THE LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, JULY 12, 2012 AT 6:00 P.M., IN THE PERSONNEL CONFERENCE ROOM (D & E), HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING.

Agenda

Call to Order
Approval of the June 14, 2012 Minutes
Additions to the Agenda
Limited Public Comment

1. Community Corrections Advisory Board - Resolution Authorizing Submission of a Grant Application and Entering into a Contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections for Ingham County/City of Lansing Community Corrections and Authorizing Entering into Subcontracts for Community Corrections Programs for FY 2012-2013

2. Sheriff’s Office - Resolution Honoring Lt. Mike DeBruin for his Twenty-Two Dedicated Years of Service to the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office

3. Controller’s Office - Discussion Item: Review of Clinton and Eaton County Areas Receiving Direct 911 Service from Ingham County (No Materials)

4. Board Referrals
   a. Letter from McCartney & Company, P.C. with Attached Annual Accounting for the Ingham County 9-1-1 Service District
   b. Letter from Silver & Van Essen, P.C. Regarding the 425 Agreement Between Dewitt Charter Township and City of Lansing
   c. Letter from Thrun Law Firm, P.C. Regarding the 425 Agreement Between Dewitt Charter Township and City of Lansing
   d. Letter from Silver & Van Essen, P.C. Regarding the 425 Agreement Between Dewitt Charter Township and City of Lansing

Announcements
Public Comment
Adjournment

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING

The County of Ingham will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting for the visually impaired, for individuals with disabilities at the meeting upon five (5) working days notice to the County of Ingham. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Ingham in writing or by calling the following: Ingham County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 319, Mason, MI 48854 Phone: (517) 676-7200. A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance at this meeting. Meeting information is also available on line at www.ingham.org.
Members Present: Penelope Tsernoglou, Debbie De Leon, Victor Celentino, Carol Koenig, Randy Schafer, and Vince Dragonetti

Members Absent: None

Others Present: Board Chairperson Copedge, John Neilsen, Lance Langdon, and Joel Maatman.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Tsernoglou at 6:02 p.m. in the Personnel Conference Room “D & E” of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar Street, Lansing.

Approval of the May 31, 2012 Minutes
The May 31, 2012 Minutes were approved as submitted.

Additions to the Agenda
1b. Late – Sheriff’s Office Update: Inmate Video Visitation

The Committee asked for a copy of the letter sent to Ms. McAloon Lampman emailed to them.

Limited Public Comment
None.

(Comm. Koenig 6:04 p.m.)

1. Sheriff’s Office
   a. Resolution to Allow the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office to Enter into an Interlocal Agreement with the City of Lansing and City of East Lansing for the 2012 Local Jag Grant

MOVED BY COMM. CELENTINO, SUPPORTED BY COMM. DRAGONETTI, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO ALLOW THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE TO ENTER INTO AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF LANSING AND CITY OF EAST LANSING FOR THE 2012 LOCAL JAG GRANT.

Comm. Schafer asked for clarification of the zero funding and violent crimes. Major Maatman stated that if all parties do not sign no one will receive funding. He explained the funding is based on crime statistics further detailing the various crimes plus how the data is gathered and reported in order to qualify for the grant. Major Maatman expressed his concern that funding and reporting are down because there are fewer Ingham County Officers patrolling. He noted this also hurts the COPS Grant. The Committee discussed the Michigan State Police and various police departments within Ingham County and their jurisdiction.
Comm. Koenig asked Major Maatman if there are any reasons not to approve this resolution. Major Maatman explained that if the resolution is not approved the funds would be lost. He added that he does not expect that the County will qualify for the funds in the next few years and that will affect equipment purchases.

Comm. De Leon asked if the changes made to the Jail Medical have in fact alleviated or eliminated transports to the hospitals. Major Maatman stated that is a question for Major Davis but believes it has not eliminated the transports. He again stated there is a shortage of officers and noted that road patrol officers can not guard transports.

Comm. De Leon referred to a past discussion about combined road patrol efforts asking if that had been initiated. Chairperson Tsernoglou and Mr. Neilsen referred to an article in the Lansing State Journal stating that the City Council did not pursue or approve combined efforts. Major Maatman explained the benefits of regional training and road patrol enforcement in the highest traffic areas. Comm. De Leon asked if the regional training is mandatory. Major Maatman answered voluntary. He explained there is a discussion of various programs and which program will be the focus of the training.

The Committee discussed accidents on I-96 and the helmet law.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

b. Update: Inmate Video Visitation

Mr. Neilsen stated that the Commissioners approved this resolution at the meeting Tuesday, June 12, 2012 and the County Attorney’s are now working on the language in the contract. He noted the final recommendation from the Attorney’s will be forwarded to the Committee.

Mr. Neilsen explained that the County has a contract with the vendor for phone service therefore he does not anticipate a delay with the amended language for the video visitation system.

Comm. Koenig overviewed the Committee’s concern that the language in the contract will allow Ingham County to renegotiate if technology advances and as a result Securus sees their costs recovered in less than five years. Mr. Neilsen stated the language will be expressed through a percentage but the Committee needs to keep in mind the contract language will also reflect Securus’ investment and operating costs.

Comm. Koenig stated that she is familiar with email costs through Jpay.com, an inmate e-mail service, and expressed her concern that the County has the ability to renegotiate because Jpay’s email is significantly less expensive than Securus.

Mr. Neilsen stated that once both parties agree to the contract the timeline for installation is approximately 90 days.

Mr. Neilsen will email the Committee a copy of the final contract language.
2. **Controller’s Office - Update on 911 Center and Related Issues (No Written Materials)**

Mr. Neilsen was pleased to announce that today was the Ingham County 911 Central Dispatch Center Ribbon Cutting Ceremony and Open House. The Committee acknowledged the work Mr. Neilsen has done for the Ingham County 911 Central Dispatch Center and noted a conference room is dedicated in his name. The Committee agreed it is well deserved.

Mr. Neilsen overviewed the concerns with the fiber optic connection over Memorial Day then described the problem and modification of the data protocol. He explained the problem has been resolved and they can now continue testing other equipment. Chairperson Copedge asked if the modification of data protocol is the best solution for Ingham County. Mr. Langdon overviewed the different protocols and stated this is not a reduction of quality or efficiency. Mr. Neilsen stated that the cooperating Counties also agreed to go in this direction and are now testing their systems.

Mr. Langdon explained the staffing and how the transfer of phones will take place during the transition of the 911 centers stating there will be no down time. He further explained the backup process between the Counties.

Mr. Neilsen recognized the Ingham County 911 Central Dispatch Center opening will be delayed because of a restraining order. He stated the County Attorney’s are prepared to go to court. He informed the Committee that this delay will have a cascading effect on the opening because of the coordination required between all the vendors. The best case scenario is the Ingham County 911 Central Dispatch Center will open in June and the worst case is unknown, however, hoping for July. The Committee discussed the restraining order plus various Counties 911 Dispatch training.

Mr. Neilsen informed the Committee that if the Ingham County 911 Central Dispatch Center does not open in June the contract between the County and the cities of East Lansing and Lansing will need to be amended. He stated that a resolution could be presented at the Finance Committee meeting next week. He noted that both cities are aware of the possible amendments and will be cooperative.

Comm. De Leon expressed her concern that dispatch positions cause stress and asked if there have been conversations on how to reduce the turn over rate. Mr. Langdon explained that once an individual makes it through training (1 year) they do not typically leave. It is during training that approximately 50% resign. Mr. Langdon described the training. Board Chairperson Copedge stated that he has had conversations with individuals who have expressed concern about special conditions. Mr. Langdon detailed the employees who will not be transferring to the County and why. Comm. Copedge was satisfied with the explanation. Comm. De Leon asked if there are vacant positions. Mr. Langdon answered 6 and the possibility of 5 more upcoming. The Committee discussed the pay scale and overtime. Mr. Neilsen stated that the County has begun collective bargaining.
Announcements
None.

Public Comment
Major Maatman stated that Mr. Langdon is a great asset to the Ingham County 911 Central Dispatch Center.

Mr. Neilsen informed the Committee that the Controller’s Budget Hearing for the Law Enforcement Committee will be held on June 27, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. at the Hilliard Building in Mason.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 7:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Julie Buckmaster
RESOLUTION ACTION ITEMS:

The Deputy Controller is recommending approval of the following resolutions:

1. Community Corrections Advisory Board - Resolution Authorizing Submission of a Grant Application and Entering into a Contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections for Ingham County/City of Lansing Community Corrections and Authorizing Entering into Subcontracts for Community Corrections Programs for FY 2012-2013

This resolution will authorize the annual submission of the Grant application and also to enter into an Agreement with the Michigan Department of Corrections for Ingham County/City of Lansing Community Corrections programming for the FY 2012-2013. In addition, the resolution also authorizes the appropriate subcontracts to various vendors subject to the availability of MDOC grant funds. (See attached memo from Mary Sabaj, CCAB Manager)

2. Sheriff’s Office - Resolution Honoring Lt. Mike DeBruin for his Twenty Two Dedicated Years of Service to the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office

This is an honorary resolution for a retiring Sheriff’s Office employee.

This portion of the agenda is for informational purposes:

3. Controller’s Office - Discussion Item: Review of Clinton and Eaton County areas receiving Direct 911 Service from Ingham County (No Materials)
TO: Law Enforcement and Finance Committees

FROM: Mary Sabaj
Community Corrections Manager

DATE: June 18, 2012

RE: 2012-2013 State Funding Application, MDOC Contract and Sub-contracts for Community Corrections Programs

This Resolution approves submission of the MDOC-Office of Community Alternatives Grant Application and entering into a contract with the MDOC and vendor subcontracts for Community Corrections programs for the period of October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013.

The Application and subsequent grant award provides funding for the following Community Corrections programming in the amounts shown below:

- Pathways Employment Program provided by Peckham, Inc. $70,737
- Relapse Prevention & Recovery Program provided by C-E-I Community Mental Health $47,200
- Holistic OUIL III Program Enhancements (HOPE) provided by C-E-I Community Mental Health $36,169
- Cognitive Change Groups provided by National Council On Alcoholism $34,650
- Program Referral & Gatekeeper Services provided by Community Corrections staff $12,500
- Day Reporting services provided by Northwest Initiative - ARRO $43,350
- Community Corrections Advisory Board Administration $62,000
- Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Services to be provided by CEI- Community Mental Health – House of Commons, National Council on Alcoholism – Glass House and Holden House and other out-of-county programs Est. $485,450

TOTAL $792,056
Agenda Item 1

Introduced by the Law Enforcement and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING SUBMISSION OF A GRANT APPLICATION AND ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS FOR INGHAM COUNTY/CITY OF LANSING COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS AND AUTHORIZING ENTERING INTO SUBCONTRACTS FOR COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAMS FOR FY 2012-2013

WHEREAS, the State Community Corrections Advisory Board, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners, and the City of Lansing approved the Ingham County/City of Lansing Community Corrections Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the State Community Corrections Advisory Board, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners, and the City of Lansing approved the Application for State of Michigan Community Corrections Act Funds for FY 2012-2013; and

WHEREAS, the FY 2012-2013 Application provides for the continuation of the following CCAB Plans and Services programming and Drunk Driving Jail Reduction and Community Treatment Program with a portion of Ingham County’s allocation of State Community Corrections funds; Relapse Prevention and Recovery ($47,200) and House of Commons program enhancements (H.O.P.E.) ($36,169) to be provided by C.E.I. Community Mental Health, Employment Services ($70,737) to be provided by Peckham Vocational Industries, Inc; Jail-Based Case Management services ($12,500) to be provided by CCAB staff; Cognitive Change Groups ($34,650) to be provided by National Council on Alcoholism, and for Day Reporting services ($43,350) to be provided by Northwest Initiative - ARRO; and

WHEREAS, the FY 2012-2013 grant award provides Ingham County with the use of residential beds (estimated 28 per day) with M.D.O.C. contracting directly with residential providers rather than with local jurisdictions for a projected value of $485,450; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the FY 2012-2013 Application, residential services are to be provided by Community Programs, Inc., Pine Rest Christian Mental Health Services, Kalamazoo Probation Enhancement Program, Inc., National Council on Alcoholism, and C-E-I Community Mental Health – House of Commons; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the FY2012-2013 grant award, the County may enter into subcontracts for the purpose of implementing Plans and Services and DDJR/CTP programs and services identified in the Community Corrections Plan and Application; and

WHEREAS, the Subcontractors for Plans and Services and DDJR/CTP programming are willing and able to provide the services that the County requires.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into an Agreement with the Michigan Department of Corrections for Ingham County/City of Lansing Community Corrections FY 2012-2013 in the amount of $285,437 in CCAB Plans and Services and administration funds, $21,169 in Drunk Driving Jail Reduction and Community Treatment Program funds for a total of $306,606 and for the use of an estimated 28 residential beds per day for a value amounting to $485,450 for the time period of October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into subcontracts for CCAB Plans and Services programming from October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013 with the National Council on Alcoholism for the actual cost of Cognitive Change groups not to exceed $34,650; with Peckham Vocational Industries, Inc. for the actual cost of employment services not to exceed $70,737; with C-E-I Community Mental Health for the actual cost of Relapse Prevention and Recovery services not to exceed $47,200; and, with Northwest Initiative - ARRO for the actual cost of Day Reporting services not to exceed $43,350.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a subcontract with C-E-I Community Mental Health for the use of DDJR/CTP ($21,169) and CCAB Plans and Services ($15,000) funds for the actual cost of Holistic OUIL III Program Enhancements (H.O.P.E.) at the House of Commons in an amount not to exceed $36,169.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that entering into the subcontracts is contingent upon entering into the Agreement with the State.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the subcontracts are contingent throughout the subcontract period on the availability of grant funds from the State of Michigan for these purposes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board Chairperson and County Clerk are authorized to sign any necessary contracts/subcontracts consistent with this resolution subject to approval as to form by the County Attorney.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Law Enforcement Committee
FROM: Major Joel Maatman
DATE: July 6, 2012
RE: Honorary Resolution for Lt. Michael J. DeBruin

Commissioners:

Attached is an honorary Resolution for Lt. Michael J. DeBruin who is retiring after a distinguished twenty six year career in Law Enforcement including over twenty two years with the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office.

I request your adoption of the resolution.
Agenda Item 2

Introduced by the Law Enforcement Committee:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION HONORING LT. MIKE DEBRUIN FOR HIS TWENTY-TWO DEDICATED YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin was hired by the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office on February 12, 1990, and his first assignment at the Sheriff’s Office was in the newly formed Delhi Township Division as a Patrol Officer; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin had been previously employed by the Delhi Township Police Department as a Police Officer from July 1, 1987 to February 11, 1990, under the auspices of the Ingham County Sheriff’s Department; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin attended Field Officer Training during the fall of 1990 and had been responsible for the training of recruit officers up until Lt. DeBruin was promoted to Sergeant; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin was awarded the Advanced Police Officers Certificate by the Michigan Law Enforcement Officers Training Council in March 1990; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin served as a Special Agent with the Tri-County Metro Narcotics Squad from January 1991 through September 1993; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin has worked in the following assignments during his career at the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office: County Road Patrol, Delhi Township Division, Transports & Courts Unit and the Corrections Division as well as being assigned to the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office Dive Rescue & Recovery Team from 1995 through the beginning of 1999; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin was promoted to the rank of Sergeant in March 1999; and he was promoted to the rank of Lieutenant in August 2002; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin was appointed the Commander of the Delhi Township Patrol Division in November 2007; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin graduated from Michigan State University’s 4th School of Staff & Command on June 18, 2010; and throughout his career, he received numerous Letters Of Appreciation, Unit Citations and a Meritorious Service Award from the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office; and

WHEREAS, prior to beginning his career in Law Enforcement, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin faithfully served his Country in the United States Army as a Military Police Officer from March 1983 through March 1986, when he was honorably discharged at the rank of Sergeant; and

WHEREAS, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin began his 26 year career in Law Enforcement after graduating from the 38th Mid Michigan Police Academy on June 13, 1986 and was hired by the Dewitt Township Police Department on June 16, 1986; and
WHEREAS, after 22 ½ years of dedicated service to the Citizens of Ingham County and a total of 26 years of dedicated service in the field of Law Enforcement, Lt. Michael J. DeBruin is retiring on August 1, 2012 to spend time with his family.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honor Lt. Michael J. DeBruin for 22 ½ years of dedicated service to the Citizens of Ingham County and wishes him continued success in all his endeavors.
June 6, 2012

Chairperson  
Ingham County Board of Commissioners  
County Building  
Mason, MI 48854

Dear Sir or Madam:

You will find enclosed an annual accounting for the Ingham County 9-1-1 Service District. Section 405 of Senate Bill No. 303 of 1986 requires that telephone service providers of each service district prepare an annual accounting of the 9-1-1 technical (network) revenues and expenses.

We have been retained by the service providers listed on the attached statement to compile this information. This report provides your district's information for each listed provider of 9-1-1 service in your district. The over (under) collection amount may result in a change in the 9-1-1 technical rate. Rate changes are generally done annually in May to be effective July 1.

If you have any questions regarding the information, please contact our office.

Very truly yours,

Edward B. Rebman

EBR:kp
Enclosures

cc: 911 coordinator
INGHAM COUNTY
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Financial Statements
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Accountant’s Compilation Report

Telephone Service Suppliers
Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool
Okemos, MI

We have compiled the accompanying statements of trust assets and liabilities of the Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool (an association) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related statements of trust receipts and expenditures and cash flows for the years then ended. We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or provide any assurance about whether the financial statements are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Telephone Service Suppliers are responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and for designing, implementing, and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements.

Our responsibility is to conduct the compilation in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The objective of a compilation is to assist the Telephone Service Suppliers in presenting financial information in the form of financial statements without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements.

We are not independent with respect to the Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool.

May 10, 2012
Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool
(An Association)
Statements of Trust Assets and Liabilities
As of December 31, 2011 and 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in Common Funds</td>
<td>$35,272</td>
<td>$4,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Suppliers</td>
<td>$41,972</td>
<td>$43,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current Assets</strong></td>
<td>$77,244</td>
<td>$48,288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current Liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Suppliers</td>
<td>$28,971</td>
<td>$41,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Users</td>
<td>$48,273</td>
<td>$6,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current Liabilities</strong></td>
<td>$77,244</td>
<td>$48,288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accountant's report and notes to the financial statements.
Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool  
(An Association)  
Statements of Trust Receipts and Expenditures  
For the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receipts - Technical Surcharges</td>
<td>$281,099</td>
<td>$275,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditures - Provision of Service</td>
<td>$239,356</td>
<td>$278,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus (Deficit) of Receipts Over Expenditures</td>
<td>$41,743</td>
<td>$(3,266)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable - Service Users, Beginning of Year</td>
<td>$6,530</td>
<td>$9,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable - Service Users, End of Year</td>
<td>$48,273</td>
<td>$6,530</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accountant's report and notes to the financial statements.
Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool  
(An Association)  
Statements of Cash Flows  
For the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flows from Operating Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>$1,906</td>
<td>$(12,513)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>$28,956</td>
<td>$(16,148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Cash Flows Provided (Used) by Operating Activities</td>
<td>30,862</td>
<td>$(28,661)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (Decrease) in Common Funds</td>
<td>30,862</td>
<td>$(28,661)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in Common Funds, Beginning of Year</td>
<td>4,410</td>
<td>33,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity in Common Funds, End of Year</td>
<td>$35,272</td>
<td>$4,410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See accountant's report and notes to the financial statements.
Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool
(An Association)

Notes to Financial Statements

Note 1 - Nature of Activities

The Ingham County E911 Technical Surcharge Pool (Pool) is an unincorporated association of suppliers of emergency (911) telephone service within Ingham County, in the State of Michigan. It was formed to provide for the settlement of costs between suppliers as required by Public Act 32 (P.A. 32) of 1986, as amended.

The service suppliers for this Pool are Verizon North, Inc., AT&T, Springport Telephone Company, Verizon South, Inc., Shiawassee Telephone Company, TDS Metrocom, Matrix Telecom, Comcast, CenturyTel of Upper Michigan and Telnet. In accordance with P.A. 32, these suppliers are entitled to recovery of costs as defined by the statute. In addition, the statute requires uniform billing on a geographic basis. Each supplier reports its billings and costs. These amounts are then pooled and settlements for over or under collections are made.

Note 2 - Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Accounting: These financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. Receipts are recorded when billed and expenditures are recorded based upon the provisions of tariffs filed with the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Trust Funds: All funds within the Pool are held in trust solely for the service suppliers. Since the statute mandates cost recoveries, there is no income, loss or corresponding fund balance. Due to the nature of the Pool, taxes on income are not applicable. Accordingly, these financial statements do not reflect a provision for income taxes and the Pool has no other tax positions which must be considered for disclosure. Management has evaluated income tax positions taken or expected to be taken, if any, and the likelihood that upon examination by relevant jurisdictions, those income tax positions would be sustained. Based on the results of this evaluation, management determined there are no positions that necessitated disclosures and/or adjustments.

Accounts Receivable or Payable - Service Users: These amounts represent future billings or refunds to adjust for the over or under collection of telephone surcharges from the service users. These adjustments are normally made on an annual basis. Accounts receivable are carried at their estimated collectible amounts and do not bear interest.

Accounts Receivable or Payable - Service Suppliers: These amounts represent the corresponding contra asset or liability to the Accounts Receivable or Payable - Service Users and are amounts due to or from the service suppliers. Accounts receivable are carried at their estimated collectible amounts and do not bear interest.

Equity or Deficiency in Common Funds: The service suppliers utilize a common bank account for the seventy-nine service districts within the State of Michigan. Equity or Deficiency in Common Funds represents the Pool's cash and cash equivalents or advances from this bank account. Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on deposit and short-term investments with maturities of three months or less. Interest earnings, generally immaterial, are credited to the various pools to reduce reported costs.

Technical Surcharges: Technical surcharges represent the monthly billings by the telephone service suppliers. These amounts are determined in accordance with the provisions of P.A. 32 and are subject to maximum caps as stipulated by that statute.
Notes to Financial Statements

Note 2 - Continued

**Expenditures:** Expenditures represent the costs of providing the emergency telephone network, switching, billing and collection and similar amounts.

**Bad Debts:** No provision for bad debt expense or allowance for uncollectible amounts is deemed necessary. Management writes off receivables as they are determined to be uncollectible based upon a periodic review of the accounts. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the allowance method be used to recognize bad debts; however, the effect of using the direct write-off method is not materially different from the results that would have been obtained under the allowance method.

**Use of Estimates:** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the suppliers to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note 3 - Surcharge Rates

P.A. 32 permits the recovery of both recurring and nonrecurring charges. Recurring charges are defined as the amounts necessary for the ongoing operation of the system. Nonrecurring charges are for the initial setup and non-operational installation of trunks, circuits and similar items. Depending on the date of commencement of service, the nonrecurring charges are subject to various amortization rates and periods of up to ten years. At December 31, the Ingham County billed access-facility monthly rates were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recurring</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>$0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonrecurring</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$0.28</td>
<td>$0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effective July 1, 2012, the monthly recurring rate will be increased by $0.04 for a total rate of $0.32.

Note 4 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of short-term financial instruments, including accounts receivable and accounts payable, approximates the carrying amount due to the short maturity of these instruments.

Note 5 - Subsequent Events

The date to which events occurring after December 31, 2011 have been evaluated for possible adjustment to the financial statements or disclosure is May 10, 2012, which is the date on which the financial statements were available to be issued.
June 18, 2012

Mr. J. Richardson Robinson  
Thrun Law Firm, P.C.  
P.O. Box 2575  
East Lansing, MI 48826-2575

Re: 425 Agreement with Lansing

Dear Mr. Robinson:

This letter is in response to your May 24, 2012 letter on behalf of DeWitt Charter Township, which responded to my May 17, 2012 letter on behalf of Clinton County. Your letter references a telephone conversation which we had as well. In that conversation, you admitted that the Capital City Airport Authority (“CRAA”) was not a full or perhaps even willing participant in the 425 Agreement. Attorney Robert Buchanan representing the CRAA has called me and confirmed that there is no agreement with CRAA’s signature approving the 425 arrangement.

While my letter certainly discussed the implications of DeWitt Township’s recent 425 Agreement with the City of Lansing as they relate to police, fire and ems service to the CRAA, which is substantial portion of the 425 area recently transferred by DeWitt to the City, I did not mean to ignore the broader issue of 911 service to the entire 425 area in general, including areas not controlled by the CRAA.

The broader issue is “who” decides what 911 service will serve any particular area? The answer to that question is the “county,” at least where the local units of government do not provide 911 dispatch. Since neither the City of Lansing nor DeWitt Township provide 911 dispatch, neither the City of Lansing nor DeWitt Township had the authority to declare in their 425 Agreement that “911 services shall be provided by Ingham County.”

Secondly, if a particular area in a local unit of government is to be dispatched, one cannot carve out a particular activity within that area from the 911 service. Thus, not only is the 425 Agreement between the City of Lansing and DeWitt Township inappropriate when it says “911 service shall be provided by Ingham County,” it could not have legally excluded (and does not as a matter of fact) exclude the CRAA from the dispatch services it seeks to assign.
We can all agree that 911 Dispatch is a critical public function. It seems more than a little troubling that local units of government would enter into a contract changing the function and then be cavalier as to the implementation of that contractual provision. All that Clinton County is saying is that someone who wants the change needs to step up and request the facilitation of that change if it is to be made. Moreover, it is also worth reminding you that under the Emergency Telephone Service Enabling Act, only Ingham County can file a notice of intent to serve in this capacity under these circumstances. At this point, it doesn’t appear as if DeWitt Township or the City of Lansing has even requested Ingham County’s involvement in this process.

Very truly yours,

SILVER & VAN ESSEN, P.C.

Douglas W. Van Essen

Cc w/enc: Clinton County Board of Commissioners
Brigham Smith, Esq. (Lansing City Attorney)
Robert Buchanan, Esq (CRAA Attorney)
Harriett Miller Brown, State 911 Coordinator
Ingham County Board of Commissioners
TO: Bath Charter Township Clerk
    Bengal Township Clerk
    Bingham Township Clerk
    Dallas Township Clerk
    DeWitt City Clerk
    DeWitt Charter Township Clerk
    Duplain Township Clerk
    Eagle Township Clerk
    Eagle Village Clerk
    East Lansing City Clerk
    Eaton County Clerk
    Elsie Village Clerk
    Essex Township Clerk
    Fowler Village Clerk
    Gratiot County Clerk

FROM: Diane Zuker
      Clerk of the Board of Commissioners

RE: 911 Plan Amendment

Dear Clerk,

The Clinton County Board of Commissioners has adopted the attached 9-1-1 Plan Amendment Resolution. The purpose of this letter is to advise your community of the steps involved in the amendment process and to notify you of your right to opt out. Opting out would result in your community not receiving 9-1-1 services. If your community wants to opt out, it must authorize the signature of one of the following “Notices.” If you want all of your community out of the 9-1-1 system, you must approve, execute and return to me within 45 days, the “Notice of Exclusion (Complete).” If you want part of your community’s geographic boundaries out of the 9-1-1 system, you must approve, execute and return to me within 45 days the “Notice of Exclusion (Partial).”
At a regular meeting of the Clinton County Board of Commissioners held in St. Johns, Michigan on July 26, 2005, at 9:00 a.m.

PRESENT: David Pohl, Larry E. Martin, John W. Arehart, Robert E. Showers, Virginia L. Zeeb and Claude A. Vail

ABSENT: Mary Rademacher

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner Showers and supported by Commissioner Zeeb:

WHEREAS, Clinton County ("County") has adopted a Final 911 Service Plan ("Plan") and Plan Service District ("Service District") pursuant to the Emergency Telephone Service Enabling Act, 1986 PA 32, as amended ("Act");

WHEREAS, the Plan Service District is coterminous with the boundaries of Clinton County, and the County stands ready to provide E-911 answering and dispatch services to all portions of the County, even those communities that have opted to serve as primary PSAP;
4. If a service supplier provides telephone services of any kind, including wire-based, VoIP and/or CMRS, to customers within the Service District and if those services will not facilitate three digit 911 service, the provider must place the following warning on each customer billing statement in bold type of at least 12 points in size:

**WARNING**

You cannot use our equipment and services to make 911 emergency calls.
To make a 911 call, you will have use a wireless or landline phone.

5. Because the County serves or is prepared to serve all portions of the County with E-911 answering and dispatch services, all operational surcharge and wireless surcharge funds arising from the County’s landline and wireless residents shall be paid to Clinton County and the Clinton County Board of Commissioners shall appropriate those sums in its sole discretion towards the funding of E-911 answering and dispatch services provided to the County’s residents.

6. This Amendment’s provisions are designed to modify, amend, supersede or replace any inconsistent provisions in the County’s Final 911 Service Plan ("Plan"), and to augment, supplement or add to such Plan provisions that are not in any way inconsistent. To the extent any provision in the Plan is not inconsistent with the provisions of this Amendment, such provision is incorporated by reference, republished and reauthorized as if approved for the first time herein.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that Plan is also amended to reflect the fact that a Notice of Intent to Serve as Primary PSAP is authorized and accepted from Clinton County Central Dispatch, and that the latter shall serve as Primary PSAP for all portions of the Service District, including the portions of the City of East Lansing within Clinton County, unless the Clinton County Clerk receives by August 15, 2005, an executed and authorized Notice of Intent to Serve as Primary PSAP from the City of East Lansing indicating that the dispatch center it operates with Meridian Township will serve as Primary PSAP for this portion of the City of East Lansing, in which event the Plan shall provide that Clinton County Central Dispatch shall serve as Secondary PSAP to this portion of the City of East Lansing and Primary PSAP for all other portions of Clinton County and the Service District.

YEAS: Pohl, Showers, Martin, Vail, Zeeb & Arehart
NAYS: None

**RESOLUTION ADOPTED**

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF CLINTON

I, DIANE ZUKER, Clerk of the County of Clinton do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was duly adopted by the Clinton County Board of Commissioners at their regular meeting held July 26, 2005 and is on file in the records of this office.

Diane Zuker, Clinton County Clerk
Pursuant to Section 307 of the Emergency Telephone Service Enabling Act, the City of East Lansing shall function as a Primary PSAP within the 9-1-1 service district of the tentative 9-1-1 service plan adopted by resolution of the Board of Commissioners of Clinton County on July 26, 2005.

Moved by Council Member Sharp
Seconded by Council Member Singh

YEAS: 5
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: None

Mark Meadows, Mayor
Adopted: August 16, 2005

CLERK’S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a Resolution adopted by the City of East Lansing City Council at its regular meeting held on Tuesday, August 16, 2005, the original of which is part of the Council’s minutes.

Sharon A. Reid, City Clerk
City of East Lansing

Aug 29 '05
Douglas W. Van Essen, Esq.
SILVER & VAN ESSEN
300 Ottawa Avenue N.W., Suite 620
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503

Re: 425 Agreement Between DeWitt Charter Township and City of Lansing

Dear Mr. Van Essen:

With reference to your June 18, 2012 correspondence, I will give you the benefit of the doubt and assume that its tone and content reflects that you were simply having a bad day. However, a few points should be clarified:

1. Your statement that my earlier letter “suggests that DeWitt township apparently isn’t presently concerned about the 911 dispatch first responders to the 425 area . . .” because the Township will only be involved on a mutual aid basis, is a mischaracterization. If you will review my letter, what I actually stated is that because the Township will be responding within the 425 area to request for service on a mutual aid basis only, the Township has no concern regarding which solution [to the 911 dispatch issue] is ultimately reached between the City of Lansing, Clinton County, Ingham County, and Capital Region Airport Authority”; that is, whether CRAA emergency services personnel continue to be dispatched by Clinton County or whether that function is transferred to Ingham County (which dispatches City of Lansing emergency personnel and equipment). Although you fail to mention it in your most recent correspondence, you previously suggested that, while the ultimate solution was being worked out, a temporary accommodation could be made by the use of an “interoperability patch,” contingent upon certain ancillary understandings between the entities involved. Obviously, those entities are the City of Lansing, Clinton County, Ingham County, and, presumably, the CRAA, not DeWitt Charter Township.

2. Although we obviously have a different view, it is my opinion that the City and Township recognized in the 425 Agreement that the CRAA’s own police and fire/emergency personnel are physically on site and would almost certainly be first responders to on-site emergencies. On the other hand, since municipal jurisdiction was transferred to the City of Lansing, its law enforcement and other emergency personnel would also have jurisdiction and could, indeed, be “first responders” as well. In my May 24 correspondence I also expressly recognized that it would be beneficial if CRAA
emergency services and personnel were subject to the same Ingham County 911 services as is the City police department.

3. It was also my understanding, from our earlier discussion, that in view of the foregoing you would be discussing these issues directly with the entities providing primary (as opposed to mutual aid) emergency services. Obviously, you did not attempt to establish any contact or dialog with those entities. As you will recall, I specifically asked that you advise me as to any “further developments as a result of your discussions with the City of Lansing.” It is unclear as to why you would did not initiate that dialog, as opposed to directing your June 18 correspondence to me.

Finally, contrary to your letter, I can assure you that the Township is never “cavalier” about emergency services. If you truly have the same view, I suggest that you call the City of Lansing attorney, Mr. Brigham Smith, or Assistant City Attorney, Donald Kulhanek, at 517-483-4321. I suspect that Ingham County 911 will file the necessary Notice of Intent and initiate other procedures necessary to resolve this matter if requested by the City of Lansing to do so. Hopefully upon reflection you will agree that, inasmuch as DeWitt Charter Township is not located in Ingham County and is not a recipient of Ingham County 911 services, and since the 425 area is now within the jurisdiction of the City of Lansing, the City should be responding to your concerns, and is the entity to request that Ingham County 911 work with Clinton County to accomplish such a transfer or other resolution of issues about which you profess to be concerned.

Very truly yours,

J. Richard Robinson

JRR/mjb
cc: Rodney Taylor, Township Manager, DeWitt Charter Township
    Capital Region Airport Authority
    Brigham Smith, Esq., City Attorney, City of Lansing
    Donald Kulhanek, Esq., Assistant City Attorney, City of Lansing
    Clinton County Board of Commissioners
    Robert Buchanan, Esq. (CRAA attorney)
    Harriet Miller Brown, State 911 Coordinator
    Ingham County Board of Commissioners
June 26, 2012

Mr. J. Richardson Robinson
Thrun Law Firm, P.C.
P.O. Box 2575
East Lansing, MI 48826-2575

Re: 425 Agreement with Lansing

Dear Mr. Robinson:

I wrote you a letter on June 18th as a courtesy because you have been writing to me and because you requested that I provide the information provided therein as to how dispatch was handled in previous annexations and 425 settings. I apologize for any offense in my correspondence. None was intended, but I obviously tapped into some sensitivity.

As you know, 911 dispatch involves more than just the radio communications between a dispatcher and first responder. It also involves the phone companies knowing where to direct the infrastructure of the telephone lines so that the call ends up in a particular dispatch center. The interoperability of the radio patch only affects the ability of Lansing to dispatch CRAA’s units using the Clinton County 800 MHz system. It does nothing to get the call to Ingham County Central Dispatch, which was the point of my correspondence.

425 Agreement or no 425 Agreement, the phone companies must follow the Clinton County 911 Plan which physically directs the three digit lines. You have been clear that the DeWitt Charter Township is not going to request an amendment of Clinton County’s 911 Plan to implement the 425 Agreement’s direction that 911 dispatch is to be switched from Clinton to Ingham Counties. I repeat that at this point, no one from Ingham County has contacted Clinton County to set that “ball” in motion and only Ingham County has the capacity to file the requisite notice of intent to serve as primary PSAP. Until that happens, there is nothing Clinton County can do to implement your 425 Agreement.

As I said in my letter, I have discussed this matter with Robert Buchanan, attorney with CRAA. He confirmed what you advised me; namely, that CRAA was not driving or necessarily even in favor of the 425 Agreement, nor the switch from Clinton County Dispatch (which figures prominently into its disaster planning) to Ingham County Dispatch. And, CRAA has no more ability to effectuate a dispatch switch than the City of Lansing or DeWitt Charter Township.
All that Clinton County can do right now is point out the fact that Ingham County Central Dispatch has not filed a notice of intent to serve as primary PSAP for the 425 area and until that happens, Clinton County Central Dispatch will and must provide the 911 dispatch for the 425 area despite the language of your 425 Agreement. If the Charter Township of DeWitt and/or the City of Lansing are fine with that, that is fine with Clinton County. If the either are not fine with that and wish to implement this provision of your 425 Agreement, we expect that one or the other will take the matter up with Ingham County, and its Dispatch Center will file the statutory request and at that point, Clinton County will take up the issue. This should not be complicated nor confrontational.

Very truly yours,

SILVER & VANESSEN, P.C.

Douglas W. Van Essen

Cc w/enc: Clinton County Board of Commissioners
Brigham Smith, Esq. (Lansing City Attorney)
Robert Buchanan, Esq (CRAA Attorney)
Harriett Miller Brown, State 911 Coordinator
Ingham County Board of Commissioners
The Charter Township of DeWitt