Road Bridge Replacement Project and received six (6) proposals; and

WHEREAS, Road Department and Purchasing Department staff reviewed the proposals for adherence to county purchasing requirements, proposed scope of work, similar project experience, and overall value to the county; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department recommends that the Board of Commissioners retain DLZ Michigan, Inc. to provide the professional engineering services in the amount of $71,626.57.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a professional services contract with DLZ Michigan, Inc., 1425 Keystone Drive, Lansing, Michigan based on its Columbia Road Bridge Replacement Project Professional Engineering Services proposal dated February 25, 2016, in the amount of $71,626.57.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents, on behalf of the County, after approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 4/06/2016
Resolved that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a professional services contract with Great Lakes Engineering Group, LLC, 521 Seymour Street, Lansing, Michigan based on its Zimmer Road Bridge Replacement Project Professional Engineering Services proposal dated March 9, 2016, in the amount of $27,974.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents, on behalf of the County, after approved as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTRY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 4/06/2016
ADOPTED - APRIL 12, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 26

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF 2016 SEASONAL REQUIREMENTS OF SMOOTH-LINED CORRUGATED POLYETHYLENE PIPE & HELICALLY CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE FOR THE ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 140

WHEREAS, the Road Department annually purchases approximately 3500 lineal feet of various sizes of both smooth lined corrugated polyethylene pipe and helically corrugated steel pipe—both galvanized and aluminized coated, for use as road drainage culverts and piping; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department’s adopted 2016 budget includes in controllable expenditures, funds for this and other maintenance material purchases; and

WHEREAS, Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC. and Advanced Drainage Systems Inc. were awarded and successfully filled the contracts for the Road Department’s 2015 supply of corrugated steel pipe and corrugated polyethylene pipe, respectively, and have both offered to extend 2015 pricing for 2016; and

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the Purchasing Department, with concurrence of Road Department staff, to extend pricing with both companies.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners accepts the offers of extension, and authorizes the purchase on an as-needed, unit price basis, of smooth lined corrugated polyethylene pipe from Advanced Drainage Systems, and helically corrugated steel pipe—both galvanized and aluminized coated, from Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Road Department and Purchasing Department are hereby authorized to execute purchase orders with Advanced Drainage Systems for smooth lined corrugated polyethylene pipe, and Contech Engineered Solutions, LLC, for helically corrugated steel pipe—both galvanized and aluminized coated, as needed and budgeted.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
     Nays: None    Absent: Celentino    Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
     Nays: None    Absent: None    Approved 4/06/2016
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXTENSION OF A CONTRACT FOR GRAVEL ROAD DUST CONTROL SERVICE FOR THE ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 141

WHEREAS, the Road Department uses a service to provide, deliver and/or apply approximately 250,000 gallons of calcium chloride solution for dust control each year on the 81 miles of gravel county roads during the dry months of the year; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Transportation and Roads adopted 2016 budget includes funds for this expense in controllable expenditures; and

WHEREAS, the 2015 awarded vendor, Chloride Solutions of Webberville MI, has offered to extend for 2016, its 2015 unit price of 13 cents per gallon for calcium chloride dust control solution delivered to the Road Department for incidental application by Road Department crews and/or applied to all gravel roads by the vendor; and

WHEREAS, Chloride Solutions uses a dust control brine that the Road department has found to be better for dust control than most other materials and successfully completed their 2015 contractual obligations; and

WHEREAS, it is therefore the recommendation of the Road and Purchasing Departments to extend for 2016, Chloride Solution’s 2015 unit price of 13 cents per gallon for calcium chloride dust control solution delivered and/or applied.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners authorizes extending for 2016, the contract and unit price of 13 cents per gallon for calcium chloride dust control solution delivered and/or applied with Chloride Solutions of Webberville, Michigan.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Purchasing Department is hereby authorized to execute any necessary purchase documents relating to the above, consistent with this resolution, on behalf of the County.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 4/06/2016
Introduced by the Finance Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE 2016 INGHAM COUNTY BUDGET

RESOLUTION # 16 – 142

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners adopted the 2016 Budget on October 27, 2015 and has authorized certain amendments since that time, and it is now necessary to make some adjustments as a result of updated revenue and expenditure projections, fund transfers, reappropriations, accounting and contractual changes, errors and omissions, and additional appropriation needs; and

WHEREAS, the Liaison Committees and the Finance Committee have reviewed the proposed budget adjustments prepared by the Controller’s staff and have made adjustments where necessary; and

WHEREAS, Public Act 621 of 1978 requires that local units of government maintain a balanced budget and periodically adjust the budget to reflect revised revenue and expenditure levels.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby directs the Controller to make the necessary transfers to adjust revenues and expenditures in the following funds, according to the attached schedules:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>2016 BUDGET (3/15/16)</th>
<th>PROPOSED CHANGES</th>
<th>PROPOSED BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>$80,624,418</td>
<td>$169,669</td>
<td>$80,794,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>2,255,391</td>
<td>59,525</td>
<td>2,314,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Friend of the Court</td>
<td>5,604,120</td>
<td>11,330</td>
<td>5,615,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>Trails &amp; Parks Millage</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>22,682</td>
<td>72,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Hotel/Motel</td>
<td>2,500,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>Public Improvements</td>
<td>447,100</td>
<td>379,021</td>
<td>826,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>Potter Park/Zoo</td>
<td>3,521,764</td>
<td>314,128</td>
<td>3,835,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>911 Emergency Phone</td>
<td>7,791,082</td>
<td>117,915</td>
<td>7,908,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267</td>
<td>Community Corrections</td>
<td>393,552</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>394,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>292</td>
<td>Family Div. Child Care Fund</td>
<td>13,728,570</td>
<td>13,125</td>
<td>13,741,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511</td>
<td>Community Health Centers</td>
<td>20,598,241</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>20,948,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631</td>
<td>Building Authority Operating</td>
<td>4,108,291</td>
<td>100,172</td>
<td>4,208,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>636</td>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>5,084,394</td>
<td>266,176</td>
<td>5,350,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664</td>
<td>Mach. &amp; Equip. Revolving</td>
<td>806,196</td>
<td>481,942</td>
<td>1,288,138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
## GENERAL FUND REVENUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>2016 Budget – 3/15/16</th>
<th>Proposed Changes</th>
<th>2016 Proposed Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tax Revenues</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Property Tax</td>
<td>44,696,645</td>
<td></td>
<td>44,696,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax Adjustments</td>
<td>(150,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(150,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Real Property Tax</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpaid Personal Property Tax</td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(10,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Facility Tax</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailer Fee Tax</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intergovernmental Transfers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Revenue Sharing</td>
<td>6,088,744</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,088,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention/Tourism Tax - Liquor</td>
<td>1,395,224</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,395,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Equity Fund</td>
<td>1,490,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,490,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Fund Balance</td>
<td>2,645,401</td>
<td>121,891</td>
<td>2,767,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department Generated Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>708,136</td>
<td></td>
<td>708,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Family Division</td>
<td>1,016,625</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,016,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Friend of the Court</td>
<td>562,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>562,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Crt - General Trial</td>
<td>2,467,390</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,467,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Mental Health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>3,170</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooperative Extension</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Clerk</td>
<td>632,210</td>
<td></td>
<td>632,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Court</td>
<td>2,674,448</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,674,448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Commissioner/Drain Tax</td>
<td>394,758</td>
<td></td>
<td>394,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>56,640</td>
<td></td>
<td>56,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>66,550</td>
<td></td>
<td>66,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Operations</td>
<td>53,582</td>
<td></td>
<td>53,582</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Equalization /Tax Mapping
- **2016 Budget:** 10,100
- **Proposed Changes:** 10,100

### Facilities
- **2016 Budget:** 172,957
- **Proposed Changes:** 172,957

### Financial Services
- **2016 Budget:** 89,673
- **Proposed Changes:** 89,673

### Health Department
- **2016 Budget:** 120,000
- **Proposed Changes:** 120,000

### Human Resources
- **2016 Budget:** 42,368
- **Proposed Changes:** 42,368

### Probate Court
- **2016 Budget:** 277,178
- **Proposed Changes:** 277,178

### Prosecuting Attorney
- **2016 Budget:** 597,652
- **Proposed Changes:** 597,652

### Register of Deeds
- **2016 Budget:** 2,036,729
- **Proposed Changes:** 2,036,729

### Remonumentation Grant
- **2016 Budget:** 85,000
- **Proposed Changes:** 85,000

### Sheriff
- **2016 Budget:** 6,303,355
  - **Proposed Changes:** 47,778
  - **Total:** 6,351,133

### Treasurer
- **2016 Budget:** 5,301,633
- **Proposed Changes:** 5,301,633

### Tri-County Regional Planning
- **2016 Budget:** 63,921
- **Proposed Changes:** 63,921

### Veteran Affairs
- **2016 Budget:** 399,829
- **Proposed Changes:** 399,829

### Total General Fund Revenues
- **2016 Budget:** 80,624,418
  - **Proposed Changes:** 169,669
  - **Total:** 80,794,087

### General Fund Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>2016 Budget - 3/15/16</th>
<th>Proposed Changes</th>
<th>2016 Proposed Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Commissioners</td>
<td>552,043</td>
<td></td>
<td>552,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - General Trial</td>
<td>8,605,607</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,605,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Court</td>
<td>3,175,311</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,175,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Friend of the Court</td>
<td>1,594,800</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,594,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jury Board</td>
<td>1,199</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probate Court</td>
<td>1,487,559</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,487,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circuit Court - Family Division</td>
<td>5,495,598</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,495,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jury Selection</td>
<td>127,967</td>
<td></td>
<td>127,967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>358,257</td>
<td></td>
<td>358,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services</td>
<td>771,089</td>
<td></td>
<td>771,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Attorney</td>
<td>445,143</td>
<td></td>
<td>445,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Clerk</td>
<td>943,699</td>
<td>6,101</td>
<td>949,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>Beginning Budget</td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller</td>
<td>882,875</td>
<td>54,364</td>
<td>937,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equalization/Tax Services</td>
<td>729,444</td>
<td></td>
<td>729,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>693,469</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>748,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecuting Attorney</td>
<td>6,354,172</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,354,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchasing</td>
<td>217,271</td>
<td></td>
<td>217,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities</td>
<td>2,078,802</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,078,802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of Deeds</td>
<td>751,832</td>
<td></td>
<td>751,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remonumentation Grant</td>
<td>85,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer</td>
<td>540,495</td>
<td></td>
<td>540,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Commissioner</td>
<td>964,065</td>
<td></td>
<td>964,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td>131,524</td>
<td></td>
<td>131,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Agencies</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingham Conservation District</td>
<td>8,190</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal Opportunity Committee</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Commission</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Commission</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-County Regional Planning</td>
<td>113,053</td>
<td></td>
<td>113,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Maintenance</td>
<td>215,600</td>
<td></td>
<td>215,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>20,636,698</td>
<td>69,305</td>
<td>20,706,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tri-County Metro Squad</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Corrections</td>
<td>154,896</td>
<td></td>
<td>154,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>1,737,697</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,737,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Sec./Emergency Ops.</td>
<td>204,115</td>
<td></td>
<td>204,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Public Works</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Tax at Large</td>
<td>430,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>430,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Department</td>
<td>5,272,865</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,272,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Centers</td>
<td>2,892,486</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,892,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail Medical</td>
<td>1,921,190</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,921,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Examiner</td>
<td>359,075</td>
<td></td>
<td>359,075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Substance Abuse 701,927  701,927
Community Mental Health 1,897,161  1,897,161
Department of Human Services 1,924,769  1,924,769
Tri-County Aging 78,512  78,512
Veterans Affairs 551,795  551,795
Cooperative Extension 444,081  444,081
Library Legacy Costs 133,236  133,236
Parks and Recreation 1,566,141  1,566,141
Contingency Reserves 350,000  350,000
Legal Aid 20,000  20,000
2-1-1 Project 45,750  45,750
Community Coalition for Youth 27,000  27,000
Capital Improvements 1,654,160  1,654,160
Utilities Savings (various depts.) 0 (15,101) (15,101)

Total General Fund Expenditures

80,624,418  169,669  80,794,087

General Fund Revenues

Sheriff Increase insurance proceeds $47,778 to replace damaged transport van.

Use of Fund Balance Increase use of fund balance $130,891 for various general fund reappropriations.
 Decrease use of fund balance $9,000 to balance budget for various adjustments.

General Fund Expenditures

County Clerk Increase temporary salary budget $6,101 to reflect long term wage and fringe savings from 2014 reorganization per Resolution 14-175.

Controller Reappropriate remaining funds of $39,370 for strategic planning facilitation per Resolution 15-400 and of $14,994 for Jail/District Court needs assessment study per Resolution 15-229.

Human Resources Reappropriate remaining funds of $55,000 for employee compensation study per Resolution 15-056.
Sheriff

Reappropriate $21,527 for vehicle ordered in 2015 but received in 2016. Increase budget $47,778 to replace damaged transport van. Cost will be reimbursed from insurance proceeds.

Utilities

Decrease utilities budgets for various departments $15,101 based on reprojected costs.

Non-General Fund Adjustments

Parks

(F208) Reappropriate funds for the following capital improvement projects:
Lake Lansing dock ($5,166) per 2014 capital budget, Lake Lansing North restroom roof repair ($8,000), Lake Lansing South restroom roof repair ($8,000), Lake Lansing South gravel/road maintenance ($7,000) and Burchfield gravel/road maintenance ($6,359) per 2015 capital budget. Reappropriate funds authorized in the 2015 budget for the master plan update ($25,000).

Friend of the Court

(F215) Transfer $1,557 from salary attrition to cover excess cost of vehicle for Friend of the Court. Budget was $15,000. Final cost was $16,557. Reappropriate funds for Oasis Center per R15-103 ($11,330). Funds not spent in FY2015 were used to support the program through its end date of December 31, 2015.

Trails & Parks Millage

(F228) Reappropriate remaining funds for Trails & Parks millage planning consultant per Resolution 15-241. ($22,682)

Hotel/Motel Fund

(F230) Increase revenues and expenses $100,000 to reflect current projections.

Public Improvements

(F245) Reappropriate funds for the following capital improvement projects:
Circuit Court key card reader ($1,600), new Facilities maintenance garage ($45,000) and Lake Lansing Park bathhouse/concession renovation ($7,015) per 2014 capital budget, space utilization study ($22,470) per 2014 capital budget and Resolution 14-379, District Court public bath flooring ($17,293), replace Grady Porter Building rooftop insulation ($15,000), water main repairs at the Jail ($4,650), floor replacement at Women’s Health ($9,900) and Child Health ($7,000), refurbish pod system at WIC ($14,800), boiler replacement ($100,000) and vent covers ($12,000) at the Youth Center, countertop replacement at the Hilliard Building ($12,293), Hawk Island Park path repair ($70,000), Hawk Island parking lot repair ($10,000), Lake Lansing South reforestation ($5,000) and Drain Office vault shelving ($25,000) per 2015 capital budget.

Potter Park/Zoo

(F258) Reappropriate funds to complete the moose exhibit per Resolution 15-242 ($314,128).

911 Emergency Phone

(F261) Increase budgeted use of fund balance $117,915 to correct budget for debt service payment. Budgeted debt payment is $96,495. Correct debt payment is $214,410.

Community Corrections

(F267) Increase use of fund balance to cover substance abuse aftercare services not not funded in State of Michigan contract ($1,123).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Reappropriation Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fam. Div. Child Care Fund (F292)</td>
<td>Reappropriate unspent funds for First Step Program and Parenting Wisely curriculum authorized by Resolution 14-327. ($13,125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Centers (F511)</td>
<td>Reappropriate funds for Forest Community Health Center parking lot per 2015 capital budget ($350,000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. Authority Operating (F631)</td>
<td>Reappropriate funds for the following projects at the Human Services Building per the 2015 capital budget: new fire panel ($45,172), new boilers ($45,000), and drop ceiling for Public Health Services ($10,000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS (F636)</td>
<td>Reappropriate remaining funds for the following projects: Probate Court scanning project ($218,080) approved by 2014 capital budget and Resolution 11-120 and Clerk imaging project ($48,096) approved by the 2015 capital budget and Resolution 13-199.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mach./Equip. Revolving (F664)</td>
<td>Increase CIP upgrade funds to purchase laptop for Prosecuting Attorney ($1,477), 15 replacement PCs for the Register of Deeds ($18,724) and two replacement PCs for the Drain Office ($1,784). Reappropriate funds for the following capital projects: Circuit Court imaging/scanning project ($228,702), video surveillance for District Court ($5,600), Circuit Court courtroom technology replacements ($7,954), Circuit Court e-filing software/integration ($10,000), Circuit Court polycom replacements/video conferencing ($34,969) and Circuit Court courtroom control system ($18,000) per 2014 capital budget, phonic ear ($750), third floor entrance intercom ($1,000), electric letter opener ($1,000), polycom replacements ($5,000), printer/monitor/software replacements ($9,314), and courtroom technology replacements ($20,000) for Circuit Court per 2015 capital budget. Reappropriate funds for computer replacements budgeted but not purchased in 2015 for the following departments: Board of Commissioners ($565), Cooperative Extension ($2,643), Circuit Court ($4,995), District Court ($6,985), Drain Commissioner ($6,000), Equalization ($3,993), Financial Services ($863), Health Department ($37,529), Probate Court ($880), Prosecuting Attorney ($25,431), Sheriff ($3,297), and Treasurer ($1,047). Reappropriate funds for Sheriff pistol replacements ordered in 2015 but received in 2016 ($6,500). Reappropriate funds for FOIA Management software per Resolution 15-461. ($16,940)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduced by the Finance Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING 2016 ADMINISTRATIVE FUND

RESOLUTION # 16 - 143_________

A __________ meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham, Michigan (the "County"), was held in Mason, Michigan, on ______________, ____. The following Commissioners were

PRESENT:

ABSENT:

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING 2016 ADMINISTRATIVE FUND

IT IS RESOLVED BY THE INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AS FOLLOWS:

The County Treasurer, pursuant to Section 87c, Subsection (2), of Act 206, is designated as Agent for the County, and the Treasurer’s office shall receive all such sums as are provided in Section 87c, Subsection (3), to cover administrative expenses so long as Treasurer waives right to receive such sums as would be payable to his under Section 87c, Subsection (3).

Discussion followed. A vote was thereupon taken on the foregoing resolution and was as follows:

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSTAIN:

A sufficient majority having voted therefor, the resolution appearing above was adopted.

STATE OF MICHIGAN

COUNTY OF INGHAM
I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate copy of the resolution adopted by the Ingham County Board of Commissioners, that such resolution was duly adopted at a _______ meeting held on the ___ day of _____________, ____, and that notice of such meeting was given as required by law.

___________________________________
Ingham County Clerk

[SEAL]

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016

Commissioner Bahar-Cook moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Tennis seconded the motion.

The motion carried via unanimous roll call vote.
2016 BORROWING RESOLUTION
(2015 DELINQUENT TAXES)

RESOLUTION # 16 -_144______

A __________ meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham, Michigan (the "County"), was held in Mason, Michigan, on _____________, ____. The following Commissioners were

PRESENT:
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

ABSENT:
____________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________

The preambles and resolution set forth below were offered by Commissioner ____________ and were seconded by Commissioner ____________.

2016 BORROWING RESOLUTION
(2015 DELINQUENT TAXES)

WHEREAS, ad valorem real property taxes are imposed by the County and the local taxing units within the County on July 1 and/or December 1 of each year; and

WHEREAS, a certain portion of these taxes remain unpaid and uncollected on March 1 of the year following assessment, at which time they are returned delinquent to the County's treasurer (the "Treasurer"); and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer is bound to collect all delinquent taxes, interest and property tax administration fees which would otherwise be payable to the local taxing units within the County; and

WHEREAS, the statutes of the State of Michigan authorize the County to establish a fund, in whole or in part from borrowed proceeds, to pay local taxing units within the County.
their respective shares of delinquent ad valorem real property taxes in anticipation of the collection of those taxes by the Treasurer; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners (the "Board") has adopted a resolution authorizing the County's Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund (the "Revolving Fund Program"), pursuant to Section 87b of Act No. 206, Michigan Public Acts of 1893, as amended ("Act 206"); and

WHEREAS, such fund has been established to provide a source of monies from which the Treasurer may pay any or all delinquent ad valorem real property taxes which are due the County, and any city, township, school district, intermediate school district, community college district, special assessment district, drainage district, or other political unit within the geographical boundaries of the County participating in the County's Revolving Fund Program pursuant to Act 206 ("local units"); and

WHEREAS, the Treasurer is authorized under Act 206, and has been directed by the Board, to make such payments with respect to delinquent ad valorem real property taxes (including the property tax administration fees assessed under subsection (6) of Section 44 of Act 206) owed in 2015 to the County and the local units (collectively, the "taxing units") which will have remained unpaid on March 1, 2016 and the Treasurer is authorized to pledge these amounts in addition to any amounts not already pledged for repayment of prior series of notes (or after such prior series of notes are retired as a secondary pledge) all as the Treasurer shall specify in an order when the notes authorized hereunder are issued (the "Delinquent Taxes"); and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that in order to raise sufficient monies to adequately fund the Revolving Fund, the County must issue its General Obligation Limited Tax Notes, Series 2016 in one or more series, in accordance with Sections 87c, 87d, 87g and 89 of Act 206 and on the terms and conditions set forth below.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED BY THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

101. Establishment of 2016 Revolving Fund. In order to implement the continuation of the Revolving Fund Program and in accordance with Act 206, the County hereby establishes a 2016 Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund (the "Revolving Fund") as a separate and segregated fund within the existing Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund of the County previously established by the Board pursuant to Section 87b of Act 206.

102. Issuance of Notes. The County shall issue its General Obligation Limited Tax Notes, Series 2016 in one or more series (the "Notes"), in accordance with this Resolution and Sections 87c, 87d, 87g and 89 of Act 206, payable in whole or in
part from the Delinquent Taxes and/or from the other sources specified below.

103. Aggregate Amount of Notes.

(a) The Notes shall be issued in an aggregate amount to be determined in accordance with this Section by the Treasurer.

(b) The aggregate amount of the Notes shall not be less than the amount by which the actual or estimated Delinquent Taxes exceeds (i) the County's participating share of Delinquent Taxes, and (ii) any sums otherwise available to fund the Tax Payment Account established under Section 702 (including any monies held in respect of Section 704(c)).

(c) The aggregate amount of the Notes shall not be greater than the sum of (i) the actual amount of the Delinquent Taxes pledged to the payment of debt service on the Notes, plus (ii) the amount determined by the Treasurer to be allocated to a reserve fund. Original proceeds of the Notes devoted to a reserve fund shall not exceed the lesser of (A) the amount reasonably required for those of the Notes secured by the reserve fund, (B) 10% of the proceeds of such Notes, (C) the maximum amount of annual debt service on such Notes, or (D) 125% of average annual debt service on such Notes.

(d) The aggregate amount of the Notes shall be designated by the Treasurer by written order after (i) the amount of the Delinquent Taxes, or the amount of Delinquent Taxes to be funded by the issuance of the Notes, has been estimated or determined, and (ii) the amount of the reasonably required reserve fund has been calculated. Delinquent Taxes shall be estimated based on delinquencies experienced during the past three fiscal years and on demographic and economic data relevant to the current tax year, and shall be determined based on certification from each of the taxing units. The amount of the reasonably required reserve fund shall be calculated pursuant to such analyses and certificates as the Treasurer may request.

104. Proceeds. If the Notes are issued and sold before the Treasurer has received certification from the taxing units of the amount of the Delinquent Taxes and if such certification is not reasonably anticipated to occur to allow distribution of the proceeds of the Notes within 20 days after the date of issue, the proceeds of the Notes shall be deposited in the County's 2016 Delinquent Tax Project Account and thereafter used to fund the whole or a part of the County's 2016 Tax Payment Account, 2016 Note Reserve Account and/or 2016 Note Payment Account, subject to and in accordance with Article VII. If the Notes are issued and sold on or after such time, the proceeds of the Notes shall be deposited directly into the County's 2016 Tax Payment Account, 2016 Note Reserve Account and/or 2016 Note Payment Account, as provided in Article VII.
105. **Treasurer's Order Authorizing Notes and Establishing Delinquent Taxes.** At or prior to the time any Notes are issued pursuant to this resolution, the Treasurer, as authorized by Act 206, may issue a written order specifying the amount and character of the Delinquent Taxes, the Article or Articles under which the Notes are being issued and any other matters subject to the Treasurers control under either this resolution or Act 206.

**II. FIXED MATURITY NOTES**

201. **Authority.** At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, the Notes may be issued in accordance with this Article II. All reference to "Notes" in Article II refers only to Notes issued pursuant to Article II, unless otherwise specified.

202. **Date.** The Notes shall be dated as of the date of issue or as of such earlier date specified by written order of the Treasurer.

203. **Maturity and Amounts.** Notes issued pursuant to this Article II shall be structured in accordance with subsections (a) or (b) below as determined by the Treasurer pursuant to written order.

(a) The first maturity of the Notes or of a series of the Notes shall be determined by the Treasurer pursuant to written order, but shall not be later than four years after the date of issue. Later maturities of the Notes shall be on the first anniversary of the preceding maturity or on such earlier date as the Treasurer may specify by written order. The Notes shall be structured with the number of maturities determined by the Treasurer to be necessary or appropriate, and the last maturity shall be scheduled for no later than the sixth anniversary of the date of issue. The amount of each maturity or of any mandatory or optional call date shall be set by the Treasurer when the amount of Delinquent Taxes is determined by the Treasurer or when a reliable estimate of the Delinquent Taxes is available to the Treasurer. In determining the exact amount of each maturity or of any mandatory or optional call date the Treasurer shall consider the schedule of delinquent tax collections prepared for the tax years ending December 31, 2015, or after any other years and the corollary schedule setting forth the anticipated rate of collection of those Delinquent Taxes which are pledged to the repayment of the Notes. The amount of each maturity and the scheduled maturity dates of the Notes shall be established to take into account the dates on which the Treasurer reasonably anticipates the collection of such Delinquent Taxes and shall allow for no more than a 15% variance between the debt service payable on each maturity date, the Notes, and the anticipated amount of pledged monies.
available on such maturity date to make payment of such debt service.

(b) Alternatively, the Notes or a series of the Notes may be structured with a single stated maturity falling not later than the fourth anniversary of the date of issue. Notes issued under this subsection (b) shall be subject to redemption on such terms consistent with the applicable parts of subsection (a) of this section and with Section 209 as shall be ordered by the Treasurer, but in no event shall such Notes be subject to redemption less frequently than annually.

204. Interest Rate and Date of Record.

(a) Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, Notes issued pursuant to subsection (a) of Section 203 shall bear interest payable semi-annually, with the first interest payment to be payable (i) on the first date, after issuance, corresponding to the day and month on which the maturity of such Notes falls, or (ii) if the Treasurer so orders, six months before such date. In the event (i) any maturity of the Notes arises either less than six months before the succeeding maturity date or less than six months after the preceding maturity date and (ii) the Treasurer so orders in writing, interest on the Notes shall be payable on such succeeding or preceding maturity date. Subject to the following sentence, Notes issued pursuant to subsection (b) of Section 203 shall, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, bear interest monthly, quarterly, or semiannually, as provided by written order of the Treasurer. If Notes issued under this Article II are sold with a variable rate feature as provided in Article IV, such Notes may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, bear interest weekly, monthly, quarterly or on any put date, or any combination of the foregoing, as provided by written order of the Treasurer.

(b) Interest shall not exceed the maximum rate permitted by law.

(c) Interest shall be mailed by first class mail to the registered owner of each Note as of the applicable date of record, provided, however, that the Treasurer may agree with the Registrar (as defined below) on a different method of payment.

(d) Subject to Section 403 in the case of variable rate Notes, the date of record shall be not fewer than 14 nor more than 31 days before the date of payment, as designated by the Treasurer prior to the sale of the Notes.

205. Note Form. The form of Note shall be consistent with the prescriptions of this Resolution and shall reflect all material terms of the Notes. Unless the Treasurer shall by written order specify the contrary, the Notes shall be issued in fully registered form both as to principal and interest,
registrable upon the books of a note registrar (the "Registrar") to be named by the Treasurer. If the Notes are issued in bearer form the Treasurer shall appoint a paying agent (the "Paying Agent"). (The Registrar or Paying Agent so named may be any bank or trust company or other entity, including the County, offering the necessary services pertaining to the registration and transfer of negotiable securities.)

206. Denominations and Numbers. The Notes shall be issued in one or more denomination or denominations of $1,000 each or any integral multiple of $1,000 in excess of $1,000, as determined by the Treasurer. Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, in the event the Notes are deposited under a book entry depository trust arrangement pursuant to Section 208, the Notes may, if required by the depository trustee, be issued in denominations of $5,000 each or any integral multiple of $5,000. The Notes shall be numbered from one upwards, regardless of maturity, in such order as the Registrar shall determine.

207. Transfer or Exchange of Notes.

(a) Notes issued in registered form shall be transferable on a note register maintained with respect to the Notes upon surrender of the transferred Note, together with an assignment executed by the registered owner or his or her duly authorized attorney-in-fact in form satisfactory to the Registrar. Upon receipt of a properly assigned Note, the Registrar shall authenticate and deliver a new Note or Notes in equal aggregate principal amount and like interest rate and maturity to the designated transferee or transferees.

(b) Notes may likewise be exchanged for one or more other Notes with the same interest rate and maturity in authorized denominations aggregating the same principal amount as the Note or Notes being exchanged, upon surrender of the Note or Notes and the submission of written instructions to the Registrar or, in the case of bearer Notes, to the Paying Agent. Upon receipt of a Note with proper written instructions the Registrar or Paying Agent shall authenticate and deliver a new Note or Notes to the owner thereof or to the owner's attorney-in-fact.

(c) Any service charge made by the Registrar or Paying Agent for any such registration, transfer or exchange shall be paid for by the County as an expense of borrowing, unless otherwise agreed by the Treasurer and the Registrar or Paying Agent. The Registrar or Paying Agent may, however, require payment by a noteholder of a sum sufficient to cover any tax or other governmental charge payable in connection with any such registration, transfer or exchange.

208. Book Entry Depository Trust. At the option of the Treasurer, and notwithstanding any contrary provision of Section 212, the Notes may be deposited, in whole or in part, with a
depository trustee designated by the Treasurer who shall transfer ownership of interests in the Notes by book entry and who shall issue depository trust receipts or acknowledgments to owners of interests in the Notes. Such book entry depository trust arrangement, and the form of depository trust receipts or acknowledgments, shall be as determined by the Treasurer after consultation with the depository trustee. The Treasurer is authorized to enter into any depository trust agreement on behalf of the County upon such terms and conditions as the Treasurer shall deem appropriate and not otherwise prohibited by the terms of this Resolution. The depository trustee may be the same as the Registrar otherwise named by the Treasurer, and the Notes may be transferred in part by depository trust and in part by transfer of physical certificates as the Treasurer may determine.

209. Redemption.

(a) Subject to the authority granted the Treasurer pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section (in the case of fixed rate Notes) and to the authority granted the Treasurer pursuant to Section 404 (in the case of variable rate Notes), the Notes or any maturity or maturities of the Notes shall be subject to redemption prior to maturity on the terms set forth in subsection (b) below.

(b) Notes scheduled to mature after the first date on which any Notes of the series are scheduled to mature shall be subject to redemption, in inverse order of maturity, on each interest payment date arising after the date of issue.

(c) If the Treasurer shall determine such action necessary to enhance the marketability of the Notes or to reduce the interest rate to be offered by prospective purchasers on any maturity of the Notes, the Treasurer may, by written order prior to the issuance of such Notes, (i) designate some or all of the Notes as non-callable, regardless of their maturity date, and/or (ii) delay the first date on which the redemption of callable Notes would otherwise be authorized under subsection (b) above.

(d) Notes of any maturity subject to redemption may be redeemed before their scheduled maturity date, in whole or in part, on any permitted redemption date or dates, subject to the written order of the Treasurer. Notes called for redemption shall be redeemed at par, plus accrued interest to the redemption date, plus, if the Treasurer so orders, a premium of not more than 1%. Redemption may be made by lot or pro rata, as shall be determined by the Treasurer.

(e) With respect to partial redemptions, any portion of a Note outstanding in a denomination larger than the minimum authorized denomination may be redeemed, provided such portion as well as the amount not being redeemed constitute authorized denominations. In the event less than the entire principal
amount of a Note is called for redemption, the Registrar or Paying Agent shall, upon surrender of the Note by the owner thereof, authenticate and deliver to the owner a new Note in the principal amount of the principal portion not redeemed.

(f) Notice of redemption shall be by first class mail 30 days prior to the date fixed for redemption, or such shorter time prior to the date fixed for redemption as may be consented to by the holders of all outstanding Notes to be called for redemption. Such notice shall fix the date of record with respect to the redemption if different than otherwise provided in this Resolution. Any defect in any notice shall not affect the validity of the redemption proceedings. Notes so called for redemption shall not bear interest after the date fixed for redemption, provided funds are on hand with a paying agent to redeem the same.

210. Discount. At the option of the Treasurer, the Notes may be offered for sale at a discount not to exceed 2%.

211. Public or Private Sale. The Treasurer may, at the Treasurer's option, conduct a public sale of the Notes after which sale the Treasurer shall either award the Notes to the lowest bidder or reject all bids. The conditions of sale shall be as specified in a published Notice of Sale prepared by the Treasurer announcing the principal terms of the Notes and the offering. Alternatively, the Treasurer may, at the Treasurer's option, negotiate a private sale of the Notes as provided in Act 206. If required by law, or if otherwise determined by the Treasurer to be in the best interest of the County, (a) the Notes shall be rated by a national rating agency selected by the Treasurer, (b) a good faith deposit shall be required of the winning bidder, and/or (c) CUSIP numbers shall be assigned to the Notes. If a public sale is conducted or if otherwise required by law or the purchaser of the Notes, the Treasurer shall prepare or cause to be prepared and disseminated an offering memorandum or official statement containing all material terms of the offer and sale of the Notes. Pursuant to any sale of the Notes, the County shall make such filings, shall solicit such information and shall obtain such governmental approvals as shall be required pursuant to any state or federal law respecting back-up income tax withholding, securities regulation, original issue discount or other regulated matter.

212. Execution and Delivery. The Treasurer is authorized and directed to execute the Notes on behalf of the County by manual or facsimile signature, provided that if the facsimile signature is used the Notes shall be authenticated by the Registrar or any tender agent as may be appointed pursuant to Section 801(c). The Notes shall be sealed with the County seal or imprinted with a facsimile of such seal. The Treasurer is authorized and directed to then deliver the Notes to the purchaser thereof upon receipt of the purchase price. The Notes
shall be delivered at the expense of the County in such city or cities as may be designated by the Treasurer.

213. Renewal, Refunding or Advance Refunding Notes. If at any time it appears to be in the best interests of the County, the Treasurer, by written order, may authorize the issuance of renewal, refunding or advance refunding Notes. The terms of such Notes, and the procedures incidental to their issuance, shall be set subject to Section 309 and, in appropriate cases, Article X.

III. SHORT-TERM NOTES

301. Authority. At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, Notes may be issued in accordance with this Article III. All references to "Notes" in Article III refer only to Notes issued pursuant to Article III, unless otherwise specified.

302. Date and Maturity. The Notes shall be dated as of their date of issuance or any prior date selected by the Treasurer and shall mature on such date not exceeding three years from the date of their issuance as may be specified by written order of the Treasurer.

303. Interest and Date of Record. The Notes shall bear interest payable monthly, quarterly, or semi-annually and at maturity at such rate or rates as may be determined by the Treasurer not exceeding the maximum rate of interest permitted by law on the date the Notes are issued. The date of record shall be not fewer than two nor more than 31 days before the date of payment, as designated by the Treasurer prior to the sale of the Notes.

304. Note Form. The form of Note shall be consistent with the prescriptions of this Resolution and shall reflect all material terms of the Notes. The Notes shall, in the discretion of the Treasurer and consistent with Section 205, either be payable to bearer or be issued in registered form. If issued in registered form, the Notes may be constituted as book-entry securities consistent with Section 208, notwithstanding any contrary provision of Section 308.

305. Denomination and Numbers. The Notes shall be issued in one or more denomination or denominations, as determined by the Treasurer. The Notes shall be numbered from one upwards in such order as the Treasurer determines.

306. Redemption. The authority and obligations of the Treasurer set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of Section 209 (in the case of fixed rate Notes), or Section 404 (in the case of variable rates Notes), as the case may be, shall apply also to Notes issued under Article III.
307. Sale of Notes. The authority and obligations of the Treasurer set forth in Sections 210 and 211 respecting Fixed Maturity Notes shall apply also to Notes issued under Article III.

308. Execution and Delivery. The authority and obligations of the Treasurer set forth in Section 212 respecting Fixed Maturity Notes shall also apply to Notes issued under Article III.

309. Renewal or Refunding Notes.

(a) The Treasurer may by written order authorize the issuance of renewal or refunding Notes (collectively the "Renewal Notes"). Renewal Notes shall be sold on the maturity date of, and the proceeds applied to the payment of debt service on, the Notes to be renewed. The maturities and repayment terms of the Renewal Notes shall be set by written order of the Treasurer.

(b) In the order authorizing Renewal Notes, the Treasurer shall specify whether the Notes shall be issued in accordance with this Article III, in which event the provisions of Article III shall govern the issuance of the Notes, or whether the Notes shall be issued in accordance with Article II, in which event the provisions of Article II shall govern the issuance of the Notes. The order shall also provide for and shall also govern with respect to:

1. the aggregate amount of the Renewal Notes;
2. the date of the Renewal Notes;
3. the denominations of the Renewal Notes;
4. the interest payment dates of the Renewal Notes;
5. the maturity or maturities of the Renewal Notes;
6. the terms of sale of the Renewal Notes;
7. whether any Renewal Notes issued in accordance with Article II shall be subject to redemption and, if so, the terms thereof; and
8. any other terms of the Renewal Notes consistent with, but not specified in, Article II or Article III.

(c) Regardless of whether Renewal Notes need be approved by prior order of the Department of Treasury, the
Treasurer, pursuant to Section 89(5)(d) of Act 206, shall promptly report to the Department of Treasury the issuance of any Renewal Notes.

IV. VARIABLE INTEREST RATE

401. Variable Rate Option. At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, the Notes, whether issued pursuant to Article II or Article III, may be issued with a variable interest rate, provided that the rate shall not exceed the maximum rate of interest permitted by law.

402. Determination of Rate. The order of the Treasurer shall provide how often the variable interest rate shall be subject to recalculation, the formula or procedure for determining the variable interest rate, whether and on what terms the rate shall be determined by a remarketing agent in the case of demand obligations consistent with Section 801(d), and whether and on what terms a fixed rate of interest may be converted to or from a variable rate of interest. Such formula or procedure shall be as determined by the Treasurer, but shall track or float within a specified percentage band around the rates generated by any one or more of the following indices:

   (i) Publicly reported prices or yields of obligations of the United States of America;

   (ii) An index of municipal obligations periodically reported by a nationally recognized source;

   (iii) The prime lending rate from time to time set by any bank or trust company in the United States with unimpaired capital and surplus exceeding $40,000,000;

   (iv) Any other rate or index that may be designated by order of the Treasurer provided such rate or index is set or reported by a source which is independent of and not controlled by the Treasurer or the County.

The procedure for determining the variable rate may involve one or more of the above indices as alternatives or may involve the setting of the rate by a municipal bond specialist provided such rate shall be within a stated percentage range of one or more of the indices set forth above.

403. Date of Record. The Date of Record shall be not fewer than one nor more than 31 days before the date of payment, as designated by written order of the Treasurer.

404. Redemption. Notwithstanding any contrary provision of subsections (b) and (c) of Section 209, but subject to the last sentence of this Section 404, Notes bearing interest at a
variable rate may be subject to redemption by the County and/or put by the holder at any time or times and in any order, as may be determined pursuant to written order of the Treasurer. Notes shall not be subject to redemption more frequently than monthly.

405. Remarketing, Repurchase and Resale.

(a) In the event Notes issued under this Article IV are constituted as demand obligations, the interest rate on the Notes shall be governed by, and shall be subject to, remarketing by a remarketing agent appointed in accordance with Section 801(c), under the terms of a put agreement employed in accordance with Section 801(d).

(b) The County shall be authorized, consistent with Act 206 and pursuant to order of the Treasurer, to participate in the repurchase and resale of Notes in order to reduce the cost of, or increase the revenue, attendant to the establishment of the Revolving Fund and the issuance and discharge of the Notes. Any purchase of Notes pursuant to this subsection (b) shall be made with unpledged monies drawn from revolving funds established by the County in connection with retired general obligation limited tax notes.

V. MULTIPLE SERIES

501. Issuance of Multiple Series. At the option of the Treasurer, exercisable by written order, the Notes issued under Article II, Article III or Article X may be issued in two or more individually designated series. Each series shall bear its own rate of interest, which may be fixed or variable in accordance with Article IV. Various series need not be issued at the same time and may be issued from time to time in the discretion of the Treasurer exercisable by written order. In determining the dates of issuance of the respective series, the Treasurer shall consider, among other pertinent factors, the impact the dates selected may have on the marketability, rating and/or qualification for credit support or liquidity support for, or insurance of, the Notes. The Notes of each such series shall be issued according to this Resolution in all respects (and the term "Notes" shall be deemed to include each series of Notes throughout this Resolution), provided that:

(a) The aggregate principal amount of the Notes of all series shall not exceed the maximum aggregate amount permitted under Section 103;

(b) Each series shall be issued pursuant to Article II or Article III, and different series may be issued pursuant to different Articles;
(c) Each series shall be issued pursuant to Section 502 or Section 503, and different series may be issued pursuant to different Sections;

(d) A series may be issued under Article II for one or more of the annual maturities set forth in Article II with the balance of the annual maturities being issued under Article II or under Article III in one or more other series, provided that the minimum annual maturities set forth in Section 203 shall be reduced and applied pro rata to all Notes so issued; and

(e) The Notes of all series issued pursuant to Article II above shall not, in aggregate, mature in amounts or on dates exceeding the maximum authorized maturities set forth in Section 203.

502. Series Secured Pari Passu. If the Notes are issued in multiple series pursuant to this Article V, each series of Notes may, by written order of the Treasurer, be secured pari passu with the other by the security described in and the amounts pledged by Article VII below. Moreover, such security may, pursuant to further written order of the Treasurer, be segregated in accordance with the following provisions.

(a) The Treasurer may by written order establish separate sub-accounts in the County's 2016 Note Reserve Account for each series of Notes, into which shall be deposited the amount borrowed for the Note Reserve Account for each such series.

(b) The Treasurer may by written order establish separate sub-accounts in the County's 2016 Note Payment Account for each series of Notes, and all amounts deposited in the Note Payment Account shall be allocated to the sub-accounts.

(c)(i) In the event separate sub-accounts are established pursuant to subsection (b) above, and subject to Paragraph (ii) below, the percentage of deposits to the County's 2016 Note Payment Account allocated to each sub-account may be set equal to the percentage that Notes issued in the corresponding series bears to all Notes issued under this Resolution or to any other percentage designated by the Treasurer pursuant to written order; provided that if the various series are issued at different times or if the various series are structured with different maturity dates, (I) sums deposited in the Note Payment Account prior to the issuance of one or more series may upon the issuance of each such series be reallocated among the various sub-accounts established under Subsection (b) above to achieve a balance among the sub-accounts proportionate to the designated percentage allocation, and/or (II) deposits to the Note Payment Account may be allocated among the sub-accounts according to the total amount of debt service that will actually be paid from the respective sub-accounts.
(ii) Alternatively, the Treasurer may, by written order, rank the sub-accounts established under Subsection (b) above in order of priority, and specify that each such sub-account shall receive deposits only after all sub-accounts having a higher priority have received deposits sufficient to discharge all (or any specified percentage of) Notes whose series corresponds to any of the sub-accounts having priority.

(d) In the absence of a written order of the Treasurer to the contrary, the amounts in each sub-account established pursuant to this Section 502 shall secure only the Notes issued in the series for which such sub-account was established, until such Notes and interest on such Notes are paid in full, after which the amounts in such sub-account may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, be added pro rata to the amounts in the other sub-accounts and thereafter used as part of such other sub-accounts to secure all Notes and interest on such Notes for which such other sub-accounts were created, until paid in full. Alternatively, amounts held in two or more sub-accounts within either the Note Reserve Account or the Note Payment Account may be commingled, and if commingled shall be held pari passu for the benefit of the holders of each series of Notes pertaining to the relevant sub-accounts.

503. Series Independently Secured. If the Notes are issued in multiple series pursuant to this Article V, each series of Notes may, by written order of the Treasurer, be independently secured in accordance with this Section 503.

(a) Each series of Notes shall pertain to one or more taxing units, as designated by the Treasurer pursuant to written order, and no two series of Notes shall pertain to the same taxing unit. A school district, intermediate school district, or community college district extending beyond the boundaries of a city in which it is located may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, be subdivided along the boundaries of one or more cities and each such subdivision shall be deemed a taxing unit for purposes of this Section 503.

(b) Separate sub-accounts shall be established in the County's 2016 Tax Payment Account. Each sub-account shall receive the proceeds of one and only one series of Notes, and amounts shall be disbursed from the sub-account to only those taxing units designated as being in that series.

(c) In the event Notes are issued for deposit into the Project Account established under Section 701, separate sub-accounts shall be established in the Project Account. Each sub-account shall receive the proceeds of one and only one series of Notes, and amounts shall be disbursed from the sub-account only to accounts, sub-accounts and/or taxing units designated as being in the series corresponding to the sub-account from which disbursement is being made.
(d) A separate sub-account shall be established in the County's 2016 Note Reserve Account for each series of Notes, into which shall be deposited the amount determined by the Treasurer under Section 103 or Section 703 with respect to the series. Each sub-account shall secure one and only one series.

(e) A separate sub-account shall be established in the County's 2016 Note Payment Account for each series of Notes. Each sub-account shall be allocated only those amounts described in Section 704 which pertain to the taxing units included in the series corresponding to the sub-account. Chargebacks received from a taxing unit pursuant to Section 905 shall be deposited in the sub-account corresponding to the series in which the taxing unit is included. Amounts held in each sub-account shall secure the debt represented by only those Notes included in the series corresponding to the sub-account, and disbursements from each sub-account may be applied toward the payment of only those Notes included in the series corresponding to the sub-account.

(f) The amounts in each sub-account established pursuant to this Section 503 shall secure only the Notes issued in the series for which such sub-account was established until such Notes and interest on such Notes are paid in full, after which any amounts remaining in such sub-account shall accrue to the County and shall no longer be pledged toward payment of the Notes.

VI.

TAXABILITY OF INTEREST

601. Federal Tax. The County acknowledges that the current state of Federal law mandates that the Notes be structured as taxable obligations. Consequently, the Notes shall, subject to Article X, be issued as obligations the interest on which is not excluded from gross income for purposes of Federal income tax.

602. State of Michigan Tax. Consistent with the treatment accorded all obligations issued pursuant to Act 206, interest on the Notes shall be exempt from the imposition of the State of Michigan income tax and the State of Michigan single business tax, and the Notes shall not be subject to the State of Michigan intangibles tax.

603. Change in Federal Tax Status. In the event there is a change in the Federal tax law or regulations, a ruling by the U.S. Department of Treasury or Internal Revenue Service establishes that the Notes may be issued as exempt from Federal income taxes or a change in Michigan law causes the Notes in the opinion of counsel to be exempt from federal income taxes, the Notes may be so issued.
VII.

FUNDS AND SECURITY

701. Delinquent Tax Project Account. If the Notes are issued and sold before the Treasurer has received certification from the taxing units of the amount of the Delinquent Taxes and if such certification is not reasonably anticipated in time to allow distribution of the proceeds of the Notes within 20 days after the date of issue, a 2016 Delinquent Tax Project Account (the "Project Account") shall be established by the Treasurer as a separate and distinct fund of the County within its general fund. The Project Account shall receive all proceeds from the sale of the Notes, including any premium or accrued interest received at the time of sale. The Project Account shall be held in trust by an escrow agent until the monies therein are disbursed in accordance with this Article VII. The escrow agent shall be a commercial bank, shall be located in Michigan, shall have authority to exercise trust powers, and shall have a net worth in excess of $25,000,000. The form and content of the agreement between the County and the escrow agent shall be approved by the Treasurer. Subject to the following sentence, monies deposited in the Project Account shall be expended only (i) for the purpose of funding the Tax Payment Account established under Section 702 and (ii) to the extent permitted by Act 206, for the purpose of paying the expenses of the offering of the Notes. In the event the Treasurer by written order so directs, additional funding of the Project Account may be undertaken, and any surplus proceeds remaining in the Project Account after the Treasurer has completed the funding of the Tax Payment Account may be transferred to either the 2016 Note Reserve Account created under Section 703 or the 2016 Note Payment Account created under Section 704. Monies in the Project Account may be disbursed by the escrow agent to the County's 2016 Tax Payment Account at any time and from time to time, upon receipt of a written requisition signed by the Treasurer.

702. 2016 Tax Payment Account. The County's 2016 Tax Payment Account (the "Tax Payment Account") is hereby established as a distinct account within the Revolving Fund. The Treasurer shall designate all or a portion of the proceeds of the Notes, not to exceed the amount of Delinquent Taxes, for deposit in the Tax Payment Account. If, however, the proceeds of the Notes are initially deposited in the Project Account pursuant to Section 701, the Treasurer is instead authorized and directed to transfer monies included in the Project Account in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 701. The County shall apply the monies in the Tax Payment Account to the payment of the Delinquent Taxes or expenses of the borrowing in accordance with Act 206. The allocation of monies from the Tax Payment Account may be made pursuant to a single, comprehensive disbursement or may instead be made from time to time, within the time constraints of Act 206, to particular taxing units as
monies are paid into the Tax Payment Account, such that the source of the monies (whether from the County's own funds, from the proceeds of a tax exempt borrowing or from the proceeds of a taxable borrowing) may be traced to the particular taxing unit receiving the funds. Moreover, and regardless of whether multiple series of Notes are issued, the Tax Payment Account may be divided into separate sub-accounts in order to allow the Treasurer to designate which taxing units shall receive borrowed funds and which shall receive funds otherwise contributed by the County.

703. 2016 Note Reserve Account. In the event funding is provided as described in this Section 703, the Treasurer shall establish a 2016 Note Reserve Account (the "Note Reserve Account") as a distinct account within the Revolving Fund. After depositing all of the monies to fund the Tax Payment Account pursuant to Section 702, the Treasurer shall next transfer to the Note Reserve Account, either from the Project Account or directly from the proceeds of Notes, any proceeds remaining from the initial issuance of the Notes. In addition, the Treasurer may transfer unpledged monies from other County sources to the Note Reserve Account in an amount which, when added to any other amounts to be deposited in the Note Reserve Account, does not exceed the amount reasonably required for the Notes secured by the Reserve Account or, if less, 20% of the total amount of the Notes secured by the Reserve Account. Except as provided below, all monies in the Note Reserve Account shall be used solely for payment of principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes to the extent that monies required for such payment are not available in the County's 2016 Note Payment Account. Monies in the Note Reserve Account shall be withdrawn first for payment of principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes before County general funds are used to make the payments. All income or interest earned by, or increment to, the Note Reserve Account due to its investment or reinvestment shall be deposited in the Note Reserve Account. When the Note Reserve Account is sufficient to retire the Notes and accrued interest thereon, the Treasurer may order that the Note Reserve Account be used to purchase the Notes on the market, or, if the Notes are not available, to retire the Notes when due. If so ordered by the Treasurer, all or any specified portion of the Note Reserve Account may be applied toward the redemption of any Notes designated for redemption in accordance with Section 209.

704. 2016 Note Payment Account.

(a) The County's 2016 Note Payment Account is hereby established as a distinct account within the Revolving Fund. (The County's 2016 Note Payment Account, as supplemented by monies held in any interim account that are designated for transfer to the 2016 Note Payment Account, is herein referred to as the "Note Payment Account"). The Treasurer is directed to deposit into the Note Payment Account, promptly on receipt,
those amounts described below in Paragraphs (i), (ii), (iv), and (v) that are not excluded pursuant to Subsection (c) below. Furthermore, the Treasurer may, by written order, deposit into the Note Payment Account all or any portion of the amounts described below in Paragraph (iii).

(i) All Delinquent Taxes.

(ii) All statutory interest on the Delinquent Taxes.

(iii) All property tax administration fees on the Delinquent Taxes, net of any amounts applied toward the expenses of this borrowing.

(iv) Any amounts which are received by the Treasurer from the taxing units within the County because of the uncollectability of the Delinquent Taxes.

(v) Any amounts remaining in the Project Account after the transfers to the Tax Payment Account and Note Reserve Account have been made as specified in Sections 702 and 703.

(b) Monies in the Note Payment Account shall be used by the County to pay principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes as the same become due and payable.

(c)(i) The Treasurer may by written order provide that only a portion of the sums described above in Subsection (a) shall be deposited into the Note Payment Account and applied toward the payment of debt service on the Notes, in which event those sums which are withheld from the Note Payment Account shall be deposited into the Tax Payment Account or, pursuant to further order of the Treasurer, applied toward any other purpose consistent with Act 206. The portion of any sums described in Subsection (a) which are withheld from the Note Payment Account pursuant to this Subsection shall be determined in accordance with the following Paragraph.

(ii) Prior to the issuance of the Notes, the Treasurer may by written order specify a cut-off date not earlier than March 1, 2016, and only those sums payable to the Note Payment Account and received by the County after the cut-off date shall be applied to the Note Payment Account.

(d) The Treasurer may by written order provide that at such time as sufficient funds shall have been deposited into the Note Payment Account to pay all remaining amounts owed under the Notes the pledge on any additional monies otherwise payable to the Note Payment Account shall be discharged and such monies shall not be deposited into the Note Payment Account or otherwise pledged toward payment of the Notes.
(e) The Treasurer may by written order provide that in the event Notes are issued pursuant to Article III, amounts which would otherwise be included in the Note Payment Account or the Note Reserve Account (or any sub-account therein for a particular series of Notes) shall not include any amounts received by the County prior to the latest maturity date of any series of Notes previously issued under Article II and/or Article III.

705. Limited Tax General Obligation and Pledge.

(a) The Notes shall be the general obligation of the County, backed by the County's full faith and credit, the County's tax obligation (within applicable constitutional and statutory limits) and the County's general funds. The County budget shall provide that if the pledged monies are not collected in sufficient amounts to meet the payments of the principal and interest due on the Notes, the County, before paying any other budgeted amounts, shall promptly advance from its general funds sufficient monies to pay such principal and interest.

(b) In addition, the monies listed below are pledged to the repayment of the Notes and, subject to Section 901, shall be used solely for repayment of the Notes until the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes are paid in full:

(i) All amounts deposited or earned in any Project Account, until disbursed in accordance with Section 701;

(ii) All net proceeds from the sale of the Notes deposited or earned in the Tax Payment Account, until disbursed in accordance with Section 702;

(iii) All amounts deposited in the Note Payment Account pursuant to Section 704(a);

(iv) All amounts deposited in the Note Reserve Account;

(v) All amounts earned from the investment of monies held in the Note Payment Account or the Note Reserve Account; and

(vi) Any monies placed in the Note Payment Account and drawn in the discretion of the Treasurer from unpledged sums on the revolving funds, which pledge shall be subject to such limitations or exceptions as shall be set forth in the written order of the Treasurer.

(c) If the Notes shall be issued in various series pursuant to Article V, this pledge shall in the case of any
independently secured series extend only to monies in accounts or sub-accounts pertaining to the particular series.

(d) If the amounts so pledged are not sufficient to pay the principal and interest when due, the County shall pay the same from its general funds or other available sources. Pursuant to written order of the Treasurer, the County may later reimburse itself for such payments from the Delinquent Taxes collected.

706. Security for Renewal, Refunding or Advance Refunding Notes. Renewal, refunding, or advance refunding Notes shall be secured by all or any portion of the same security securing the Notes being renewed, refunded or advance refunded. The monies pledged in Section 705 for the repayment of the Notes are also pledged for the repayment of the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on any renewal, refunding, or advance refunding Notes issued pursuant to this Resolution, and any such renewal, refunding, or advance refunding Notes shall be the general obligation of the County, backed by its full faith and credit, which shall include the tax obligation of the County, within applicable constitutional and statutory limits.

707. Use of Funds after Full Payment or Provisions for Payment. After all principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Notes have been paid in full or provision made therefor by investments of pledged amounts in direct noncallable obligations of the United States of America in amounts and with maturities sufficient to pay all such principal, premium, if any, and interest when due, any further collection of Delinquent Taxes and all excess monies in any fund or account of the Revolving Fund, and any interest or income on any such amounts, may, pursuant to written order of the Treasurer and subject to Article V, be used for any proper purpose within the Revolving Fund including the securing of subsequent issues of notes.

VIII.
SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENTS

801. Supplemental Agreements and Documents. The Treasurer, on behalf of the County, is authorized to enter into any or all of the following agreements or commitments as may, in the Treasurer's discretion, be necessary, desirable or beneficial in connection with the issuance of the Notes, upon such terms and conditions as the Treasurer may determine appropriate:

(a) A letter of credit, line of credit, repurchase agreement, note insurance, or similar instrument, providing backup liquidity and/or credit support for the Notes;

(b) A reimbursement agreement, revolving credit agreement, revolving credit note, or similar instrument, setting
forth repayments of and security for amounts drawn under the letter of credit, line of credit, repurchase agreement or similar instrument;

(c) A marketing, remarketing, placement, authenticating, paying or tender agent agreement or dealer agreement designating a marketing, remarketing, authenticating, paying, tender or placement agent or dealer and prescribing the duties of such person or persons with respect to the Notes; and

(d) A put agreement or provision allowing the purchaser of the Notes to require the County to repurchase the Notes upon demand at such times as may be provided in such put agreement or provision.

(e) An agreement to use amounts formerly pledged to other years borrowings as security for the Notes when no longer so pledged.

802. Revolving Credit Notes. If the Treasurer enters into a revolving credit agreement (the "Agreement") pursuant to Section 801 above, the Agreement may call for the issuance of one or more revolving credit notes (the "Revolving Credit Notes") for the purpose of renewing all or part of maturing Notes or Notes that have been put pursuant to a put agreement or provision. Such Revolving Credit Notes shall be issued pursuant to Article II or III, as appropriate, and in accordance with the following provisions:

(a) Interest on the Revolving Credit Notes may be payable on maturity, on prior redemption, monthly, bimonthly, quarterly, or as otherwise provided in the Agreement.

(b) The Revolving Credit Notes may mature on one or more date or dates not later than the final maturity date of the Notes, as provided in the Agreement.

(c) The Treasurer may, at the time of the original issuance of the Notes, execute and deliver one Revolving Credit Note in a maximum principal amount not exceeding the lending commitment under the Agreement from time to time in force (and may substitute one such Note in a lesser principal amount for another in the event the lending commitment is reduced), provided that a schedule shall be attached to such Note on which loans and repayments of principal and interest are evidenced and further provided that the making of a loan and the evidencing of such loan on the schedule of any such Note shall constitute the issuance of a renewal Note for the purposes of this Resolution.

IX.
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS
901. Expenses. Expenses incurred in connection with the Notes shall be paid from the property tax administration fees collected on the Delinquent Taxes and, if so ordered by the Treasurer, from any earnings on the proceeds of the offering or from other monies available to the County.

902. Bond Counsel. The Notes (and any renewal, refunding or advance refunding Notes) shall be delivered with the unqualified opinion of Axe & Ecklund, P.C., attorneys of Grosse Pointe Farms, Michigan, bond counsel chosen by the Treasurer, which selection may, at the option of the Treasurer, be for one or more years.

903. Financial Consultants. Stauder, BARCH & ASSOCIATES, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan, is hereby retained to act as financial consultant and advisor to the County in connection with the sale and delivery of the Notes.

904. Complete Records. The Treasurer shall keep full and complete records of all deposits to and withdrawals from each of the funds and accounts in the Revolving Fund and any account or sub-account created pursuant to this Resolution and of all other transactions relating to such funds, accounts and sub-accounts, including investments of money in, and gain derived from, such funds and accounts.

905. Chargebacks. If, by the date which is three months prior to the final maturity date of the Notes, sufficient monies are not on deposit in the Note Payment Account and the Note Reserve Account to pay all principal of and interest on the Notes when due, Delinquent Taxes not then paid or recovered at or prior to the latest tax sale transacted two or more months before the final maturity of the Notes shall, if necessary to ensure full and timely payment on the date of final maturity, be charged back to the local units in such fashion as the Treasurer may determine, and, subject to Article V, the proceeds of such chargebacks shall be deposited into the County's 2016 Note Payment Account no later than five weeks prior to the final maturity of the Notes. This Section 905 shall not be construed to limit the authority of the Treasurer under State law to charge back under other circumstances or at other times.

906. Investments. The Treasurer is authorized to invest all monies in the Project Account, in the Revolving Fund or in any account or sub-account therein which is established pursuant to this Resolution in any one or more of the investments authorized as lawful investments for counties under Act No. 20, Public Acts of 1943, as amended. The Treasurer is further authorized to enter into a contract on behalf of the County under the Surplus Funds Investment Pool Act, Act No. 367, Michigan Public Acts of 1982, as amended, and to invest in any investment pool created thereby monies held in the Project Account, in the Revolving Fund, or in any account or sub-account therein which is established pursuant to this Resolution.
907. Mutilated, Lost, Stolen or Destroyed Notes. In the event any Note is mutilated, lost, stolen, or destroyed, the Treasurer may, on behalf of the County, execute and deliver, or order the Registrar or Paying Agent to authenticate and deliver, a new Note having a number not then outstanding, of like date, maturity and denomination as that mutilated, lost, stolen, or destroyed. In the case of a mutilated Note, a replacement Note shall not be delivered unless and until such mutilated Note is surrendered to the Treasurer or the Registrar or Paying Agent. In the case of a lost, stolen or destroyed Note, a replacement Note shall not be delivered unless and until the Treasurer and the Registrar or Paying Agent shall have received such proof of ownership and loss and indemnity as they determine to be sufficient.

ARTICLE X.
TAX-EXEMPT NOTES OR REFUNDING

1001. Refunding of Taxable Debt or Issuance of Tax-Exempt Debt. The County acknowledges that the current state of Federal law precludes the issuance of the Notes as obligations the interest on which is exempt from Federal income tax. However, the County presently contemplates that anticipated amendments to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") and/or the Treasury Regulations issued thereunder (the "Regulations") or a change in Michigan law changing the character of the Notes may in the future permit the issuance of general obligation limited tax notes on a tax-exempt basis, and, in view of this expectation, the County, through the offices of the Treasurer, shall issue tax-exempt notes or issue obligations to refund any or all outstanding Notes issued as taxable obligations, at the time, on the terms, and to the extent set forth in this Article X.

1002. Timing of Refunding. The aforementioned refunding obligations (the "Refunding Notes") shall be issued after the effective date of any change in the Code, Regulations, Internal Revenue Service pronouncements or judicial rulings which, as confirmed by the written opinion of bond counsel, permit the refunding of all or some of the outstanding Notes with proceeds from obligations the interest on which is excluded from gross income for purposes of Federal income tax.

1003. Extent of Refunding. Subject to the other provisions of this Section 1003, the Refunding Notes shall refund all Notes outstanding at or after the effective date of any change in the law described in Section 1002. This Section 1003 shall not, however, be construed to require the refunding of any Note prior to the time such Note may be refunded on a tax-exempt basis, nor shall this Section 1003 be construed to require the refunding of any Note, if that refunding would result in greater cost to the County (including interest
expense, professional fees and administrative outlays) than would arise if the Note were to remain outstanding.

1004. Confirmatory Action. Subsequent to any change in the law described in Section 1002, the Board shall convene to consider any terms of the Refunding Notes requiring specific ratification by the Board.

1005. Arbitrage Covenant and Tax Law Compliance. In the event tax-exempt Notes or Refunding Notes are issued pursuant to this Article X, the following covenants shall be observed by the County:

(i) the County will make no use of the proceeds of the Notes or Refunding Notes and will undertake no other intentional act with respect to the Notes or Refunding Notes which, if such use or act had been reasonably expected on the date of issuance of the Notes or Refunding Notes or if such use or act were intentionally made or undertaken after the date of issuance of the Notes or Refunding Notes, would cause the Notes or Refunding Notes to be "arbitrage bonds," as defined in Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), in the Regulations promulgated under Sections 103 and 148 of the Code or in any successor or supplementary provision of law hereinafter promulgated,

(ii) the County will undertake all actions as shall be necessary to maintain the Notes or Refunding Notes as obligations the interest on which qualifies for the tax exemption provided by Section 103(a) of the Code, including, where appropriate and without limitation, filing informational returns with the Secretary of Treasury, keeping accurate account of all monies earned in any fund, account or sub-account authorized by this Resolution or any resolution adopted in accordance with Section 1004 above, certifying cumulative cash flow deficits of the County and the local units, and investing any required portion of the gross proceeds of the Notes or Refunding Notes, whether on behalf of the County or the local units, in tax-exempt obligations or State and Local Government Series obligations, and

(iii) the County will make timely payment to the United States of any investment earnings, realized by the County on the gross proceeds of the Notes or Refunding Notes, as may be subject to rebate under Section 148(f) of the Code, and, to the extent required under applicable law or deemed by the Treasurer to be in the best interest of the County pursuant to written order, the County's obligation to make such payment to the United States shall also account for excess investment earnings realized by local units on all or a portion of the gross proceeds distributed to, and held by, the local units pursuant to Section 702.
(iv) the Treasurer shall be directed to take such actions and to enter into such agreements and certifications, on behalf of the County, as the Treasurer shall deem necessary or appropriate to comply with the foregoing covenants.

1006. Undertaking to Provide Continuing Disclosure. If necessary, this Board of Commissioners, for and on behalf of the County of Ingham, hereby covenants and agrees, for the benefit of the beneficial owners of the Notes to be issued by the County, to enter into a written undertaking (the "Undertaking") required by Rule 15c2-12 promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Rule") to provide continuing disclosure of certain financial information and operating data and timely notices of the occurrence of certain events in accordance with the Rule. The Undertaking shall be substantially in the form as approved by the Underwriter of the Notes. The Undertaking shall be enforceable by the beneficial owners of Notes or by the Underwriter on behalf of such beneficial owners (provided that the Underwriter's right to enforce the provisions of the Undertaking shall be limited to a right to obtain specific enforcement of the County's obligations hereunder and under the Undertaking), and any failure by the County to comply with the provisions of the Undertaking shall not be deemed a default with respect to the Notes.

The County Treasurer or other officer of the County charged with the responsibility for issuing the Notes shall provide a Continuing Disclosure Certificate for inclusion in the transcript of proceedings, setting forth the terms of the County's Undertaking.

Discussion followed. A vote was thereupon taken on the foregoing resolution and the vote for each such resolution was as follows:

AYES:

_______________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________
_______________________________________________________

NAYS:

_______________________________________________________

ABSTAIN:

_______________________________________________________

A sufficient majority having voted therefor, the two resolutions appearing above were adopted.
STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COUNTY OF INGHAM  

I, _____________________, Clerk for the County of Ingham, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of a resolution adopted by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham, Michigan on ___________, ____ as appears on record in my office, and that I have compared the same with the original and that it is a true transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof. 

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the sale of said County at Mason, Michigan this ______ day of ____________, ____.  

__________________________
Ingham County Clerk

[SEAL]
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FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert  
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016

Commissioner Bahar-Cook moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Crenshaw seconded the motion.

The motion carried via unanimous roll call vote.
WHEREAS, Katie McKinley began her career with the Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) in February 1990 as a part time Nutritionist in the Women Infant and Children (WIC) program where she provided nutrition assessments and counseling, developed nutrition education curricula, and provided nutrition counseling for high risk women, infants and children enrolled in the WIC Program; and

WHEREAS, in 1994 Katie transitioned to the Maternal Support Services/Infant Support Services (MSS/ISS) team at the Pennsylvania campus where she provided nutrition counseling and education to women and children in families homes in order to improve health outcomes; and

WHEREAS, in 2004 Katie moved to the Public Health Nursing Division of the ICHD as a full-time Nutritionist, where she continued to provide nutrition education and support through home visits to pregnant and parenting mothers and infants; and

WHEREAS, as ICHD grew and the need for nutrition services increased, Katie expanded her role to provide support for patients at all of the ICHD Community Health Centers; and

WHEREAS, Katie provided educational support and nutrition education to residents of the Ingham County community in an easy to engage format that her clients could relate to; and

WHEREAS, Katie served as a preceptor for students preparing to become registered dietitians at various schools throughout the area, providing her students with hands-on, direct experiences; and

WHEREAS, Katie’s energy and commitment to her field was evident throughout her years of service to our community, and in the relationships that she developed with her colleagues in the department; and

WHEREAS, after 25 years of dedicated service to ICHD, Katie McKinley retired on January 22, 2016.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Katie McKinley for her 25 years of dedicated service to the community and for the contributions she has made to the Ingham County Health Department.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board wishes her continued success in all of her future endeavors.

**HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas:** McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Case Naeyaert

**Nays:** None  **Absent:** Hope  **Approved 4/04/2016**
WHEREAS, the responsibility for protecting the health of the public is a shared responsibility between the State and County governments in Michigan; and

WHEREAS, the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) and local health departments enter into contracts to clarify the role and responsibility of each party in protecting public health; and

WHEREAS, the MDHHS and Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) have entered into a 2015-2016 Agreement authorized in Resolution #15-413, and Amendment #1 in Resolution 15-479, and Amendment #2 in Resolution 16-048; and

WHEREAS, the MDHHS has proposed amendment #3 to the current Agreement to adjust grant funding levels and clarify Agreement procedures; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer has recommended that the Board of Commissioners authorize the Amendment.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes Amendment #3 to the 2015-2016 Comprehensive Agreement with MDHHS.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the total amount of Comprehensive Agreement funding shall increase from $5,029,541 to $5,209,376, an increase of $179,835.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the increase consists of the following specific changes to program budgets:

1. Local Agency Vendor Compliance Pilot (WIC) $36,000 - new funding
2. Well-Integrated Screening & Evaluation for Women Across the Nation (WISEWOMAN) $20,100 - new funding
3. TB Control increase of $2,200 from $12,513 to $14,713
4. HIV Prevention increase of $20,000 from $160,943 to $180,943
5. Breast & Cervical Cancer Control Program (BCCCP) Coordination increase of $67,500 from $161,875 to $229,375
6. Public Health Emergency Preparedness Ebola Virus Disease Phase II increase of $19,975 from $39,485 to $59,460
7. Immunization Billing Practice Infrastructure Enhancement increase of $14,060 from $4,000 to $18,060
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the resolution includes authorization of contracts, not to exceed $5,900, for McDonald Broadcasting and Adams Outdoor Advertising to provide advertising for the BCCCP Coordination and WISEWOMAN program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the resolution includes authorization of contracts currently in existence with Genesee County Health Department Breast and Cervical Cancer Control Program (BCCCP) providers.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the authorization of these contracts is mandated by MDHHS as a grant condition requirement of a Local Coordinating Agency (LCA) which is a function of the Ingham County Health Department.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the resolution authorizes a temporary full-time Community Health Worker and two part-time temporary BCCCP Nurse’s for the BCCCP Coordination program, through September 30, 2016.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the resolution also authorizes an increase of an existing Medical Assistant I position (#601109) from part-time to full-time to provide support for the WIC Local Agency Vendor Compliance Pilot program through September 30, 2016.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Health Officer, Linda S. Vail, MPA, Health Officer is authorized to submit Amendment #3 of the 2015-2016 Comprehensive Agreement electronically through the Mi-E Grants system after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas:  McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Case Naeyaert  
Nays:  None  Absent:  Hope  Approved 4/04/2016

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas:  Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville  
Nays:  None  Absent:  Celentino  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None  Approved 4/06/2016
RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE AN EXTENSION TO THE AGREEMENT WITH COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH AUTHORITY OF CLINTON, EATON AND INGHAM COUNTIES (CMH-CEI) FOR A MENTAL HEALTH THERAPIST

RESOLUTION # 16 – 147

WHEREAS, in Resolution #16-061, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners accepted $2,286,075 in Health Center Program Funding for the period of February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017 from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA); and

WHEREAS, the HRSA funding included $80,000 to extend the agreement between Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) and CMH-CEI for a 1.0 FTE Mental Health Therapist for the term of February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017 in an amount not to exceed $80,000; and

WHEREAS, all other terms of the agreement will remain the same; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham Community Health Center Board of Directors supports the extension to the agreement between Ingham County and CMH-CEI for a 1.0 FTE Mental Health Therapist; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that the Board of Commissioners authorize an extension to the agreement between Ingham County and CMH-CEI for a 1.0 FTE Mental Health Therapist for the term of February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017 in an amount not to exceed $80,000.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes an extension to the agreement between Ingham County and CMH-CEI for a 1.0 FTE Mental Health Therapist for the period of February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017 in an amount not to exceed $80,000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign the necessary contract documents on behalf of the county after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas: McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Case Naeyaert
       Nays: None   Absent: Hope   Approved  4/04/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
       Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved  4/06/2016
ADOPTED - APRIL 12, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 34

Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AMEND THE AGREEMENT WITH ST. VINCENT CATHOLIC CHARITIES (STVCC) FOR INTERPRETER SERVICES

RESOLUTION # 16 – 148

WHEREAS, in Resolution #16-061, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners accepted $2,286,075 in Health Center Program Funding for the period of February 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017 from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA); and

WHEREAS, the HRSA funding includes a requirement and funding for interpreter services within the Ingham County Health Centers; and

WHEREAS, in order to meet this requirement, this resolution authorizes an agreement between ICHD and STVCC for May 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017 at a prorated amount of $30,000; and

WHEREAS, following this term, the agreement will automatically renew on an annual basis for the amount of $40,000 per year with a term of February 1st through January 31st of each year, contingent upon funding; and

WHEREAS, this resolution also authorizes an extension of the existing agreement between ICHD and STVCC for the period of February 1, 2016 through February 29, 2016 at a prorated amount of $3,333; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham Community Health Center Board has reviewed and supports an amendment to extend the agreement between ICHD and STVCC for interpreter services and supports any budget adjustments necessary as part of extending the agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the amendment to extend the agreement between Ingham County and STVCC for interpreter services.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes an agreement between Ingham County and St. Vincent Catholic Charities for interpreter services from May 1, 2016 through January 31, 2017 at a prorated amount of $30,000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, following this term, the agreement will automatically renew on an annual basis for the amount of $40,000 per year with a term of February 1st through January 31st of each year, contingent upon funding.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, this resolution also authorizes an extension of the existing agreement between ICHD and STVCC for the period of February 1, 2016 through February 29, 2016 at a prorated amount of $3,333.
APRIL 12, 2016 STATUTORY EQUALIZATION MEETING

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign the necessary contract documents on behalf of the county after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

**HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas:** McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** Hope  
**Approved 4/04/2016**

**FINANCE: Yeas:** Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Approved 4/06/2016**
INTRODUCED BY THE HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A CONTRACT WITH IRON MOUNTAIN INC. FOR THE TRANSPORT, STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL OF FILES

RESOLUTION # 16 – 149

WHEREAS, Iron Mountain, Inc. currently has approximately 7,700 cubic feet of Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) files stored; and

WHEREAS, ICHD sought bids from three vendors for the purpose of entering into an agreement for transport, storage and retrieval of files; and

WHEREAS, Iron Mountain, Inc. was determined to be the best external storage solution to store files in a secure environment; and

WHEREAS, ICHD Purchasing has reviewed and supports the use of Iron Mountain, Inc. for the transport, storage and retrieval of files; and

WHEREAS, the proposed fees by Iron Mountain, Inc. include $0.20 per cubic foot, $3.06 per file for retrieval, $3.06 per file for re-file and a $25.12 administrative fee per month; and

WHEREAS, the cost of these services are not to exceed $20,000.00 which will include monthly storage fees, administrative fees and file retrieval and re-file fees and will be for a one year period; and

WHEREAS, the cost for the storage of documents is included in the FY 16 Ingham County Health Department budget; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorize a one year contract between Ingham County and Iron Mountain, Inc. for the transport, storage and retrieval of files.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes a one year contract between Ingham County and Iron Mountain, Inc. for the transport, storage and retrieval of files effective the date of execution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, fees include $0.20 per cubic foot, $3.06 per file for retrieval, $3.06 per file for re-file and a $25.12 administrative fee per month.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the cost of these services are not to exceed $20,000.00 which will include monthly storage fees, administrative fees, file retrieval and re-file fees for a one year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign the agreement after it is approved as to form by the County Attorney.

**HUMAN SERVICES:**  
**Yeas:** McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** Hope  
**Approved 4/04/2016**

**FINANCE:**  
**Yeas:** Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Approved 4/06/2016**
INTRODUCED BY THE HUMAN SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE AN INFECTIOUS DISEASE PHYSICIAN AGREEMENT WITH THE COLLEGE OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE AT MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

RESOLUTION # 16 – 150

WHEREAS, in Resolution #15-413 the Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) accepted more than $4.6 million dollars in state/federal funds through the Comprehensive Agreement for 2015-2016; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Agreement included $322,040 in state/federal funds for the HIV Ryan White Part B program; and

WHEREAS, the agreement currently in place was for the term of January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the new agreement shall be from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Infectious Disease Physician Services Agreement will be renewed at a 2% annual increase, for the amount of $93,693 in Year 1 (January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016), in the amount of $95,566 in Year 2 (January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017) and in the amount of $97,477 in Year 3 (January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham Community Health Center Board has reviewed and supports the renewal of the agreement between ICHD and Michigan State University College of Osteopathic Medicine (MSU COM) for infectious disease physician services; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorize the renewal of the agreement between ICHD and MSU COM for infectious disease physician services for the term of January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2018.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes an agreement with MSU COM for 0.4 full-time infectious disease physician services.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the new agreement shall be from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2018.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Infectious Disease Physician Services Agreement will be renewed at a 2% annual increase, for the amount of $93,693 in Year 1 (January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016), in the amount of $95,566 in Year 2 (January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017) and in the amount of $97,477 in Year 3 (January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign the necessary contract documents on behalf of the county after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None   Absent: Hope   Approved 4/04/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved 4/06/2016
ADOPTED - APRIL 12, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 37

Introduced by the Law & Courts and County Services Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION APPROVING A LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING MODIFYING SENIORITY BETWEEN THE CAPITAL CITY LABOR PROGRAM INC. – CORRECTIONS UNIT AND CAPITAL CITY LABOR PROGRAM INC. – LAW ENFORCEMENT UNIT

RESOLUTION # 16 – 151

WHEREAS, Capital City Labor Program Inc. (f/k/a Capital City Lodge #141, Fraternal Order of Police Labor Program, Inc.) is the exclusive representative for the purpose of collective bargaining for the Corrections Unit and the Law Enforcement Unit; and

WHEREAS, the parties identified an issue with the continuation of seniority for members that were transferred between the units; and

WHEREAS, the Capital City Labor Program Inc. and the Ingham County Sheriff met and conferred regarding the issue of seniority and the continuation thereof between the Corrections Unit and the Law Enforcement Unit; and

WHEREAS, the County Attorney prepared the attached Letter of Understanding between the parties.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioner authorizes the attached Letter of Understanding, correcting and clarifying the agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign the Letter of Understanding on behalf of the County, subject to the approval as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Crenshaw, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino, Schafer Approved 3/31/2016

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino Approved 4/05/2016
SENORITY

The parties having met and conferred regarding the issue of seniority and the continuation thereof between the Corrections Unit and the Law Enforcement Unit involving transfers between units,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT AGREED that:

Section 1. Seniority shall mean the status attained by continuous fulltime length of service from the date of hire in positions identified in the Corrections and Law Enforcement bargaining units.

Section 2. The Sheriff shall maintain a roster of bargaining unit employees, arranged according to seniority, showing name, position, class and seniority dates, and shall furnish a copy to the Division at the first of each year, or as soon thereafter as practical.

Section 3. An employee originally hired into the Corrections unit, or Law Enforcement unit and subsequently transferred to a grant funded position shall maintain his/her seniority from the original date of hire and shall be treated in all respects as a regular County employee for purposes of seniority.

Employees hired into the Correction unit or Law Enforcement Unit under a State or Federal grant shall be treated the same as regular funded County employees for the purposes of layoff and recall; excepting, however if the State and/or Federal grant requires different layoff and recall procedures.

Section 4.

A. Deputies transferred from a fulltime Corrections Deputy assignment to a fulltime Field Service or Staff Service Deputy assignment shall retain their seniority with the corrections unit based on their earliest date of hire into a Corrections or Law Enforcement position.

B. Deputies transferred from a fulltime Field Service or Staff Service Deputy assignment to a fulltime Corrections Deputy assignment shall retain their seniority with the Law Enforcement unit based on their earliest date of hire into a Law Enforcement or Correction position.
Section 5. The above Agreement shall apply to and be for the purpose of:

A. Shift Bid,
   and
B. Pass Day,
   and
C. Vacation Day Selection,
   and
D. Layoff and recall rights
   only.

Further that this Letter of Agreement will be effective through the end of the current contract which expires on ______________________________

WHEREFORE, the parties have executed this Agreement this ___ day of _________, 2016.

PARTIES: DATE:

COUNTY OF INGHAM

________________________
Kara Hope, Chairperson  
County Board of Commissioners

SHERIFF OF INGHAM COUNTY

________________________
Sheriff Gene Wriggelsworth

UNION AGENT

________________________
Steven T. Lett

________________________
Thomas Krug
Introduced by the Law & Courts, County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO CANCEL THE “ON CALL” LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE
UNITED AUTO WORKERS

RESOLUTION # 16 – 152

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Animal Control Department’s UAW employees have a Letter of Understanding under which they staffed an after-hours on call phone; and

WHEREAS, ICAC’s UAW employees are unanimous in their desire to no longer perform the duties specified in the LOU; and

WHEREAS, ICAC employees under the Capital City Labor Program (CCLP) are willing to take on this responsibility; and

WHEREAS, current funding for the responsibilities covered in the Letter of Understanding will not change.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the attached Letter of Understanding with the UAW to cancel the previous LOU which specified terms under which UAW members would staff the ICAC on call phone.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents which are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas: Crenshaw, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Maiville
     Nays: None  Absent: Celentino, Schafer  Approved 3/31/2016

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
     Nays: None  Absent: Celentino, Koenig  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
     Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
WHEREAS, the Employer and the Union have entered a collective bargaining agreement extending through December 31, 2017 (the “CBA”); and

WHEREAS, as part of the CBA, the parties continued a Letter of Understanding concerning Standby/On-Call lists for all non-probationary, full-time employees within the Office Coordinator, Redemption Clerk/Dispatcher, and Volunteer Assistant classifications in the Animal Control Department that had been in place since approximately 2014 (the “LOU”); and

WHEREAS, the Union and the Employer have been advised that the LOU is no longer needed; and

WHEREAS, the parties mutually agree to terminate the LOU.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED between the parties as follows:

1. The LOU concerning the Standby/On-Call for all non-probationary, full-time employees within the Office Coordinator, Redemption Clerk/Dispatcher, and Volunteer Assistant classifications in the Animal Control Department is hereby terminated and no longer in effect.

2. The balance of the CBA will remain in full force and effect for the duration of the CBA’s term.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED THAT this Letter of Understanding shall be unique to this case and neither the Employers nor Unions waive any rights as to other future cases. It is expressly understood that this agreement shall be without precedent or prejudice for any future circumstance.

COUNTY OF INGHAM

Kara Hope, Chairperson
Ingham County Board of Commissioners

DATE

UNITED AUTO WORKERS

Sally Auer, UAW Chairperson

DATE

APPROVED AS TO FORM
COHL, STOKER & TOSKEY, P.C.

MATTIS D. NORDFJORD, ESQ.
INTRODUCED BY THE LAW & COURTS, COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE “ON CALL” LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING WITH CAPITAL CITY LABOR PROGRAM

RESOLUTION # 16 – 153

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Animal Control Department has need for employees to carry and answer an on call phone for the purpose of assisting foster volunteers with certain medical emergencies with their foster animals and to respond to after-hours alarms; and

WHEREAS, ICAC’s UAW employees are unanimous in their desire to no longer perform these duties; and

WHEREAS, ICAC’s Capital City Labor Program (CCLP) employees (animal care and ACO staff) are willing to take on this responsibility; and

WHEREAS, current funding for the responsibilities covered in the Letter of Understanding will not change.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the attached Letter of Understanding with the CCLP specifying terms under which CCLP members would staff the ICAC on call phone.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents which are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas: Crenshaw, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Maiville
            Nays: None Absent: Celentino, Schafer  Approved 3/31/2016

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
            Nays: None  Absent: Celentino, Koenig  Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
            Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN
COUNTY OF INGHAM (Employer)
AND
CAPITOL CITY LABOR PROGRAM, INC.
Animal Control Unit (Union)

Standby/On-Call: Animal Control Employees

WHEREAS, the COUNTY OF INGHAM, a municipal body corporate of the State of Michigan (the "Employer") and the CAPITOL CITY LABOR PROGRAM, INC. (the "Union") have agreed to a collective bargaining agreement for the Animal Control employees bargaining unit from January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2017 (the “CBA”); and

WHEREAS, the Employer and the Union wish to enter this Letter of Understanding to supplement the CBA regarding on-call time for Employees in Animal Control only (the “LOU”).

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED by the Parties as follows:

1. Standby/On-Call list will be established annually for all non-probationary, full-time employees within the Animal Control Officer, Veterinary Technician and Animal Shelter Operator classifications. Employees within these classifications will sign up for such lists annually, provided however, the list must have 1 employee sign up in each Standby/On-Call slot. If no employees sign up for the Standby/On-Call slots, an additional employee will be added by the Employer based on the employee from the eligible classification with the least amount of overtime worked so that there is at least 1 employee in each Standby/On-Call slot.

2. The Standby/On-Call list will be arranged by seniority, beginning with the person with the highest seniority in the first position on the list and descending from there. Employees on the Standby/On-Call list will select a week for Standby/On-Call assignment from the initial weeks available. Thereafter, Standby/On-Call assignments will rotate by week for Standby/On-Call duty, with the order initially selected repeating itself. However, by mutual agreement of the employees involved and with the Director's approval, the employees may exchange their Standby/On-Call day(s) with another employee from the list.

3. Each Standby/On-Call week assignment will run for 7 consecutive days.

4. In the event that an employee assigned to Standby/On-Call has called into work sick, then the Employer shall attempt to fill that Standby/On-Call assignment from volunteers. If the assignment remains unfilled, the least senior eligible employee on the list will be assigned the Standby/On-Call. In the event that an employee assigned to Standby/On-Call is anticipated to be off work for a pay period or more, or if an employee on the list leaves County employment, the Employer will first seek volunteers to fill that employee's Standby/On-Call assignments from those eligible employees. If the assignments remain unfilled, eligible employees will be assigned to the Standby/On-Call assignments on a rotating basis.

5. Employees who are on Standby/On-Call shall carry a cell phone or other communication device issued by the Employer, shall remain within its range, and be able to return to the Animal Control Shelter within 1 hour after being called in to work.
6. Employees shall have the option to opt out of serving on Standby/On-Call and shall sign a notice stating they are choosing to do so.

7. The Standby/On-Call employee is only required to answer the cell phone during the hours that the Animal Control Shelter is closed.

8. Animal Control employees on Standby/On-Call for each week shall be paid for Standby/On-Call time at the rate of $20.00 for each weekday and $25.00 for each weekend day, for a maximum weekly total of $150.00.

9. Employees on the Standby/On-Call lists shall also be entitled to a minimum of 3 hours pay, if called in to work. This shall be in addition to Standby/On-Call pay.

10. All the other terms and condition specified in the CBA shall remain in full force and effect.

11. This LOU shall be reviewed by both parties on, at least, an annual basis to ensure that it meets the needs of both parties. Any modification of this LOU must be in writing and signed by the parties hereto.

IT IS FURTHER AGREED THAT this LOU shall be unique to this case and neither the Employer nor Union waive any rights as to other future cases. It is expressly understood that this agreement shall be without precedent or prejudice for any future circumstance.

COUNTY OF INGHAM

______________________________
Kara Hope, Chairperson
Board of Commissioners
Date:____________

John Dinon, Animal Control Director
Date:____________

CAPITOL CITY LABOR PROGRAM, INC.

______________________________
John Good, Union Unit President
Date:____________

Tom Krug, Executive Director
Date:____________

APPROVED AS TO FORM FOR
COUNTY OF INGHAM:
COHL, STOKER & TOSKEY, P.C.

______________________________
Steven T. Lett, CCLP, Inc. Attorney
Date:____________

Mattis D. Nordfjord, Esq.
INTRODUCED

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Animal Control Department management believes that the department would have an increased operational efficiency if lead worker positions are created for the animal care and animal control officer (ACO) divisions; and

WHEREAS, the National Animal Care and Control Association (NACA) recommended creation of division leads for ICAC in their 2015 study of the department; and

WHEREAS, ICAC employees and the Capital City Labor Program (CCLP) agree that creating two new “lead” workers would benefit ICAC operations.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves a reorganization creating a lead worker in the animal care division to be paid a stipend of $3,200 annually in addition to regular wages and a lead ACO position to be paid a stipend of $3,700 annually in addition to regular wages as described by the attached job descriptions.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Controller/Administrator to make the necessary budget adjustments to the Ingham County Animal Control budget.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents which are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Crenshaw, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Maiville
Nays: None
Absent: Celentino, Schafer
Approved 3/31/2016

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None
Absent: Celentino
Approved 4/05/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None
Absent: Tennis
Approved 4/06/2016
WHEREAS, the Meridian Township Police Department entered into a Traffic Enforcement Grant Agreement with the Michigan Department of State Police’s Office of Highway Safety Planning; and

WHEREAS, the purpose is to provide enforcement action to decrease the number of drunk driving violations and seatbelt violations by conducting random patrols, specifically for enforcement against those violations within Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office wishes to participate with the Meridian Township Police Department in the operation of the Traffic Enforcement Grant acquired by the Meridian Township Police Department; and

WHEREAS, Meridian Township Police Department shall reimburse Ingham County from the grant funds for the Sheriff Deputies’ overtime wages and personnel costs not to exceed $63,992.00.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office to participate with the Meridian Township Police Department in the Traffic Enforcement grant for an amount up to $63,992.00 for the time period of October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to amend the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office 2016 budget in accordance with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the Sheriff are authorized to sign any necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas: Crenshaw, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: Celentino, Schafer  Approved 3/31/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/06/2016
WHEREAS, the Meridian Township Police Department entered into a Youth Alcohol Enforcement Grant Agreement with the Michigan Department of State Police’s Office of Highway Safety Planning; and

WHEREAS, the purpose is to provide enforcement action to decrease consumption of alcohol by minors and to increase compliance with Ingham County’s liquor licensees by conducting compliance checks at licensed establishments throughout Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office wishes to participate with the Meridian Township Police Department in the operation of the Youth Alcohol Enforcement Grant acquired by the Meridian Township Police Department; and

WHEREAS, Meridian Township Police Department shall reimburse Ingham County from the grant funds for the Sheriff Deputies’ overtime wages and personnel costs not to exceed $24,999.00.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office to participate with the Meridian Township Police Department in a Youth Alcohol Enforcement grant for an amount up to $24,999.00 for the time period of October 1, 2015 through September 30, 2016.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to amend the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office 2016 budget in accordance with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the Sheriff are authorized to sign any necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS: Yeas: Crenshaw, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Maiville
Nays: None Absent: Celentino, Schafer Approved 3/31/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Anthony, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 4/06/2016
Resolutions of Appreciation to the Ingham County 9-1-1 Central Dispatch Center Telecommunicators During National Telecommunicators Week April 10-16, 2016

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has established a Consolidated 9-1-1 Emergency Dispatch Center that opened June 27, 2012; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators (9-1-1 Dispatchers & Supervisors) daily serve the citizens of Ingham County by answering their emergency calls for police, fire and emergency medical services and by dispatching the appropriate assistance as quickly as possible; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators are the first and most critical contact our citizens have with emergency services; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators are the single vital link for our police officers and firefighters by monitoring their activities and providing them information to ensure their safety; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators are professionals who work to improve the emergency response capabilities of these communications through their knowledge and experience; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators have contributed substantially to the apprehension of criminals, suppression of fires and treatment of the injured; and

WHEREAS, each Telecommunicator has exhibited compassion, understanding and professionalism during the performance of their job in the past year.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners declares the week of April 10-16, 2016 to be National Telecommunicators week in Ingham County, in honor of the Ingham County 9-1-1 Telecommunicators for their crucial role in the protection of life and property, for the Public Safety Agencies and the Citizens of Ingham County.
SPECIAL ORDERS OF THE DAY

Commissioner Crenshaw moved to reappoint Jennifer Smith to the Veteran Affairs Board. Commissioner Koenig seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

COMMISSIONER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Commissioner Crenshaw expressed gratitude for Judge Allen and his staff for running Sobriety Court.

Commissioner Tennis announced that it was Equal Pay Day.

Chairperson Hope announced that the Women’s Commission and the Equal Opportunity Committee would be meeting jointly at 7:00 p.m. on April 13, 2016 at the Human Services Building.

CONSIDERATION AND ALLOWANCE OF CLAIMS

Commissioner Tennis moved to pay the claims in the amount of $3,321,276.84. Commissioner McGrain seconded the motion.

The motion carried unanimously.

RECESS

The meeting was recessed at 6:58 p.m.
April 14, 2016

Kara Hope, Chairperson
Ingham County Board of Commissioners
Via email: KHope@Ingham.org

Kara,

Thank you for the resolution you dropped off at the April 12, 2016 Capital Area UAW CAP Council meeting. It was truly unexpected and humbling.

We are taking this opportunity to endorse the work of Volunteers of America Michigan in the Greater Lansing community.

The Capital Area UAW CAP Council Locals and its membership in the tri-county area have a strong connection to this work, specifically in the VOA’s commitment to serving Veterans – a commitment strongly shared by the membership of the UAW International Union, UAW Region 1-D and the Capital Area UAW CAP Council.

For each of the past 13 years since the VOA initiated the Capital Area Stand Down for Homeless Veterans, organized labor has been a key partner at this important event. We have joined with Volunteers of America in extending a helping hand to the men and women who fought for our freedom and now must fight for their own survival. Our membership commits hours of service and donates every year to this cause.

This commitment extends beyond this annual event as many give to clothing drives, fund drives, personal care drives, and volunteer hours. The working men and women feel that we are our brothers and sisters keepers in our community. As our past experience has shown we know that they can count on Volunteers of America Michigan to provide needed services to help with this mission.

We have seen that the Volunteers of America is committed to getting this work done. Our community is stronger because of the work and commitment to community exhibited by VOA and many of the non-profits within our community.

Therefore we are humbly forwarding this letter of support of their work on behalf of the Capital Area UAW CAP Council membership.

Respectfully Submitted,

Mike Green
Michael R. Green, President
Capital area UAW CAP Council
April 15, 2016

Ingham County Board of Commissioners
P.O. Box 319
Mason, MI 48854

Re: Notice of Intent to Plan

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is to inform you that Meridian Charter Township intends to amend its Master Plan. In accordance with the Municipal Planning Act (Public Act 33 of 2008, as amended) this letter serves as the official notice of the intent to prepare a master plan amendment.

Upon completion, proposed amendments will be made available via a link to the Township’s website. If an electronic submittal is not satisfactory, please submit a written request for an alternative delivery method to Principal Planner Gail Oranchak, Department of Community Planning and Development at 5151 Marsh Road, Okemos, MI 48864. Once a proposed amendment is distributed, you will have 63 days to submit comments to the Meridian Charter Township Planning Commission.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact Ms. Oranchak at 517-853-4564 or via e-mail at oranchak@meridian.mi.us.

Thank you for your cooperation and we look forward to your participation in this important project.

Sincerely,

Holly Cordill
Planning Commission Secretary
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MEMO TO: Ingham County Board of Commissioners

FROM: Steven D. Japinga, Director, Government Relations

DATE: April 19, 2016

RE: Need v. Want – Setting the Right Priorities

The Lansing Regional Chamber of Commerce (LRCC) operates on the basic premise that taxation should be used to fund essential government services and that additional taxes should only be levied in special circumstances. In Ingham County, there are currently nine special “circumstances” or taxes that are levied on taxpayers. The Ingham County Board of Commissioners is now considering imposing another special tax onto taxpayers in the sum of $21 million for a new animal control shelter.

Before the Board of Commissioners takes action on levying a new tax, LRCC challenges commissioners to first look at reprioritizing budget ‘needs’ rather than seeking budget ‘wants’ which involve asking taxpayers for more money out of their pockets. Like most families and businesses that determine their annual budget, we must prioritize our needs and wants, which require difficult decisions. Our biggest responsibility is to the taxpayers and using their money efficiently and effectively.

LRCC believes if budget shortfalls exist they should be addressed by reducing spending before special taxes are considered. The explosion of interest and support for collaboration in our region is a reflection of the public’s desire for combining resources and collectively improving our communities. LRCC urges the Board of Commissioners to explore new methods of delivering government services by collaborating with other interested parties.

As we continue to examine upcoming millage renewals and new millage requests such as the new animal control shelter, LRCC will continue to ask the hard questions to ensure that taxpayers are represented by a fiscally responsible government.
WHEREAS, the Board of Ingham County Commissioners last approved the Preliminary Plat for the residential subdivision called Georgetown on February 26, 2013; and

WHEREAS, the subdivision is part of the Southwest ¼ of Section 14, Meridian Township, Ingham County, Michigan; and

WHEREAS, Phases 1 through 3 of Georgetown was subsequently built and the roads accepted into the county road system; and

WHEREAS, the two-year Preliminary Plat approval period, dictated by state statute, has expired and the proprietor, The Eyde Company, requested re-approval of the Georgetown Preliminary Plat.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that upon the recommendation of Road Department staff, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners re-approves the Georgetown Preliminary Plat for a period of two years, in accordance with state statute.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
    Nays: None    Absent: Koenig    Approved 4/19/2016
Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL AND ROUTINE PERMITS
FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, as of July 23, 2013, the Ingham County Department of Transportation and Roads became the Ingham County Road Department per Resolution #13-289; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Road Commission periodically approved Special and Routine permits as part of their roles and responsibilities; and

WHEREAS, this is now the responsibility of the Board of Commissioners to approve these permits as necessary.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the attached list of Special and Routine Permits dated April 5, 2016 as submitted.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yea: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
       Nays: None    Absent: Koenig   Approved 4/19/2016
## INGHAM COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT

**LIST OF CURRENT PERMITS ISSUED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/W PERMIT#</th>
<th>R/W APPLICANT / CONTRACTOR</th>
<th>R/W WORK</th>
<th>R/W LOCATION</th>
<th>R/W CITY/TWP.</th>
<th>R/W SECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-122</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>AURELIUS RD &amp; NICHOLS RD</td>
<td>AURELIUS</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-124</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>OKEMOS RD &amp; JOLLY OAK RD</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-127</td>
<td>MDOT</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td>VARIOUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-128</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>MARSH RD &amp; HAMILTON RD</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-129</td>
<td>CONSUMERS ENERGY</td>
<td>MISCELLANEOUS</td>
<td>MARSH RD &amp; HAMILTON RD</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-130</td>
<td>JOHNNY’S TREE SERVICE</td>
<td>TREE REMOVAL</td>
<td>DEXTER TR &amp; GRIMES RD</td>
<td>STOCKBRIDGE</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-131</td>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>KELLER RD &amp; JARCO DR</td>
<td>DELHI</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-134</td>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>MARSH RD &amp; HASLETT RD</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-135</td>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>BABBITT ST &amp; HASLETT RD</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-140</td>
<td>FRONTIER</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>HEENEY RD &amp; MORTON RD</td>
<td>STOCKBRIDGE</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-142</td>
<td>FRONTIER</td>
<td>CABLE / UG</td>
<td>EPLEY RD &amp; WILLIAMSTON RD</td>
<td>WILLIAMSTOWN</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-145</td>
<td>SCARLETT EXCAVATING</td>
<td>ROAD CONST.</td>
<td>NEWTON RD BET LAKE LANSING &amp; M-78</td>
<td>MERIDIAN</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHEREAS, the Road Department has received an additional $1,500,000 in unbudgeted funds from the State of Michigan Motor Vehicle Highway (MVH) fund, $68,000 in Federal Aid funds, and $100,000 in township contribution; and

WHEREAS, after the annual assessment of the prior year’s fund balance, an increase of $1,407,000 in the use of unrestricted fund balance is available; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department is requesting to increase its expenditure budget by a total of $3,075,000, reflecting this increase in available revenues.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Road Department budget is amended to recognize $1,500,000 in additional MVH funds, to recognize $68,000 in additional Federal Aid funds, to recognize $100,000 in township contribution, and to increase the budgeted use of fund balance from $300,000 to $1,707,000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Road Department expenditure budget is amended as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Costs (new mechanic per R16-042)</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Services – Local Road Program</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracted Services – State Construction</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt and Tack</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay – Equipment</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,075,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Road Department capital budget is amended as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3) Tandem Trucks with Dump Boxes</td>
<td>450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) 3 Yard Wheel Loaders</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) One Ton Patch Trucks with Dump Boxes</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Supervisor Trucks</td>
<td>53,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Signal Tech Truck</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Used Self-Propelled Asphalt Paver</td>
<td>112,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,000,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
    Nays: None    Absent: Koenig    Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
    Nays: None    Absent: Anthony    Approved 4/20/2016
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT FOR SUPPLYING AND SERVICING MECHANICS’ UNIFORMS, SHOP TOWELS, FLOOR MATS & RELATED SERVICES FOR THE ROAD DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Road Department provides uniforms for its mechanics and fleet manager per their respective labor agreements, and needs services to supply mechanics’ shop towels, floor mat and related services for all Road Department facilities; and

WHEREAS, the Purchasing Department recently released bid packet #40-16 and received sealed, competitive bid proposals for these services for the next 3 year period beginning from date of service contract execution; and

WHEREAS, the current vendor, Unifirst Corporation of Taylor, Michigan and former vendor, Arrow Uniform Rental of Walker, Michigan were the only responding bidders; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department has not been satisfied with the level of service provided by the low bidder, Unifirst Corporation; and

WHEREAS, the Road Department was very satisfied with the level of service provided by the second low bidder, Arrow Uniform Rental; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of the Road Department to award bid to second low bidder, Arrow Uniform Rental of Walker, Michigan.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners accepts the bid, and authorizes entering a contract with Arrow Uniform Rental of Walker, Michigan for supplying and servicing mechanics’ uniforms, shop towels, floor mats and related services for the Road Department per bid packet #40-16 for total weekly costs of $126.28 per week for the three year period beginning from date of service contract execution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None   Absent: Koenig   Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None   Absent: Anthony   Approved 4/20/2016
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT WITH BIERLEIN COMPANIES, INC. FOR DEMOLITION SERVICES AND LEGAL DISPOSAL OF THE GRANDSTANDS AT THE INGHAM COUNTY FAIRGROUNDS

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the condition of the existing grandstands no longer meets safety standards; and

WHEREAS, based on the 2015 C2aE grandstand inspection report demonstrating the inability to secure continued occupancy of the current grandstands beyond the 2015 season; and

WHEREAS, after careful review of bids, the Purchasing Director and Fair Board both concur that a contract be awarded to Bierlein Companies Inc. who submitted the lowest responsible bid in the amount not to exceed $42,200.00, for demolition and legal disposal services of the grandstands at the Ingham County Fairgrounds; and

WHEREAS, the funds for this project have been budgeted and approved in the 2016 CIP account number 56176900-976000 for $90,000.00.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes awarding a contract to Bierlein Companies, Inc. located at 2000 Bay City Road, Midland, Michigan 48642 for demolition services and legal disposal of the grandstands at the Ingham County Fairgrounds, for a total cost not to exceed $42,200.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Controller/Administrator is authorized to transfer funds from the Hotel/Motel reserve funds to the 2016 Ingham County Fair CIP account # 56176900-976000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution upon approval as to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
WHEREAS, in November 2014, the electorate approved a countywide trails and parks millage level of 50/100 (.50) of one mill to be used for the purpose of creating and maintaining a county system of recreational trails and adjacent parks trail system, which may incorporate trails or parks created by local units of government, including Lansing’s River Trail, and may acquire rights of way to connect and extend existing trails; and

WHEREAS, Board of Commissioner resolution 16-102, approved 8% of the Trails and Parks Millage collected for FY 2015 and 2016 be allocated to the Ingham County Parks Department to fund CIP projects; and

WHEREAS, 8% of the projected revenue is $541,347; and

WHEREAS, Parks Department staff and Park Commission has identified the projects/items below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Project/Item</th>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$75,600</td>
<td>Matching Funds: McNamara Accessible Launch MNRTF / LWCF Grant Applications</td>
<td>McNamara Landing/Burchfield</td>
<td>Funding Approved BOC Resolution 16-101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$94,500</td>
<td>Matching Funds: Overlook Shelter &amp; Accessible Facilities Grant Application</td>
<td>Burchfield</td>
<td>Funding Approved BOC Resolution 16-099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>Loop Trail Resurfacing Project</td>
<td>Hawk Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Road Millings</td>
<td>Lake Lansing North/Burchfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>Zero Turn Mower</td>
<td>Lake Lansing North/South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>Gator</td>
<td>Burchfield</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Tractor</td>
<td>Lake Lansing North/South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Magic Carpet - Snow Tubing Hill</td>
<td>Hawk Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$14,500</td>
<td>Rental House Roof</td>
<td>Lake Lansing South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>Band Shell Roof</td>
<td>Lake Lansing South</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$747</td>
<td>Office Supplies and Printing</td>
<td>Millage Administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$541,347</td>
<td>Projected Expenses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the use of the approved 8% of the Trails and Parks Millage collected for FY 2015 and FY 2016 for the above listed projects.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Ingham County purchasing policies and procedures will be adhered to in the procurement of the above listed projects.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Koenig, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None   Absent: None   Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None   Absent: Anthony   Approved 4/20/2016
RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SCORING/RANKING CRITERIA FOR THE TRAILS AND PARKS PROGRAM APPLICATION

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, Resolution #16-107 to Adopt the Ingham County Trails and Parks Comprehensive Report from Mannik & Smith was approved at the March 22, 2016 Board of Commissioners meeting; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #16-105 Declaring All Bridges Identified Within the Mannik & Smith Trails and Parks Comprehensive Report in Table #7, with Priority given to those Bridges with a Need for Total Replacement (12 In Total), Are Eligible for Millage Funds Effective April 1, 2016 through June 1, 2016 was approved at the March 22, 2016 Board of Commissioners meeting; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #16-105 stated the scoring/ranking criteria was to be approved by the Board of Commissioners in a future resolution; and

WHEREAS, the scoring/ranking criteria is attached in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the Parks & Recreation Commission supported this resolution with the passage of a motion at their March 28, 2016 meeting.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the scoring/ranking criteria in Exhibit A to be used to evaluate the Trails and Parks Program Applications for the first round of applications received by the deadline of June 1, 2016 for all bridges identified within the Mannik & Smith Trails and Parks Comprehensive Report in Table #7, with Priority given to those bridges with a need for total replacement (12 in total).

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
EXHIBIT A

The following criteria are recommended to evaluate and select projects in Ingham County. They may be scored from 0 to 5 with 0 being the least desirable and 5, the most positive response. The project’s final score would be based on a tally of all the scores, with possible additional points from other considerations. Criteria to be scored are as follows:

1. How the project improves regional connectivity.
   
   **Score 0-5: 0= does not meet criteria 5= strongly meets the criteria _______ pts**
   
   **Implements Regional Connectivity:** Projects that improve regional connectivity and access throughout Ingham County should be given a high priority. To determine whether a project improves regional connectivity or access, the project should address the following:
   
   - Provides, supports and relates to the Ingham County regional priority corridors as depicted on Figure 24 either as existing trail reconstruction, new regional trail gap construction or new local trail access to the regional network (including enabling water trail access);
   - Improves access to Ingham County Parks;
   - Improves access to major regional destinations such as commercial and employment centers as well as community facilities, schools, colleges and universities;
   - Expands transportation options as well as provide for recreation; and
   - Increases access to sites of natural, scenic or historic interest.

2. How the project responds to public demand and support.
   
   **Score 0-5: 0= does not meet criteria 5= strongly meets the criteria _______ pts**
   
   **Responds to Public Demand and Support:** Projects that have significant support and meet the needs of the region should be scored and ranked positively. Projects strengthening new or existing partnerships and including the support of volunteers should receive a high priority. To determine whether a project has support, the project should address the following:
   
   - Is based on public demand;
   - Has been prioritized in adopted plans;
   - Has volunteer and/or partner organization support;
   - Is a community interest project that supports partnerships, shared resources or coincides with other planning and development activities; and
   - Has the support of multiple jurisdictions and/or stakeholders.

3. How the project meets acceptable design standards and is it the best design solution, including AASHTO Standards when appropriate.
   
   **Score 0-5: 0= does not meet criteria 5= strongly meets the criteria _______ pts**
   
   **Meets or Exceeds Design Standards and Is the Best Design Solution:** Projects should be able to meet minimum design standards and all other design alternatives should be considered. To determine whether a project meets minimum design standards and is the best option, a project should address the following:
   
   - Is physically separated from streets and roadways where possible;
☐ Provides a variety of experiences that can be enjoyed by a diversity of users, including people of all ages and abilities – projects must meet or exceed the minimum accessibility requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA);
☐ Design alternatives to the project have been examined to minimize impact on the environment;
☐ Meets minimum standards for grade, width, vertical clearance, intersection and crossing design; and
☐ Considers low impact development techniques that protect and enhance significant natural features.

4. How the project is feasible and ready for development or repair, rehabilitation, or long-term maintenance.

Score 0-5; 0= does not meet criteria 5= strongly meets the criteria ______ pts

Is Feasible and Ready for Development: Projects that are feasible and ready to implement should receive high priority. To determine whether a project is ready and feasible, the project should address the following:
☐ Is under public ownership or is currently accessible for public use;
☐ Does not require a complex or lengthy acquisition process;
☐ Does not require a complex or lengthy permitting process;
☐ Is within an existing corridor such as a transmission line or a railroad corridor where it may be feasible to negotiate public access without needing to acquire land;
☐ There is an imminent threat to lose the project opportunity;
☐ Demonstrates cost efficiency, is appropriate and in line with available funds.

5. How the project supports equitable opportunities.

Score 0-5; 0= does not meet criteria 5= strongly meets the criteria ______ pts

Supports Equitable Opportunities: Projects that improve equity should be given a high priority. A project that demonstrates equity should address the following:
☐ Increases access and provides low cost transportation and recreation options for low income populations;
☐ Is located in a high use area;
☐ Is located in an underserved area; and
☐ Contributes to an equitable geographical distribution of the millage funds.

6. How the project provides for other available funders and partners.

Score 0-5; 0= does not meet criteria 5= strongly meets the criteria ______ pts

Has Potential Available Funds: Projects that have the potential to be funded through state or federal grants, donations, partner contributions or other funding sources should receive higher priority than projects without other identified funding opportunities. To determine whether a project has leveraged potential available funds, a project should address the following:
☐ Has funding available through grants or partner contributions;
☐ Has funding available through donations or in-kind services; and
☐ Has funding available through local community match.
7. How the project provides for a maintenance commitment.
Score 0-5; 0= does not meet criteria 5=strongly meets the criteria _____ pts

Maintenance Commitment: Describe the degree of commitment to continue operation and maintenance of the project. Include an operation and maintenance plan detailing the amount of money needed to operate and maintain the trail after it is completed and identify who will be responsible for the work. Describe in detail how the trail will be managed. Include discussion on season length, hours of operation, limitation on use, enforcement provisions, and scheduling.
☐ Recipients must be willing to commit to continue the maintenance and operation of the project and provide a realistic operation and maintenance plan/budget (show letter of commitment for funding); and
☐ This criteria may be fulfilled in cases where applicants demonstrate innovative measures for trail maintenance, such as adopt-a-trail programs supported by volunteer organizations under a nonprofit status.

8. Other Considerations: Other project information not mentioned above may be provided for consideration.
Score 0-5; 0= does not meet criteria 5=strongly meets the criteria _____ pts
WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorized in Resolution #09-223, an agreement with Comerica, Inc. to provide commercial card services for the Procurement Card Program at essentially no cost to the County; and

WHEREAS, Comerica, Inc. provides a rebate program whereby the County will be eligible for annual rebates; and

WHEREAS, after careful review and consideration of the proposed extension, the Procurement Card Team recommends extending the agreement with Comerica, Inc.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes extending the agreement with Comerica, Inc. for two (2) years to provide commercial card services for the Procurement Card Program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved to form by the County Attorney.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tseroglou, Hope, Maiville
    Nays: None Absent: Koenig Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
    Nays: None Absent: Anthony Approved 4/20/2016
Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A TEMPORARY CLERICAL SUPPORT POSITION

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has an established Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) policy and operational procedure, established by Resolution #15-221, that designates the Board Coordinator as the FOIA Coordinator; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County Departments receive a significant number of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to ensure that the FOIA requests are addressed in an efficient and consistent manner, and to comply with the FOIA statutory amendments; and

WHEREAS, it is taking a significant number of personnel hours to meet the increased demand for information under FOIA; and

WHEREAS, this demand for information has impacted the operation of the Board of Commissioners Office; and

WHEREAS, the need for temporary assistance to augment the existing staff in the office is necessary to meet this increased demand for service.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the establishment of a temporary position to assist in the Board of Commissioners’ Office and authorizes the transfer of $4,550 from the Contingency Fund (101 94100 969220) to the Board of Commissioners’ temporary employee line item 101 10100 705000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Board of Commissioners authorizes the County Controller/Administrator to make the necessary budget adjustments to execute this action.

COUNTY SERVICES:  Yeas: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None   Absent: Koenig   Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None   Absent: Anthony   Approved 4/20/2016
INTRODUCED BY THE COUNTY SERVICES AND FINANCE COMMITTEES OF THE:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE AN

INGHAM COUNTY POTTER PARK ZOO AND POTTER PARK RENEWAL QUESTION

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Potter Park Zoo and Potter Park in Lansing is a regional attraction that draws a large number of visitors to the region, including a large number of Ingham County residents; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners believes continued operation of the Potter Park Zoo and Potter Park in Lansing would be a substantial benefit to Ingham County residents; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners entered an agreement with the City of Lansing for the lease and the operation of the Potter Park Zoo and Potter Park, conditioned upon voter approval of a county-wide property tax millage for Zoo funding and continued millage funding for the Zoo; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners seek to have the voters of Ingham County determine whether or not they desire to continue to raise funds for the purpose of supporting funding for the operational and capital needs of the Potter Park Zoo and Potter Park; and

WHEREAS, the current authorized Millages of 0.4100 of one mill adopted in 2006 and renewed in 2010, expires December 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the current Millage is needed to continue to provide for this program.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the following question be submitted to a vote of the electorate in the general election to be held on November 8, 2016.

INGHAM COUNTY
POTTER PARK ZOO AND POTTER PARK
MILLAGE RENEWAL QUESTION

For the sole purpose of renewing for the continued operation of the Potter Park Zoo and Potter Park, including funding for operations, maintenance, and improvements, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, be renewed at up to 0.41 mill, $0.41 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, for a period of five (5) years (2016-2020) inclusive?

If approved and levied in full, this millage will raise in the first calendar year of the levy an estimated $2,799,104 for operations, maintenance, and improvements for Potter Park Zoo and Potter Park.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this amended question is hereby certified to the County Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby directed to cause the proposed to the November 8, 2016, ballot and to be prepared and distributed in the manner required by law.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Celentino, Bahar-Cook, Tsernoglou, Hope, Maiville
   Nays: None  Absent: Koenig  Approved 4/19/2016

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
   Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
Introduced by the Finance Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION APPROVING A COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT WITH THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, CAPITAL CITY LABOR PROGRAM, INC. – 911 NON-SUPERVISORY UNIT

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, an agreement has been reached between representatives of Ingham County and the Capitol City Labor Program Inc. for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the agreement has been ratified by the employees within the bargaining unit; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of the Amendment Agreement have been approved by the County Services and Finance Committees.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the contract between Ingham County and the Capitol City Labor Program Inc. – 911 Non-Supervisory Unit for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign the contract on behalf of the County, subject to the approval as to form by the County Attorney.

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
WHEREAS, Ingham County government is committed to assuring the provision of services most important to its citizens; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County has incorporated strategic planning into the annual budget process since 1993; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County has contracted with Management Partners as a strategic planning facilitator; and

WHEREAS, the final report by Management Partners has not yet been adopted by the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the following goals were identified at a Board Leadership meeting:

- Provide access to quality, innovative, and cost-effective services that promote well-being and quality of life to citizens in Ingham County.
- Enhance and provide the necessary support and equipment to anticipate and meet the IT needs of each department to make delivery of services more efficient.
- Make Ingham County an attractive employer whose workforce reflects the population it serves and that prioritizes serving the community.
- Maintain and enhance County fiscal health to ensure delivery of services to residents.
- Improve service and improve communications both internal and external.
- Achieve safe, positive, healthy, efficient, and functional county facilities and property consistent with sound environmental stewardship.
- Provide safe, quality infrastructure to support existing development and smart economic growth.

WHEREAS, later this year the Board of Commissioners will make its final recommendations through a separate resolution for a strategic plan to guide the county planning and operations for the next three to five years; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has identified priorities to guide the development of the 2017 Budget and activities of county staff.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners adopts the following priority areas to be utilized by the Controller/Administrator’s Office and the county offices, departments, agencies, and courts in developing proposed activities and budgets for 2017:

1. Current year expenditures should be supported by current year revenues. The Controller/Administrator will develop a multi-year budget projection and a long-range plan to finance the County’s legacy costs. These
projections will be used to guide the Board’s decision as to the appropriate use of the County’s reserves in the development of the 2017 Budget.

2. To the extent necessary and appropriate, mandated services currently provided by county government in support of all its long term objectives should be continued in 2017, with ongoing evaluation to identify possible overlap and duplication of services and to identify more efficient uses of county funds.

3. The Board of Commissioners expects all department offices and courts to consider these priorities in the development of their budgets and activities for 2017.

4. Priority consideration should be given to the following:

   - Implement the recommendations for the Trails and Parks Millage made by the Board of Commissioners’ Trails and Parks Task Force.

   - Continue implementation of the long range plan for the operation and location of the Community Health Centers by renovating the Human Services Building.

   - Continue to reduce the budgeted use of fund balance in the general fund.

   - Implement a plan for renovating or new construction for the Ingham County Jail, 55th District Court, and Animal Control facilities.

**FINANCE: Yeas:** Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
**Nays:** None  **Absent:** Anthony  **Approved 4/20/2016**
WHEREAS, the Equalization Department has examined the assessment rolls of the 16 townships and five cities within Ingham County to ascertain whether the real and personal property in the respective townships and cities has been equally and uniformly assessed; and

WHEREAS, based on its studies, the Equalization Department has presented to the Equalization Sub-Committee the 2016 Equalization data that equalizes the townships’ and cities’ valuations, by adding to or deducting from the valuations of the said taxable property in the 16 townships and five cities, an amount which represents the true cash value; and

WHEREAS, the attached report is the result of the foregoing process.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham, that the accompanying statements be, and the same hereby are, approved and adopted by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Ingham as the equalized value of all taxable property, both real and personal, for each of the 16 townships and five cities in said County for real property values equalized at $7,695,629,028 and personal property values equalized at $652,769,929, for a total equalized value of real and personal property at $8,348,398,957 pursuant to Section 211.34 MCL, 1948, as amended.

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
WHEREAS, the State Tax Commission has by statute the responsibility to annually equalize all county equalization reports for the State of Michigan; and

WHEREAS, the State Equalization hearing date and issuance of final order is set for Monday, May 23, 2016; and

WHEREAS, under administrative procedures the State Tax Commission requires that each county designate the individuals selected to represent the County at said hearing.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that Douglas A. Stover, Equalization Director, and Commissioners Rebecca Bahar-Cook and Robin Case-Naeyaert, be and hereby are appointed to represent the County of Ingham at the preliminary meeting on Monday, May 9, 2016 and the Annual State Equalization Hearing on Monday, May 23, 2016, and any other dates as set by the State Tax Commission, in the matter of the 2016 equalization of assessments for Ingham County.

FINANCE: Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
APRIL 26, 2016
AGENDA ITEM NO. 18

Introduced by the Human Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION HONORING CHERYL EVANS

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, Cheryl Evans started her career with Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) with Health Plan Management Services (HPMS) in February of 2006 as a Billing and Reporting Clerk; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Evans was instrumental in facilitating access for thousands of residents across the State of Michigan to an organized system of health care; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Evans coordinated payments between the county health plans, a third party administrator, and the provider community ensuring members would receive essential medical care; and

WHEREAS, as part of her role with HPMS, Ms. Evans provided lead support to the Claims Services unit by assisting with functions such as claim processing, provider credentialing, and customer service; and

WHEREAS, in April of 2014, Ms. Evans transitioned into the Billing and Reporting Department as Billing and Reporting Clerk; and

WHEREAS, for the past two years Ms. Evans has processed claims and payments for services rendered to patients within ICHD’s Community Health Centers; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Evans ‘work was exceptional and she was committed to performing her job functions in the best interest of the customers and patients; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Evans’ skills, initiative, and positive support of her team members will be missed by her colleagues; and

WHEREAS, after 10 years of dedicated service to ICHD, Cheryl Evans is retiring on July 1st, 2016.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honor Cheryl Evans for her 10 years of dedicated service to the Health Department and for her dedication and commitment to her work.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board wishes her continued success in all of her future endeavors.

HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas: McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Hope, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None Absent: None Approved 4/18/2016
 Introduced by the Human Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION HONORING KAREN KOLB

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, Karen Kolb started her career with Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) with Health Plan Management Services (HPMS) in November of 2005 as a Community Health Representative II; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Kolb was instrumental in facilitating access for thousands of residents across the state Michigan to an organized system of health care; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Kolb served as a bridge between the County Health Plans, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, and the community by coordinating member enrollment into the plans; and

WHEREAS, in her role with HPMS, Ms. Kolb provided administrative support to the Claims Services Unit by assisting with functions such as claim processing, provider credentialing, and customer service; and

WHEREAS, in April of 2014, Ms. Kolb transitioned into the Billing and Reporting Department as Community Health Representative II and then as a Billing and Reporting Clerk in 2015; and

WHEREAS, for the past two years Ms. Kolb has reviewed insurance status and processed charges for services rendered to patients within ICHDs Community Health Centers; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Kolb’s commitment to performing her duties in a very detailed and accurate manner has made her a great asset to the patients and staff; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Kolb’s skills, initiative, and positive support of her team members will be missed by her colleagues; and

WHEREAS, after 10 years of dedicated service to ICHD Karen Kolb is retiring on July 1st, 2016.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honor Karen Kolb for more than 10 years of dedicated service to the Health Department and for her dedication and commitment to her work.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board wishes her continued success in all of her future endeavors.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas:  McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Hope, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/18/2016
WHEREAS, Resolution #15-355 authorized a contract with Ingham Health Plan Corporation for services to health services millage eligible residents; and

WHEREAS, as a condition of the contract, the Ingham Health Plan Corporation was required to appoint the Ingham County Health Officer to their Board of Directors; and

WHEREAS, this condition was authorized under the assumption that there would be no contracts between Ingham County (on behalf of the Health Department) and the Ingham Health Plan Corporation; and

WHEREAS, it will be necessary in the future to have such contracts; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners desires to avoid any situation that would appear as a conflict; and

WHEREAS, the County Attorney recommends the appointment of someone other than the Health Officer to represent Ingham County on the Ingham Health Plan Corporation Board of Directors.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the 2016 health services millage contract with the Ingham Health Plan Corporation be amended to reflect that the Board of Commissioners has the authority to designate someone to serve on the Ingham Health Plan Corporation Board of Directors.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, all other terms and conditions of the contract remain unchanged.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

**HUMAN SERVICES: Yeas:** McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Hope, Case Naeyaert

**Nays:** None  **Absent:** None  **Approved 4/18/2016**
Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO AMEND RESOLUTION #15-193 TO INCLUDE INGHAM COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT BREAST & CERVICAL CANCER CONTROL (BCCCP)/WISEWOMAN FEES

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, each year Ingham County passes a resolution to set fees for various county services as part of the budget process; and

WHEREAS, Resolution #15-193 authorized these fees for FY 2016; and

WHEREAS, at the time Resolution #15-193 was passed, the Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) Breast & Cervical Cancer Control Navigation Program (BCCCP)/WISEWOMAN services were not available to the citizens of Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the Michigan Department of Health & Human Services (MDHHS) has included funds to provide this service in an amendment to the Comprehensive Planning, Budgeting, and Contracting (CPBC) agreement which; and

WHEREAS, approval of this amendment will be included on the agenda for the April 12, 2016 Board of Commissioners meeting; and

WHEREAS, once the amendment is approved ICHD will have the ability to bill for these services via procedure codes identified by MDHHS; and

WHEREAS, the Health Officer recommends that the Board of Commissioners adopt the attached amendment to Resolution #15-193 to include the BCCCP/WISEWOMAN fees.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners amends Resolution #15-193 to include the attached ICHD BCCCP/WISEWOMAN fees effective May 10, 2016.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas:  McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Hope, Case Naeyaert
                                      Nays:  None    Absent:  None    Approved  4/18/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
                   Nays:  None    Absent:  Anthony    Approved  4/20/2016
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Service</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fee</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Alert Value Case Management</td>
<td>$101.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Hypertension Case Management</td>
<td>$27.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Wellness Assessment</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Disease Management Initial Assessment</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Lifestyle Modification Mgmt of CVD - 1st stage</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Lifestyle Modification Mgmt of CVD - Final stage</td>
<td>$88.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Disease Management Follow Up</td>
<td>$101.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$2,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Patient Education - Non Physician Provider</td>
<td>$169.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$3,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCCP</td>
<td>Patient Navigation</td>
<td>$81.00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1,620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduced by the Human Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE A SPECIAL MILLAGE QUESTION FOR A COUNTYWIDE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM PRIMARILY FOR THE DISABLED AND ELDERLY

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners desire to continue to provide a transportation system at the same level of service, primarily for the elderly and disabled within Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has provided the financial stability necessary for sound planning through a long-term Millage during the past several years; and

WHEREAS, the current authorized Millages of 0.4800 mill adopted in 2010, and 0.1200 mill adopted in 2012, both expire in 2015; and

WHEREAS, the current Millage is needed to continue to provide the same level of necessary services.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the following question be submitted to a vote of the electorate in the primary election to be held on August 2, 2016.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM FOR ELDERLY AND DISABLED MILLAGE QUESTION

For the purpose of reauthorizing funding for a transportation system to be used primarily by elderly and disabled persons in Ingham County at the same combined total millage level previously approved by the voters in 2010 and 2012, shall the constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, be increased by up to 60/100 (.60) of one mill, $.60 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation for a period of five years (2016-2020) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated additional $4,096,250 for the transportation system in the first calendar year of the levy based on state taxable valuation.

YES [ ] NO [ ]

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this question is hereby certified to the County Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby directed to cause the proposed to be stated on the August 2, 2016 ballot and to be prepared and distributed in the manner required by law.

HUMAN SERVICES:  Yeas:  McGrain, Banas, Tennis, Koenig, Nolan, Hope, Case Naeyaert  
Nays:  None  Absent:  None    Approved  4/18/2016
FINANCE:  Yeas:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays:  None  Absent:  Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
Resolved by the Law & Courts Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION MAKING AN APPOINTMENT TO THE
JURY BOARD

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, a vacancy currently exists on the Jury Board; and

WHEREAS, the Law & Courts Committee interviewed an applicant interested in serving on this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Chief Circuit Court Judge has also reviewed the applicant’s information and recommends his appointment to the Jury Board.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints

Steven E. Dougan, 2455 Pine Tree Rd, Holt  48842

to the Jury Board, to a term expiring April 30, 2019.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas:  Crenshaw, Celentino, Anthony, Schafer, Maiville
Nays:  Tsernoglou, Banas  Absent:  None  Approved 4/14/2016
Resolutions

WHEREAS, Eaton County is in the process of amending its 9-1-1 Service Plan; and

WHEREAS, certain areas within Eaton County are serviced by the City of Lansing for 9-1-1 Dispatch, police, and fire services; and

WHEREAS, Eaton County has requested that Ingham County file a Notice of Intent to function as a PSAP for the affected area so that it is documented in their Service Plan that 9-1-1 service to the area is provided by Ingham County 9-1-1 Central Dispatch and the City of Lansing.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Ingham County Board Chair to file a Notice of Intent to function as a PSAP within the Eaton County 9-1-1 Service District for the area described in the attached map and parcel description.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas: Crenshaw, Celentino, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Schafer
   Nays: None Absent: Maiville Approved 4/14/2016
EATON COUNTY 911 SERVICE PLAN

I.
INTRODUCTION

For decades the 911 system has served the needs of the public in emergencies. Next Generation 911 (NG911) will enhance the 911 systems to create a faster, more flexible, resilient, and scalable system that will allow 911 to keep up with communication technology used by the public. Put simply, NG911 is an Internet Protocol (IP)-based system that allows digital information (e.g., voice, photos, videos, text messages) to flow seamlessly from the public, through the 911 network, and on to emergency responders.

While the technology to implement NG911 systems is available now, the transition to NG911 involves much more than just new computers. Implementing NG911 will include activities of many people, who will coordinate efforts to plan and deploy a continually evolving system of hardware, software, standards, policies, protocols and training. One of the key tasks for Michigan counties to get started with NG911 implementation is to update their planning document. An important purpose of this Plan is to prepare Eaton County (“County”) for NG911 and technologies that will follow NG911.

Michigan Public Act 32 of 1986, MCL §484.11101 et seq, as amended, (“Act”) authorizes the County to enact a 911 Service Plan (“Plan”) that establishes a Service District (“Service District”) in which enhanced 911 (“911”) services are provided to callers requesting emergency medical, police and fire services. The County, through the Eaton Board of Commissioners (“Board”) has adopted a Plan and various amendments to that Plan under the Act. Eaton County originally adopted its Plan in 1989 and counties across the State are now updating their plans to reflect technological changes over the past 26 years and to facilitate technological changes in the near future, including NG911 technology as the methodology for delivering all 911 calls and texts to the public safety answering point. The present Plan is based on a model plan commissioned for Michigan Counties by the Michigan Communications Directors Association and tailored variations of this new model plan have been approved in at least 10 other Michigan counties. The present Plan is designed to replace, supersede and update the County’s existing Plan and all amendments in light of present circumstances with the potential to more easily accommodate present and future technologies and management operations with the goal of facilitating a superior and ever improving 911 system within the County.

This Plan implements a Service District covering the entire geographic boundaries of Eaton County by addressing the following:

- Technical considerations of the service supplier including system equipment for facilities that would be used in providing emergency telephone service and/or other communication technologies, including NG911.
• Operational considerations including the designation of primary public safety answering points ("PSAPs"), secondary PSAPs and alternative PSAPs, and the manner in which 911 calls and texts would be processed, dispatch functions performed, and informational systems utilized.

• Managerial considerations including the organizational form and agreements that will control technical, operational, and fiscal aspects of the emergency telephone service system.

• Fiscal considerations including projected recurring and non-recurring costs with a financial plan for implementing and operating the system.

By facilitating the development and maintenance of enhanced 911 services in the County, this Plan provides multiple benefits, including but not limited to:

1) Use of the universal, simple, easy-to-remember, three digit number for all emergencies in any location within the County;

2) Automatic number identification (ANI) and automatic location identification (ALI) for wire-based calls and geographic positioning identification for mobile or wireless technologies and automatic selective routing to increase the effectiveness of emergency response and dispatch services;

3) Establishment of financial, management and operational mechanisms designed to put the community in the best position to implement and maintain an up-to-date 911 System;

4) Establishment of a system for recruiting and training qualified telecommunicators, also known as “dispatchers” or “Emergency Communications Technicians (ECTs)”;

5) Establishment of centralized or consolidated dispatch to more efficiently and effectively serve all residents of the County regardless of location therein; and

6) Creating the environment to order and, therefore, facilitate the transition from wire-based to NG911.

Unless otherwise defined herein, the terms used in this Plan shall have their definition or meaning as used in the Act. The “Plan” as used herein shall refer to the “E-911 Plan” or “Enhanced 911 Plan” as used in the Act.

II.

PLAN ADOPTION

1) The Act requires the Board to adopt by resolution a Tentative Plan creating a Service District.
2) The Act requires the resolution to include a date, time and place for a public hearing to be held on a final Service Plan not less than 90 days after the date of adoption of the resolution.

3) The Act requires the County Clerk to give notice of the public hearing. Notice must be published twice in a newspaper of general circulation within the County. The first notice must be at least 30 days prior to the hearing, and the second notice within 30 days of the hearing.

4) The Act requires the County Clerk to forward a copy of the resolution, together with a copy of the Tentative Plan to the clerk of each community within the District.

5) "Eaton County Emergency Communications" ("ECEC") as established or recognized heretofore shall be the primary PSAP for all portions of the Service District except those portions of the City of Lansing located within the County, provided each filed a notice of intent to function as a PSAP (see Appendix #1).

6) The Act requires the Board to adopt the Tentative Plan as the Final Plan, except as modified by Plan Exclusions and PSAP Notices, as identified above.

7) Any public agency that wishes to withdraw from the Service District may do so only after strict compliance with Section 505 of the Act, including but not limited to payment of any outstanding qualified obligations secured by any operational surcharge.

III. TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

1) Service District and Public Entities.

The Service District created by this Service Plan shall be coterminous with the boundaries of the County. The cities, townships, villages and campuses that are wholly or partially included in the Service District, as public entities, include those identified in Appendix #2.

The ECEC is authorized to cooperate with the State 9-1-1 Committee or any other state, federal or local body or official authorized to install, operate, modify and maintain universal emergency number service systems, whether wire-based, cellular, wireless, digital, radio-based or other communication technologies within the Service District.

2) Enhanced 911.

This Plan requires an Automatic Number Identification ("ANI"), Automatic Location Identification ("ALI"), and Selective Routing Network System, including information to the telecommunicator consisting of, as a minimum, the telephone owner’s name, location, and calling telephone number and, relative to wireless calls and texts, global positioning coordinates.
as mandated by the Federal Communications Commission (Collectively referred to as “Enhanced 911”).

Wire-based telephone companies provide Enhanced 911 services to service users in the County, and those companies must maintain their Enhanced 911 services in order to continue to provide services to users in the County. All wire-based telephone companies interested in providing wire-based services within the County must provide and maintain Enhanced 911 and will cooperate to supply, in accordance with the Michigan Public Service Commission tariff rates, rules and regulations, the design, installation and maintenance of the network for all facilities involved in providing emergency response telephone service, including modifications to all pay telephones to provide free 911 service.

The wire-based telephone companies must be modified or maintained to provide Enhanced 911 services. The costs for such modification and maintenance are to be included in the technical surcharge installation and maintenance costs.

ECEC shall maintain the Michigan Public Service Commission’s (“MPSC”) infrastructure and maintain interface as required by the MPSC with the secondary PSAP until NG911 Technology is implemented. When NG911 Technology is implemented, the ECEC shall provide primary PSAP services to interface with the NG911 Technological system. When the Board has selected an NG911 service provider, it may direct that all communication services direct 911 calls and texts from within the County to the chosen NG911 service provider as necessary to facilitate the delivery of NG911 services.

3) **Wireless Implementation.**

All Commercial Mobile Radio Service (“CMRS”) or other wireless providers (collective “Wireless”) providing service within the Service District are requested and directed to deploy Phase II, E 911 Enhanced service as provided in the wireless emergency service order (“Order”), FCC Docket No. 94-102, adopted June 12, 1996, with an effective date of October 1, 1996, and as updated by FCC Docket No. 05-116 and any other updates, including but not limited to provision of number, location and name. The County is Phase II compliant.

4) **VOIP Implementation.**

All voice over internet providers and other providers of digital communication technologies (collectively described as “VOIP”) are required to provide 911 services that are equivalent or exceed Enhanced 911 service or in the case of mobile or nomadic VOIP, the equivalent of Phase II service.

5) **Implementation—General.**

Commercial wire-based, wireless or VOIP providers are “Service Suppliers” as that term is used herein. Service Suppliers shall automatically route all 911 calls and texts originating from service users in the County to the primary PSAP serving the area from which the call originated, as identified in this Plan and under the Act. All calls within the wire exchanges
identified in this Plan but originating from other counties shall be automatically routed as directed by the 911 service plans adopted by the county board of commissioners from those counties, or, if no such provisions exist, to the appropriate secondary PSAP for routing to the appropriate public agencies and EMS providers within those counties. Any calls and texts which cannot be automatically routed shall be routed to the appropriate primary PSAP.

The Board may require that every wire-based, CMRS/wireless or VOIP service provider billing service users within the District submit a written registration as a “service provider” under the Act, including a contact person, telephone number and the type of service supplied, number of customers within the Service District as well as other information that the Board periodically deems relevant. The Board may by resolution impose reasonable time limits on the registration and require periodic updates. The current service suppliers known to operate within the District are listed in Appendix #2.

Nothing in this Plan is intended to limit the Board’s or EEC’s authority under the Act, and it is the intention of this Plan that the Board be fully empowered and authorized to exercise any right, power or discretion that is authorized in the Act, including but not limited to the financial authority to impose or set operational surcharges, millages or fees. In addition, the Board may exercise its ordinance powers to enforce all provisions of this Plan.

6) Service Supplier and Public Entity Updates.

In an Administrative Findings Resolution, the Board may periodically update the public entity, wire, wireless and digital service supplier or provider information described or required as provided in this Section of the Plan and Appendix #2. It may also direct routing and other instructions to such service suppliers and public entities for purposes of Primary and Secondary PSAP improvements, including but not limited to NG911 implementation.

IV. OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

1) Consolidated Dispatch/County Dispatch/County Coordination.

This Plan and the Act recognize a variety of options for the organization of 911 services at the County level. The following terms describe the following types of 911 services:

A.) “Consolidated Dispatch” or “Central Dispatch” refers to a county-wide dispatch operation that is organized by the County through the organizational auspices of this Plan. A Consolidated Dispatch provides 911 call answering and emergency service dispatching (“PSAP Services”) to all portions of the Service District, and may also serve an area that is greater than the entire District. This Plan uses “Consolidated Dispatch” and “Central Dispatch” synonymously.

B.) “County Dispatch” refers to a dispatch operation that is organized by the County either through an Urban Cooperation Act Agreement with another public agency or agencies or through the organizational auspices of this Plan. The County Dispatch may provide PSAP
Services to an area that is less than the entire District, the entire District or through contract, greater than the District.

Since ECEC is currently a department of Eaton County, the County shall use a Consolidated Dispatch model as the primary organizational structure for the delivery of 911 to the Service District. In the event that the County participates in the creation of a dispatch authority under the Urban Cooperation Act, the County will employ a County Dispatch model as described in the Agreement prepared under the Urban Cooperation Act and as further provided herein.

2) **PSAP Operations**

Effective with the date of this Plan, ECEC, presently located at 911 Courthouse Dr, Charlotte, MI 48813, shall serve as the primary PSAP for the entire Service District, provided it maintains the notice of intent to serve as primary PSAP as described in Appendix #1. The only exception to this designation is that the Ingham County Central Dispatch Authority is identified as the primary PSAP for the portion of the City of Lansing located within Eaton County, provided it files a notice of intent to serve as primary PSAP. If no notice of intent to serve as primary PSAP is currently on file with the County Clerk or if any public agency that filed a notice of intent to serve as primary PSAP withdraws such notice under the Act, the primary PSAP will be any entity that the Board contracts with to provide PSAP services. All PSAPs effective on the date of this Plan’s effectiveness and the public agencies dispatched and dispatch methods are identified in Appendix #3. In an Administrative Findings Resolution, the Board is authorized from time to time to update the list of PSAPs, public agencies dispatched and dispatch methods as provided in Appendix #3.

The alternate or secondary PSAP for each primary PSAP is identified in Appendix #3, and incorporated herein by reference.

All primary and secondary PSAPs must be staffed 24 hours per day, every day of the year, and shall have at least one device for receiving calls and texts for service from hearing or speech-impaired persons.

If a local unit of government or public safety agency that is identified in the Plan as being a primary PSAP or that has filed an intention to serve as a primary PSAP under the Act and Plan, and subsequently files a notice of intention to cease to function as a primary PSAP, the ECEC shall serve as the primary PSAP for the geographical area previously served by the local unit of government or public safety agency as soon as practicable.1

3) **Dispatch Methods**

Except for the possibility of some emergency medical services ("EMS"), calls and texts to 911 will be processed by the Direct Dispatch method. EMS calls and texts may be directly dispatched or manually transferred to an EMS provider as a secondary PSAP to dispatch directly.

---

1 "Practicability" shall be determined in the sole discretion of the Board after consultation with the Board and local unit of government affected.
Calls and texts for service from jurisdictions outside the geographical boundaries of the County but which are included in this Service Plan or otherwise will be handled by the Manual Transfer method. If, at any time, Selective Routing Transfer or the Manual Transfer method becomes unusable, the calls and texts for service will be routed by the Relay Method.

Each public safety agency, designated above to serve as a PSAP or secondary, or back-up PSAP, shall file a notice of their intent, whether to serve or not to serve as a PSAP, not later than 45 days after the city or township which the agency serves, receive a copy of this Service Plan. Failure to file a notice of intent will result in the safety agency not being designated as a PSAP in the final 911 plan.

While the Plan is designed solely for the benefit of the residents and service users of the County, portions of other counties may be affected by the implementation of this Plan. Agreements shall be reached with these communities as to the proper forwarding of those 911 calls and texts that originate beyond the boundaries of this Emergency Telephone Service District.

4) **Training.**

The 911 Director will ensure all ECTs who are required to meet State mandated designation are so designated and that all ECTs receive appropriate training for their function.

5) **Implementation—General.**

The Board may require that all public and private safety agencies providing emergency response services within the District register with the County Clerk and execute service agreements with the Central Dispatch, County Dispatch or County Consolidation Board. The Board may, by resolution, impose reasonable time limits on the registration and require periodic updates. The current PSAP operations and public and private safety agencies known to operate within the District are listed in Appendix #3. The Board, in conjunction with the 911 Director, shall establish dispatch protocols consistent with the Act. The Board will consider local governmental agreements with public and private safety agencies but is not obligated to restrict its dispatching based on those agreements. Furthermore, any agreement that the Board may make for the County with public and/or private safety agencies will take precedence over any inconsistent local governmental agreement.

6) **PSAP/Public Safety Agencies Updates.**

The public and/or private agencies to be dispatched within the County and the dispatch methods are identified in Appendix #3. In an Administrative Findings Resolution, the Board is authorized from time to time to update the list of public and private safety agencies providing emergency response services within the District and the corresponding dispatch methods.
7) **911 Resource Protection**

Notwithstanding its right to enact ordinances to protect any other provision in this plan including but not limited to fee structures for services rendered, the Board is also specifically authorized to adopt an ordinance to protect the following activities that could threaten the effectiveness of 911 and/or safety of first responders and the public they serve:

a) False Alarm prohibitions.
b) Hacking prohibitions.
c) Signal jamming or blocking prohibitions (swatting)
d) Automatic signal prohibitions or deviations from protocols established by ECEC to properly channel automatic connections from alarms and/or alarm companies into to its computer assisted dispatch ("CAD") system
e) Virus prohibitions
f) Prohibitions against other emergency service providers responding to calls to which they were not dispatched in a manner unauthorized by the Central Dispatch Center ("call jumping")
g) Prohibitions against the impeding of first responders from reaching emergencies to which they have been dispatched.
h) Prohibitions against providing services that threaten the economic viability of any exclusive contract for emergency services necessary to effectively and efficiently operate the 911 dispatch operations described herein.
i) Prohibitions against application providers representing within the County that their applications can provide services to ECEC that cannot be provided.
j) Prohibitions against application providers and/or service providers selling applications or communications services hardware or software that will not deliver calls and texts consistent with Enhanced wire-line, wireless Phase II compliance, VoIP 911 and NG911 service standards.
k) Requirements that all service suppliers impose and remit any imposed operational surcharge and provide proof of such imposition and remittance under audit and other requirements imposed by the Board.
l) Requirements that all service suppliers forward 911 calls and texts to the IP address and provider as specified by the Board for NG911 Service, including all technical requirements that would enable the passage to the ECEC Center and receipt thereby of text 911 calls.

V. **MANAGERIAL CONSIDERATIONS**

1) **PSAP Management.**

Each public agency which files a notice of intent to function as a PSAP (either primary or secondary) accepts the responsibility for the management of the on-line public safety dispatch center including but not limited to the operational configuration, level of service and equipment
needs for the geographic and political boundaries identified in the notice of intent and the financing for all such operations.

Management of each PSAP will be in accordance with the policies and procedures of the public agency that operates the PSAP.

Notwithstanding the above, the ECEC shall manage the County Dispatch Center and its own personnel unless otherwise provided in its enabling agreement as provided herein.

Nothing in this Section shall be construed as obligating the Board to create a separate authority in order for a County Dispatch to serve as the primary PSAP for a local unit or county outside of Eaton County. However, such service may be provided through a contract that establishes a managerial structure of a County Dispatch as otherwise provided herein.

2) **Board**

The Board shall appoint the 911 Director and together they shall manage the PSAP and administer the Plan as provided in the Act and as further provided herein.

A. The Board shall establish an “Emergency Communications Subcommittee” that will serve as a special LEIN subcommittee composed of fifty-one percent law enforcement officials, including the Sheriff and a representative of the Michigan State Police and who shall supervise and control the LEIN usage of ECEC consistent with Michigan State Police Guidelines. The subcommittee makeup may be amended from time to time based on LEIN or CJIS policy rule changes. The Board shall approve bylaws for the Emergency Communications Subcommittee and in those bylaws shall address its membership, the appointment of its membership, other subcommittees that may be appointed, how those subcommittees will be appointed and the purview of all subcommittees including but not limited to its assistance in the development, implementation and overseeing of dispatch protocols, codes, policies and best practices. The Emergency Communications Subcommittee and all other subcommittees created shall be advisory to the Board and shall not be subject to Michigan’s Open Meetings Act, MCL §15.261 et. seq.

B. The Board may contract with a local unit or county outside of Eaton County to facilitate County or ECEC’s provision of primary PSAP services to such local unit or county.

C. The Board shall make 911 Plan policy and fiscal (millage and operational surcharge) decisions.

D. Nothing herein shall preclude or restrict the authority of the Board to enter into an ambulance service contract for the County under Michigan’s Public Health code, “Act 368” being MCL §333.20948. In fact, this Plan recognizes the potential necessity of such a contract to ensure that the District has timely and complete emergency ambulance services for ECEC to dispatch.
E. Nothing herein shall preclude or restrict the authority of the Board to enter into other contracts necessary to implement the building, equipment, software and other service needs to operate the ECEC.

F. The Board shall have the authority to adopt an Emergency Communications Ordinance that shall enable the enforcement of the provisions of this Plan.

VI. FISCAL CONSIDERATIONS

1) **Technical Charges.**

   A. **Estimated Network Costs**

      The Act presently provides for calculation of a 4% cap for recurring charges and a 5% cap for nonrecurring charges based on the highest monthly base rate in the emergency telephone district or $20.00 whichever is lesser. This Plan authorizes the imposition and collection of this technical charge as provided in the Act. Each service provider shall provide the Board with any technical surcharges authorized by the Michigan Public Service Commission, including any changes. If the Act is modified to reduce or expand these caps, this Plan shall be automatically adjusted without modification to authorize or establish such revised caps.

   B. **Estimated Network Charges**

      Network Charges will be collected by each wire-based Service Supplier from all subscribers in the Service District, as approved by the Michigan Public Service Commission.

      The Act requires each agency operating a PSAP to pay for all terminal equipment installation and for the actual PSAP equipment either through rental or capital acquisition. If the Act is modified, this Plan shall be automatically modified regarding the provision of such terminal or technical equipment.

      The County or Central Dispatch will utilize existing equipment. The cost of maintaining existing and acquiring new equipment shall be paid for by the Central or County Dispatch, if a separate legal entity, through the County or Central Dispatch Budget, as funded through Operational Funding, as described below. Grant monies, wherever applicable will be sought for equipment costs and planning and development of the database.

---

2 All rates are subject to annual review and Tariff Revision. Revenue projections and rates are based on lines as existed in 1995. The terms of certain rates and charges have expired, but are retained for informational purposes. The inclusion of these rates is not designed or intended to provide new or renewed authorization for these rates beyond their original term, and shall not be so construed.
2) Operational Funding

To finance the delivery of primary PSAP services, the Board is authorized and directed to implement, receive and, in its discretion, to expend, consistent with all applicable laws and County resolutions, any voter approved millages, operational surcharge, County 9-1-1 charge, State 9-1-1 surcharges allocated to the ECEC or any other funding provided under state or federal law, including but not limited to such fees authorized, imposed, and collected under the Act. The Board is authorized to make any request for funding from the Michigan Public Service Commission or State 911 Committee pursuant to the Act. Unless the Board directs otherwise, all funds shall be spent on the ECEC, regardless of whether any other local unit or state agency files a notice of intent to serve as a PSAP and actually serves as a PSAP. The purpose of this provision is to recognize that the ECEC has the obligation to provide 911 services and shall be in a position to provide PSAP services regardless of any notice of intent filed by any other entity, and also to recognize that maximum public efficiency is to be achieved through consolidated dispatch.

The Board by resolution may authorize the ECEC to accept and, with Board approval, to expend the County’s share of the State’s 9-1-1 Surcharge revenue as provided under the Act and to expend such funds on equipment and services consistent with the Act. Otherwise, such discretion shall be exercised by the Board through resolution.

All service suppliers must collect any State 9-1-1 surcharge or any other charge under the Act set by the Board through resolution or implementing ordinance from services suppliers located within the Service District and remit such funds as provided under the Act to the County. The Board may require that service suppliers furnish an accounting of all funds collected and charged, including an identification of the number of service users that it is billing within the District. Any service supplier who fails to collect such funds and timely remit them as provided in this Plan and Act or to provide the reasonable accounting required hereby may be enjoined by the County Circuit Court from providing communication services to service users within the Service District. The Board is authorized to sue such service supplier in the Circuit Court to obtain such injunctive relief and/or damage relief for the amount of uncollected or unremitted surcharge that the service supplier should have provided to the County.

In the event that millage and/or 911 operational surcharge revenues are insufficient to cover the costs of financing the County or Central Dispatch, the Board is authorized to negotiate fees for primary PSAP services rendered to public safety agencies and other emergency service providers dispatched by the County or Central Dispatch, and if such fees cannot be negotiated, to set them at reasonable and fair levels in relation to the estimated cost of the services actually delivered to the public or private safety agencies. Furthermore, consistent with substantive due process and equal protection standards, the Board is authorized to set a service user fee at reasonable and fair levels in relation to the estimated cost of the services actually delivered to the service user or on his or her behalf or on behalf of a person or entity receiving the benefit of the emergency public and/or private services. Nothing in this section shall be construed as authorizing a private or public safety agency or emergency medical service to assess or pass along any dispatch fee to any public safety agency or citizen. The Board may impose such fees through resolution or implementing ordinance, including authorization to the State of Michigan
District Court system to collect such fees from the party adjudicated at fault for creating the emergency service condition through civil and criminal infraction proceedings. The Board may authorize the initiation of civil court proceedings to collect any such service user fee.

3) **Past Plans or Amendments**

These provisions are intended to modify, amend, supersede and replace any or all prior Plans or Plan Amendments. This Plan may be amended in any manner and at any time consistent with the Act. The Board shall give the Board at least 30 days advance written notice before approving any tentative Plan Amendment.

4) **Interpretation and Savings Clause**

The provisions of this Plan are designed to work in coordination with the Act and the Interlocal Agreement creating the ECEC. If there is any conflict between any provision in this Plan and the Act, the conflicting Plan provision shall be struck and the remainder of the Plan enforced without the conflicting provision. If there is any conflict between the provisions of this Plan and the Interlocal Agreement, the provisions of this Plan shall control.

**EXECUTION AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Date: ___________________________  
Blake Mulder, Chairperson  
Eaton County Board of Commissioners

I, Diana Bosworth, the Eaton County Clerk, hereby attest that the Eaton Board of Commissioners approved this Plan on ______, 2016, and authorized the Chairperson to execute it on its behalf, which occurred in my presence.

Date: ___________________________  
Diana Bosworth, Eaton County Clerk
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APPENDIX #1

NOTICE OF INTENT TO FUNCTION AS A PSAP

Pursuant to the provisions of the Emergency Telephone Service Enabling Act, Michigan Public Act 32 of 1986, as amended, each public safety agency has 45 days after receipt of this tentative 911 Service Plan to file with the County Clerk a Notice of Intent to Function as a PSAP. The notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE OF INTENT TO FUNCTION AS A PSAP

Pursuant to Section 307 of the Emergency Telephone Service Enabling Act, Eaton County Central Dispatch shall function as a PSAP within the 911 Service Plan to be adopted by resolution of the Eaton Board of Commissioners on _______________.

____________________ [Title]

____________________ Clerk
APPENDIX #2

PUBLIC ENTITIES AND SERVICE SUPPLIERS WITHIN SERVICE PLAN

The following public entities exist in whole or in part with the County and, therefore, the service district created by this Plan:


VILLAGES OF: Bellevue, Dimondale, Mulliken, Sunfield and Vermontville.

CITIES OF: Charlotte, Eaton Rapids, Grand Ledge, Lansing (partial), Olivet and Potterville.

CAMPUS OF:

The following service suppliers as that term is used in the Act, operate within the Service District, using the following wire centers or operating in the following geographic area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Provider</th>
<th>Wire Center or Geographic Coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123.Net</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8x8, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>911 Cricket Communications</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Point Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACD.NET</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACN Communications, Services Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airespring Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alltel Communications Wireless, LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amerivision Communication/Affinity 4</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anavon Technology Group</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aptela, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT &amp; T Communications of Michigan, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT&amp;T Corp.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth.com Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch Telecom, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanchard</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boomerang Wireless LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadstar LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget PrePay, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BullsEye Telecom Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial Communications</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centennial MI RSA 7 Cellular Corp</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choice One</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Advanced Services</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearwire US LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClearRate Communications, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSInter.Net LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comcast IP Phone, LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comtel Telecom Assets LP</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Cellular</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Communications</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontier</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Connections Inc of America</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Crossing Telemanagement</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite Telecommunications LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GreatCall Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELIO LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes Network System LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBFA</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interface Security System, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISERV Company LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDMI</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3 Communication LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Wireless</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightyear Network Solutions</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingo Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-5 Networks LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix Telecom</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLeod USA Telecommunications</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCI Metro</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro PCS</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MetTel Metropolitan Communications</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Bell Telephone Company</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigator Telecommunications LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Cingular Wireless PSC LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Par</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nextel</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nextiva Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nexVortex Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS Communications</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paetec</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFN-Peninsula Fiber</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG Telecommunications, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime Circuits</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.J. Telecommunications, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ready Wireless LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sage</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salis</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solavei LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprint Wireless</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream Communications LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk America, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telnet Worldwide, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TING Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Mobile USA</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNCI Operating Company LLC</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Peninsula</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verizon Wireless Personal Comm LP</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ViaSat Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vonage</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vonage Business Solutions</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winn+</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Assets Funding Service, Inc.</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMax Communications Corp</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX #3

**PSAP, PUBLIC SAFETY AGENCIES AND DISPATCH METHODS**

**Eaton County Central Dispatch PSAP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSAP Area:</th>
<th>Public Agency(^3)</th>
<th>Dispatch</th>
<th>Backup PSAP(^4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
<td>Law Enforcement&lt;br&gt;MSP</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
<td>Eaton Co. Sheriff</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Bellevue PD</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Charlotte PD</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Eaton Rapids PD</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Grand Ledge PD</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Lansing PD</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>by Ingham County Central Dispatch as primary PSAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Olivet PD</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Potterville PD</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td><strong>Fire</strong>&lt;br&gt;Bellevue Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>by Eaton County Emergency Communications as Primary PSAP, Barry County Central Dispatch Authority and Calhoun County Central Dispatch Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^3\) Depending on reciprocal aid agreements, authorization by the identified public agency and/or emergencies, ECEC has the right and authority to dispatch directly, by transfer or by relay methods, public agencies that are outside of the District and not specifically identified in this Appendix.

\(^4\) This Plan anticipates that many neighboring 911 centers could serve as a backup, especially if NexGen is implemented. The Board will enter into reciprocal agreements to cover back up in the event of particular need.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</th>
<th>Benton Fire</th>
<th>Direct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Charlotte Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Delta Township Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Eaton Rapids Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Eaton Rapids Township Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Grand Ledge Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Hamlin Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Lansing Fire</td>
<td>Direct by Ingham County Central Dispatch as primary PSAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Nashville Fire</td>
<td>Direct by Barry County Central Dispatch as their Primary PSAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Olivet Fire</td>
<td>Direct by Eaton County Emergency Communications as primary PSAP and Calhoun County Central Dispatch Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Potterville Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Roxand Fire</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Springport Fire</td>
<td>Direct by Jackson County Central Dispatch is Primary PSAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Sunfield Fire</td>
<td>Direct by Eaton County Emergency Communications as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concept</td>
<td>Primary PSAP and Ionia County Central Dispatch</td>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Vermontville Fire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Windsor Township Emergency Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
<td>Ambulance</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Bellevue Medical First Responders</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Benton EMS</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Delta EMS</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Eaton Area EMS</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Grand Ledge EMS</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Lifecare EMS</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Nashville EMS</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Vermontville EMS</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primarily Geographic boundaries of entity, but subject to closest car concept</td>
<td>Windsor Township Emergency Services</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County of Eaton</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Eaton County Road Commission</th>
<th>Direct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
<td>Emergency Management</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Eaton</td>
<td>Department of Natural Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE A SPECIAL MILLAGE QUESTION FOR ANIMAL CONTROL SHELTER REPLACEMENT AND EXPANDED OPERATIONS

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners desire to replace the current County Animal Shelter to assist in providing a more sanitary, humane, and efficient to staff Animal Control Program facility and to stabilize and expand operations; and

WHEREAS, a millage is necessary to construct, equip, finance, and operate a new County Animal Shelter; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners seek to have the voters of Ingham County determine whether or not they desire to raise funds for the purpose of constructing, equipping, financing, and operating a more efficient, sanitary, and humane County Animal Shelter to replace the existing facility and to stabilize and expand operations.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the following question be submitted to a vote of the electorate in the primary election to be held on August 2, 2016:

ANIMAL CONTROL SHELTER REPLACEMENT AND OPERATIONAL MILLAGE QUESTION

For the purpose of supporting funding for County animal program services, including constructing, equipping, and financing, and subsequently operating a new county animal shelter which would replace the existing facility with one that will be more sanitary, humane, and efficient to staff, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan be increased by up to .17/100 (0.17) of one (1) mill, $0.17 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, for a period of ten (10) years (2016-2025) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated $1,160,561 in the first calendar year of the levy, based on state taxable valuation.

YES [ ]  NO [ ]

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this question is hereby certified to the County Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby directed to place the proposal on the August 2, 2016 ballot and to be prepared and distributed in the manner required by law.

LAW & COURTS: Yea: Crenshaw, Celentino, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Maiville
Nays: Schafer  Absent: None  Approved 4/14/2016
COUNTY SERVICES:  **Yea**: Celentino, Hope, Tsernoglou  
**Nay**: Bahar-Cook, Koenig, Nolan  **Absent**: Maiville  **Failed 4/19/2016**

FINANCE:  **Yea**: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw  
**Nay**: Schafer, Case Naeyaert  **Absent**: Anthony  **Approved 4/20/2016**
WHEREAS, Ingham County has established and maintained financing for a countywide system of emergency telephone and dispatch services for the benefit of the citizens of the county during the past several years; and

WHEREAS, the 911 emergency telephone and dispatch services are of substantial benefit to the citizens of the County of Ingham; and

WHEREAS, the millage funds were approved by the electorate to operate countywide 911 emergency telephone and dispatch services since 1988 and that millage authorization expires on December 31, 2015.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the following question be submitted to a vote of the electorate of Ingham County at the primary election to be held August 2, 2016.

**EMERGENCY TELEPHONE SERVICE (911 SERVICE) MILLAGE RENEWAL QUESTION**

For the purpose of renewing funding for a comprehensive countywide 911 Emergency Telephone and Dispatch System at the same millage level previously approved by the voters in 1996, 2000, 2004, 2008 and in 2012, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, previously increased by up to 0.8500 mills, $0.85 per $1,000 of taxable value, be continued and renewed for a period of four (4) years (2016-2019) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this millage will raise an estimated $5,803,020 for the countywide 911 Emergency Telephone and Dispatch System in the first calendar year of the levy based on taxable value.

YES [ ] NO [ ]

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this question is hereby certified to the County Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby directed to cause the proposed to be stated on the August 2, 2016 ballot and to be prepared and distributed in the manner required by law.

**LAW & COURTS: Yeas:** Crenshaw, Celentino, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Schafer, Maiville  
**Nays:** None  
**Absent:** None  
**Approved 4/14/2016**

**FINANCE: Yeas:** Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  
Absent: Anthony  
Approved 4/20/2016
Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO SUBMIT TO THE ELECTORATE A
JUVENILE MILLAGE RENEWAL QUESTION

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners desires to fund the continuing operation and enhancement of Ingham County’s capacity to detain and house juveniles who are delinquent or disturbed, and to operate new and existing programs for the treatment of such juveniles; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners wants to provide the financial stability necessary for sound planning through a long-term millage.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the following question be submitted to a vote of the electorate in the primary election to be held on August 2, 2016.

JUVENILE MILLAGE RENEWAL QUESTION

For the purpose of funding the continuing operation and enhancement of Ingham County’s capacity to detain and house juveniles who are delinquent or disturbed, and to operate new and existing programs for the treatment of such juveniles, at the same millage level previously approved by the voters in 2002, 2006 and in 2012 shall the constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, previously increased by up to 60/100 (0.60) of one mill, $ 0.60 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, be continued and renewed for a period of five years (2017-2021) inclusive. If approved and levied in full, this millage will raise an estimated $4,165,828 for juvenile housing and programming purposes in the first calendar year of the levy based on taxable value.

YES [   ]          NO [   ]

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this question is hereby certified to the County Clerk.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby directed to cause the proposal to be stated on the August 2, 2016 ballot and to be prepared and distributed in the manner required by law.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas:  Crenshaw, Celentino, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Schafer, Maiville
Nays:  None    Absent:  None    Approved 4/14/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays:  None    Absent:  Anthony    Approved 4/20/2016
Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

TINGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE TO CONTRACT
WITH THE DELHI FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR THE USE OF INGHAM COUNTY
PARAMEDIC EQUIPMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office works closely with all countywide fire agencies to provide
professional first responder assistance for our citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office due to budgetary considerations in 2008, eliminated our
Paramedic Unit; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office wants to donate several old ICSO paramedic supplies and
equipment to the Delhi Township Fire for their use, in training for lifesaving situations; and

WHEREAS, the Delhi Fire Department will then distribute this donated ICSO paramedic supplies and
equipment to several Ingham County Volunteer Fire Departments for their use in training and lifesaving
situations.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes an
agreement with Delhi Fire Department for the use and disposal of surplus paramedic equipment and supplies in
exchange for their continued provision of services for the benefit of victims requiring paramedic services in
Ingham County and the greater Lansing area.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioner and the
Sheriff are authorized to sign any necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as
to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas:  Crenshaw, Celentino, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Schafer, Maiville
Nays:  None   Absent:  None   Approved  4/14/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas:  Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays:  None   Absent:  Anthony   Approved  4/20/2016
Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE TO CONTRACT WITH THE INGHAM REGIONAL SPECIAL RESPONSE TEAM FOR THE USE OF INGHAM COUNTY PARAMEDIC EQUIPMENT

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office works closely with all countywide police agencies to provide professional policing for our citizens; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office strongly believes in regional team cooperation for many serious police matters; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office, along with the Meridian Township Police Department, Michigan State University Police Department, East Lansing Police Department created a county wide Special Response Team to handled high risk police operations and incidents; in 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham Regional Special Response Team is made up of 22 members from the above Ingham County Police Agencies, as well as four (4) tactical paramedics from area Fire and Paramedic Units; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office, due to budgetary considerations in 2008, eliminated our Paramedic Unit; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office wants to donate several paramedic supplies and equipment to the Ingham Regional Special Response Team to assist their tactical paramedics in providing them the donated used ICSO Paramedic supplies and equipment for use in training and potential lifesaving situations when the team is activated.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes an agreement with the Ingham Regional Special Response Team for the use and disposal of surplus paramedic supplies and equipment for the benefit of the citizens of Ingham County and the greater Lansing area.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioner and the Sheriff are authorized to sign any necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas: Crenshaw, Celentino, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Schafer, Maiville
Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/14/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert
Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016
Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT THE BISSELL PET FOUNDATION SUPER SATURDAY FREE ADOPTION GRANT

RESOLUTION # 16 -

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Animal Control has applied for and has been approved to receive a grant from the BISSELL Pet Foundation; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this grant is to reimburse Ingham County Animal Control for no fee animal adoptions finalized on May 7, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the award amount of this grant is dependent on the number of animals adopted on May 7, but is anticipated to not exceed $4,000.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves acceptance of the grant from the BISSELL Pet Foundation for an amount to be determined by the number of adoptions finalized on May 7, 2016, but not to exceed $4,000 with no match requirement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Controller/Administrator to make the necessary budget adjustments to the Ingham County Animal Control budget.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents which are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

LAW & COURTS:  Yeas: Crenshaw, Celentino, Tsernoglou, Anthony, Banas, Schafer, Maiville  
               Nays: None  Absent: None  Approved 4/14/2016

FINANCE:  Yeas: Bahar-Cook, Tennis, McGrain, Crenshaw, Schafer, Case Naeyaert  
             Nays: None  Absent: Anthony  Approved 4/20/2016