CHAIRPERSON VICTOR CELENTINO

VICE-CHAIRPERSON BRIAN McGRAIN

VICE-CHAIRPERSON PRO-TEM RANDY MAIVILLE

LAWAND COURTS COMMITTEE
REBECCA BAHAR-COOK, CHAIR
KARA HOPE
BRYAN CRENSHAW
VICTOR CELENTINO
PENELOPE TSERNOGLOU
RANDY SCHAFER
RANDY MAIVILLE

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

P.O. Box 319, Mason, Michigan 48854 Telephone (517) 676-7200 Fax (517) 676-7264

THE LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 2014 AT 6:00 P.M., IN THE PERSONNEL CONFERENCE ROOM (D & E), HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING.

Agenda

Call to Order Approval of the May 29, 2014 Minutes Additions to the Agenda Limited Public Comment

- 1. <u>Interviews</u> Ingham County Family Center Advisory Board
- 2. Sheriff's Office
 - Resolution to Allow the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Enter into an Interlocal Agreement with the City of Lansing and City of East Lansing for the 2014 Local Jag Grant
 - b. Resolution to Honor Deputy Raymond Bollis of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office
- 3. <u>Circuit Court</u> Circuit Court Administrator Shauna Dunnings <u>Update</u> on the Court of Claims move to the Court of Appeals

Announcements Public Comment Adjournment

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING

The County of Ingham will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting for the visually impaired, for individuals with disabilities at the meeting upon five (5) working days notice to the County of Ingham. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Ingham in writing or by calling the following: Ingham County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 319, Mason, MI 48854 Phone: (517) 676-7200. A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance at this meeting. Meeting information is also available on line at www.ingham.org.

LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE

May 29, 2014 Draft – Minutes

Members Present: Rebecca Bahar-Cook, Victor Celentino, Bryan Crenshaw, Kara Hope,

Randy Maiville, Randy Schafer, and Penelope Tsernoglou

Members Absent: None.

Others Present: Ryan Buck, Sara Deprez, Annette Ellison, Commissioner Dianne Holman,

Robert Hotchkiss, Scott LeRoy, John Neilsen, Dara Psarouthakis, Allan

Spyke, Chris Seeley, Jennifer Shuster, and others.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bahar-Cook at 6:00 p.m. in the Personnel Conference Room "D & E" of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar Street, Lansing, Michigan.

Approval of the May 15, 2014 Minutes

MOVED BY COMM. SCHAFER, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MAY 15, 2014 LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE MEETING AS PRESENTED.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Additions to the Agenda

None.

Limited Public Comment

None.

1. <u>Circuit Court/Friend of the Court</u> - Resolution to Authorize a Time Extension for the Safe Haven Supervised Visitation and Safe Exchange Grant Program and Subcontracts

MOVED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CELENTINO, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A TIME EXTENSION FOR THE SAFE HAVEN SUPERVISED VISITATION AND SAFE EXCHANGE GRANT PROGRAM AND SUBCONTRACTS.

Robert Hotchkiss, Assistant Friend of the Court-Legal, presented the Safe Haven grant program. He provided the Committee with the grant budget that was approved by the Department of Justice. Hotchkiss stated that all county expenditures are reimbursed by the Department of Justice.

There was no discussion.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

2. <u>Prosecuting Attorney</u> - Resolution to Approve a Temporary Prosecutor's Warrant Clerk and the Transfer of Prosecuting Attorney Funds

MOVED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, SUPPORTED BY COMM. HOPE, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A TEMPORARY PROSECUTOR'S WARRANT CLERK AND THE TRANSFER OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEY FUNDS.

There was no discussion.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

3. <u>Controller's Office</u> - Resolution to (Approve or Decline) the City of Lansing's Request for the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Provide Traffic Enforcement Services through the Secondary Road Patrol P.A. 416 Grant Program

MOVED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, SUPPORTED BY COMM. HOPE, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO (APPROVE OR DECLINE) THE CITY OF LANSING'S REQUEST FOR THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO PROVIDE TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT SERVICES THROUGH THE SECONDARY ROAD PATROL P.A. 416 GRANT PROGRAM.

Discussion.

Commissioner Schafer stated that he will be voting no on the resolution because of the limited number of personnel available elsewhere.

Commissioner Maiville stated that he will be voting no on the resolution because with the cuts to the out-county road patrols already in place, there is basically nothing left of the Sheriff's Department road patrol. He further stated that he would like to vote yes on the resolution and stated that to vote yes is a symbolic gesture of cooperation, but with what has been done, there will be nothing left for out-county. He further stated that there was a fight at the Lansing Center which had Lansing, East Lansing, Michigan State University, and Eaton County police forces responding, but there was no Ingham County Sheriff's Department presence because there were not enough personnel. Commissioner Maiville stated that if the Committee is going to vote yes on the grant program, the Committee should work to fully fund the Sheriff's Department.

Commissioner Celentino asked Undersheriff Allan Spyke that if Lansing had requested a Sheriff's Deputy to patrol a road under the grant and the Sheriff's Department facilitated the request, could the Sheriff's Department then pull the Deputy to respond to a Sheriff's Department issue.

Undersheriff Spyke answered that it would be up to the Sheriff's Department as to when they would send patrols and the amount of assistance it would provide. He further stated that the amount of assistance the Sheriff's Department could provide was negligible because of the

Department's limited funds. Undersheriff Spike stated that often there is only one "416 deputy on duty on any given shift."

Commissioner Celentino stated that the Committee should listen to Undersheriff Spyke. He further stated that there is enough flexibility in this where we can protect our interests. Commissioner Celentino stated that if there was not enough availability, there would be no "416 patrol."

Undersheriff Spyke stated that the Sheriff's Department has requested funding for additional staff in its 2015 budget request. He further stated that the Department and Lansing already cooperate extensively.

Commissioner Tsernoglou asked whether the grant would have any impact on services, and what Undersheriff Spyke's personal opinion was on the matter.

Undersheriff Spyke answered that there would be an impact on services because Sheriff's Department is already a small force. He further stated there are thirteen regular deputies and four "PA 416" deputies, and the seventeen total deputies are not enough for the county given its size. Undersheriff Spyke stated that taking any deputy out of the mix would be one less deputy writing a traffic ticket or arresting a drunk driver. He further stated that he would not speak to his personal beliefs on the subject.

Commissioner Tsernoglou asked whether there would be an impact on Lansing.

Undersheriff Spyke answered that the impact would be negligible because the Sheriff's Department has little time to dedicate to Lansing.

Commissioner Schafer stated that his concern is if the situation continues in Lansing, there is no response available to out-county. He further stated that he supported a .65 mill ballot initiative to fund the Sheriff's Department, but it did not make it to the ballot. Commissioner Schafer stated that this is mostly a political maneuver.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook asked whether the Sheriff's Department would respond to a train derailment in the out-county.

Undersheriff Spyke answered that the Department would respond.

Commissioner Celentino stated that Lansing is merely taking advantage of a benefit afforded to it.

MOTION CARRIED. **Yeas**: Bahar-Cook, Celentino, Crenshaw, Hope, and Tsernoglou. **Nays**: Maiville and Schafer.

John Neilsen, Deputy Chief Controller, requested clarification on the name of the resolution along with the language inside the resolution, specifically whether the title of the resolution is "RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE CITY OF LANSING'S REQUEST FOR THE INGHAM

COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO PROVIDE TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT SERVICES THROUGH THE SECONARY ROAD PATROL P.A. 416 GRANT PROGRAM" and the resolution language states, "THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the City of Lansing request as outlined in Resolution #2014-152 effective June 11, 2014."

Chairperson Bahar-Cook answered that the resolution would read as Mr. Neilsen had stated.

4. Circuit Court/Family Division

a. Juvenile Sex Offender Program Presentation by Dr. Dara Psarouthakis, Annette Ellison and Sara Deprez (*No Materials*)

Maureen Winslow, Deputy Court Administrator, introduced the Ingham County Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment program. Ms. Winslow introduced Dara Psarouthakis, Ph.D., Court Psychologist, Sara Deprez, Juvenile Services Director, and Annette Ellison, Clinical Social Worker-HALT Program.

Ms. Deprez presented on the youth served by the sex offender program and its savings to the county.

Dr. Psarouthakis presented on the program's psychological evaluation of sex offenders, including its purpose, what is being assessed, and different measures that are employed to evaluate.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook asked what the difference was between "opportunity" and "predatory" sex offending.

Dr. Psarouthakis stated that opportunity reflects a history of abuse against the juvenile offender, which operates as a trigger to offend. She further stated that predator offending is the result of sociopathic tendencies like the willingness to prey on others and aggression. Dr. Psarouthakis stated that there is possible to have a mix of the two as well.

Commissioner Schafer asked what types of CSC the program handles.

Ms. Deprez stated that the most common types are CSC 1 and CSC 2, however CSC 4 does occur as well.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked what JSOP looks at.

Ms. Deprez stated that there are four elements, two of which are static, for example the number of occurrences, and the other two are dynamic.

Commissioner Schafer asked whether the program considers the impact on the victim.

Dr. Psarouthakis stated that she looks at the police report and forensic report.

Ms. Deprez also stated that the Court's Advocate looks into the victim's impact. She also stated that there is often a familial overlap between offender and victim.

Ms. Ellison presented on the Healthy Attitudes and Lifestyles for Teens program (HALT), including stating goals and treatment targets, the four step process, and the STRIVE mentoring program.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked what would happen if a juvenile does not want to open up.

Ms. Ellison stated that this happens all the time because the juvenile is afraid of how they are seen. She further stated that other more experienced group members can coax new members into sharing and participating.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked how the group was organized.

Ms. Ellison responded that the group is a mix of juveniles from each step in the process.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook left at 6:50 p.m.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked what the average rate of juveniles coming back into system was.

Ms. Ellison answered that she does not keep statistics because there are too many factors.

Commission Schafer asked whether there is a group for boy victims.

Ms. Ellison answered that boy victims are also in the HALT program because they are frequently offenders too.

Ms. Deprez presented on recidivism.

Chris Seelye, STRIVE Program Co-Director, presented on the successes of the STRIVE program.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked about the issue of mentors dropping out.

Mr. Seelye stated that there are few drop outs because mentors sign an extensive agreement so they know what they are getting into. He further stated that if they feel that mentor is not meeting the challenge he or she is presented with, the mentor will be asked to leave.

b. Quarterly Report

Ms. Winslow, Ms. Deprez, and Scott LeRoy, Juvenile Programs Director addressed the Committee and distributed a hard copy of the quarterly data report for the Family Division of the Ingham County Circuit Court covering the time period of January 1, 2014 to March 31, 2014.

Ms. Deprez stated that nothing has really changed from the last quarterly report.

Mr. LeRoy extended invitations to attend the Ingham Academy graduation ceremony on July 23, at 2:00 p.m. He stated that official invitations will be mailed next week. Mr. LeRoy stated that he is expecting five students to graduate. He further stated that two students would unlikely graduate as of now because one is AWOL and the other committed a big offense.

Mr. LeRoy also announced that the Garden Gala will be on July 24 at 6:00 p.m.

Commissioner Crenshaw thanked Ms. Deprez and all the other presenters for the program.

Announcements

None.

Public Comment

None.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 7:27 p.m.

JUNE 12, 2014 LAW & COURTS AGENDA STAFF REVIEW SUMMARY

RESOLUTION ACTION ITEMS:

The Chief Deputy Controller is recommending approval of the following resolutions/actions:

- Law & Courts Committee- Interviews for Ingham County Family Center Advisory Board
 Committee interviews and potential appointment
- 2a. <u>Sheriff's Office</u> Resolution to Allow the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Enter into an Interlocal Agreement with the City of Lansing and City of East Lansing for the 2014 Local JAG Grant
 - This resolution would authorize entering into the Interlocal agreement between Ingham County, the City of Lansing, and the City of East Lansing to accept the \$108,195 allocated portion of the 2014 Local JAG grant. The Lansing Police Department, with their portion of the 2014 Local JAG grant, will voluntarily transfer to the Ingham County Sheriff's Office \$5,000.00 to be used for technology upgrades. The County would not have received any funds again this year under the proposed 2014 JAG grant because the formula requires a certain threshold of serious crime in the Sheriff's Office area of primary jurisdiction. Nonetheless, the County is still required to enter into this agreement and we have done so in previous grant cycles. The City of Lansing voluntarily authorized \$5,000 for the FY 2013 grant year as well for Deputy overtime for "Regional Policing Projects." (see attached memo for details)
- 2b. <u>Sheriff's Office</u> Resolution to Honor Deputy Raymond Bollis of the Ingham County Sheriff's OfficeThis is a Resolution to honor a retired county employee

Discussion items:

3. <u>Circuit Court</u> – Circuit Court Administrator Shauna Dunnings - Update on the Court of Claims move to the Court of Appeals

This is a discussion item on the impacts of the 2013 legislation to move the Court of Claims from Ingham County to the Court of Appeals. (see attached material)

Agenda Item 2a

TO: Law & Courts Committee

Finance Committee

FROM: Major Joel Maatman

DATE: May 27, 2014

RE: 2014 Local JAG Grant

This is a resolution requesting the Ingham County Sheriff's Office be allowed to enter into an Interlocal Agreement with the City of Lansing and City of East Lansing for the 2014 Local JAG Grant.

The Lansing Police Department with their portion of the 2014 Local JAG grant will voluntarily transfer to the Ingham County Sheriff's Office \$5,000.00 to be used for technology upgrades.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO ALLOW THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO ENTER INTO AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF LANSING AND CITY OF EAST LANSING FOR THE 2014 LOCAL JAG GRANT

WHEREAS, the City of Lansing Police Department, City of East Lansing Police Department, and the Ingham County Sheriff's Office were allocated \$108,195.00 from the 2014 Local JAG grant from the Department of Justice; and

WHEREAS, the City of Lansing is the fiduciary of this grant; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office portion allocated from this grant is \$0.00 for 2014 due to a lack of violent crime data for 2013; and

WHEREAS, as part of the application process to receive this funding from the 2014 Local JAG grant the Ingham County Sheriff's Office must enter into a Interlocal agreement with the City of Lansing and East Lansing allowing for disbursement of allocated funds to both government police agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Lansing Police Department, with their portion of the 2014 Local JAG grant, will transfer to the Ingham County Sheriff's Office \$5,000.00 to be used for technology upgrades.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into the Interlocal agreement between Ingham County, the City of Lansing, and the City of East Lansing to accept the \$108,195.00 allocated portion of the 2014 Local JAG grant for the time period of July 1, 2014 through September 30, 2017.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Lansing Police Department will voluntarily allocate, from their portion of the 2014 Local JAG grant, \$5,000.00 to the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to be used for technology upgrades.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Controller is authorized to make the necessary adjustments to the 2014-2017 Sheriff's Office budgets consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson and Sheriff to sign any necessary contract/subcontract documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

Agenda Item 2b

TO: Law & Courts Committee

FROM: Major Sam L. Davis

DATE: June 2, 2014

RE: Retirement resolution for Deputy Raymond Bollis

<u>Summary of Proposed Action:</u> This resolution will honor Deputy Raymond Bollis of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office for dedicating 25 years of his career of combined services with the Ingham County Sheriff's Office Corrections Division and the Ingham County Road Commission. He served the citizens of Ingham County with the highest possible standards while respecting the right of all people.

Introduced by the Law and Courts Committee:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO HONOR DEPUTY RAYMOND BOLLIS OF THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

WHEREAS, Raymond Bollis was hired by the Ingham County Road Commission in November of 1988; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Raymond Bollis was hired by the Ingham County Sheriff's Office as a Deputy in 2001 and was assigned to the Corrections Housing Section; and

WHEREAS, when Deputy Bollis was assigned to the Housing Section he performed a variety of tasks and numerous responsibilities within the Ingham County Jail; and

WHEREAS, in May of 2003 Deputy Bollis was selected as a Correction Training Officer (CTO) where he has been responsible for training many new Deputies who were assigned to the jail; and

WHEREAS, in August of 2004 Deputy Bollis was assigned to a Post #10 Specialty Assignment where inmates on work release are housed and while there he was responsible for tracking work release, verification of employment, wages and collecting room and board fees; and

WHEREAS, in March of 2009 Deputy Bollis, along with the other Deputies assigned to Post #10, assumed additional responsibilities of confirming warrants and doing LEIN checks; and

WHEREAS, during his career, because of his professionalism, dedication to and knowledge of the Ingham County Jail, Deputy Bollis was a "go to person" for the Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, throughout his career Deputy Bollis was a dedicated deputy taking on numerous assignments, always with an eye to ensuring the Corrections Division was a safe working environment; and

WHEREAS, over his 13 year career with the Ingham County Sheriff's Office Deputy Raymond Bollis received many commendations including letters of Praise, Recognition and Appreciation in addition to Unit Citations and a Professional Excellence and Meritorious Service award from the Ingham County Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Bollis received a special appreciation award from Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD); and

WHEREAS, Deputy Bollis was a volunteer member of Ingham County Heavy Rescue Squad for several years; and

WHEREAS, after 25 years of dedicated service to the citizens of Ingham County Deputy Raymond Bollis retired on February 19, 2014.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Deputy Raymond Bollis for his 25 years of dedicated combined service to the citizens of Ingham County and wishes him continued success in all of his future endeavors.

MEMORANDUM

TO: LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE

FROM: SHAUNA DUNNINGS, CIRCUIT COURT ADMINISTRATOR

DATE: JUNE 5, 2014

RE: UPDATE ON THE COURT OF CLAIMS MOVING TO THE

COURT OF APPEALS

CC: HON. JANELL LAWLESS, CHIEF CIRCUIT JUDGE

Effective November 12, 2013 jurisdiction of the Court of Claims was transferred from the Thirtieth Circuit Court to the Michigan Court of Appeals pursuant to PA 164 of 2013. At the time the legislation was enacted, the Court of Appeals did not have the infrastructure in place to accept the Court of Claims cases.

Pursuant to Ingham County Board of Commissioners Resolution #13-451 the Circuit Court worked cooperatively with the Michigan Court of Appeals during the transition process. The transition period was from November 12, 2013 to February 12, 2014.

In November 2013 and January 2014 the Circuit Court entered into Letters of Agreement with the Michigan Court of Appeals and the State Court Administrative Office to be reimbursed for the costs associated with providing case processing support to the Court of Claims. On April 3, 2014 the State Court Administrative Office and the Court of Claims agreed to reimburse Ingham County \$32,772.50 to cover the costs associated with providing case processing support for the Court of Claims from November 12, 2013 through February 12, 2014.

In 2013 an average of 40 Court of Claims cases were disposed of each quarter which represented an average of 2.22% of all of the Circuit Court cases disposed during the same period of time. (See attached)

I will be in attendance at the June 12, 2014 Law and Courts Committee meeting.

Court of Claims/State Litigation Reimbursement Request

This claim for reimbursement is submitted as provided in MCLA 600.6401 et seq., which places the function of the Michigan Court of Claims with the 30th Judicial Circuit Court for the State of Michigan, and authorizes reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs incurred from the State of Michigan to the County of Ingham (MCLA 600.6413). This claim for reimbursement is also submitted as provided in MCLA 800.451 et seq. that specifically names the Ingham County Circuit Court as the court of proper jurisdiction in certain State related matters and therefore provides for reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs pursuant to MCLA 800.453.

 Salaries
 \$ 628,287.16

 Fringe Benefits
 \$ 310,833.61

 Contractual Services, Supplies, Materials (including postage)
 \$ 510,858.00

 Total Expenditures
 \$ 1,449,978.77

 Less Court Revenue
 \$ 110,564.96

 NET EXPENDITURES
 \$ 1,339,413.81

1685

42

2.49%

81

4.81%

30th Circuit Court/Court of Claims

Ingham County Controller

\$33,351,40

REIMBURSEMENT PERIOD: January 1, through March 31, 2013

30th Circuit Quarterly Expenditures:

Total Ingham Circuit Court cases disposed of this quarter**

Total Court of Claims cases disposed of/joined this quarter**

Percentage of Court of Claims cases to Circuit Court cases

COURT OF CLAIMS REIMBURSMENT REQUEST

Total State Litigation cases disposed of this quarter**

Percentage of State Litigation cases to Circuit Court cases

STATE LITIGATION REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST

(line 3 multiplied by line 9) \$ 64,425,80

TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTED \$97,777.20

All reported expenditures and revenues must be directly or indirectly related to the activities of the Court of Claims and State Litigation. A worksheet supporting reported expenditures and revenues should be

**A listing of applicable case numbers should be available for audit or upon request from the State Court Administrative Office:

available for audit purposes and traceable to the accounting records in Ingham County.

Submitted by:

MPR 2 4 2019)

(line 3 multiplied by line 6)

Date: 4/19/23

Jan-Mar Bing.xis

Court of Claims/State Litigation Reimbursement Request

This claim for reimbursement is submitted as provided in MCLA 600.6401 et seq., which places the function of the Michigan Court of Claims with the 30th Judicial Circuit Court for the State of Michigan, and authorizes reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs incurred from the State of Michigan to the County of Ingham (MCLA 600.6413). This claim for reimbursement is also submitted as provided in MCLA 800.451 et. seq, that specifically names the Ingham County Circuit Court as the court of proper jurisdiction in certain State related matters and therefore provides for reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs pursuant to MCLA 800.453.

REIMBURSEMENT PERIOD: April 1, through June 30, 2013

REIMBURSEMENT PERIOD: April 1, through Ju	une 30, 2013	
30th Circuit Quarterly Expenditures:		
Salaries	\$ 624,067.64	
Fringe Benefits	\$ 310,264.40	
Contractual Services, Supplies, Materials (Including postage)	\$ 666,494.09	
Total Expenditures		\$ 1,600,826.13
Less Court Revenue		\$ 114,589.95
NET EXPENDITURES		\$ 1,486,236.18
Total Ingham Circuit Court cases disposed of this quarter**	1838	
Total Court of Claims cases disposed of/joined this quarter**	47	o general samue kada dhahaman ki kalaba sugakkan a aba kiyaki kiyaki kiyaki ki
Percentage of Court of Claims cases to Circuit Court cases	2.56%	
COURT OF CLAIMS REIMBURSMENT REQUEST (line 3 multiplied by line 6)		\$38,047.65
Total State Litigation cases disposed of this quarter**	83	
Percentage of State Litigation cases to Circuit Court cases	4.52%	
STATE LITIGATION REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST line 3 multiplied by line 9)		\$ 67,177.88
TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTED		\$105,225.53

All reported expenditures and revenues must be directly or indirectly related to the activities of the Court of Claims and State Litigation. A worksheet supporting reported expenditures and revenues should be available for audit purposes and traceable to the accounting records in Ingham County.

**A listing of applicable case numbers should be available for audit or upon request from the State Court Administrative Office.

Submitted by:

Date:

Date: 7/18/13

1/1/2

Ingham County Controller

AMENDED Court of Claims/State Litigation Reimbursement Request

This claim for reimbursement is submitted as provided in MCLA 600.6401 et seq., which places the function of the Michigan Court of Claims with the 30th Judicial Circuit Court for the State of Michigan, and authorizes reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs incurred from the State of Michigan to the County of Ingham (MCLA 600.6413). This claim for reimbursement is also submitted as provided in MCLA 800.451 et. seq, that specifically names the Ingham County Circuit Court as the court of proper jurisdiction in certain State related matters and therefore provides for reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs pursuant to MCLA 800.453.

REIMBURSEMENT PERIOD: July 1, through September 30, 2013

		\$124,293.60
STATE LITIGATION REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST line 3 multiplied by line 9)		\$ 77,109 <i>.</i> 53
Percentage of State Litigation cases to Circuit Court cases	4.87%	
Total State Litigation cases disposed of this quarter**	93	
COURT OF CLAIMS REIMBURSMENT REQUEST		\$47,184.07
Percentage of Court of Claims cases to Circuit Court cases	2.98%	
Total Court of Claims cases disposed of/joined this quarter**	57	
Total Ingham Circuit Court cases disposed of this quarter**	1910	
NET EXPENDITURES		\$ 1,583,357.95
Less Court Revenue		\$ 240,507.77
Total Expenditures		\$ 1,823,865.72
Contractual Services, Supplies, Materials (including postage)	\$ 695,640.93	
Fringe Benefits	\$ 340,607.08	
Salaries	\$ 787,617.71	
30th Circuit Quarterly Expenditures;		

All reported expenditures and revenues must be directly or indirectly related to the activities of the Court of Claims and State Litigation. A worksheet supporting reported expenditures and revenues should be available for audit purposes and traceable to the accounting records in Ingham County.

Ingham County Controller

Submitted by:

Date: 0-14-14

Date: 2/11/14

^{**}A listing of applicable case numbers should be available for audit or upon request from the State Court Administrative Office.

Court of Claims/State Litigation Reimbursement Request

This claim for reimbursement is submitted as provided in MCLA 600.6401 et seq., which places the function of the Michigan Court of Claims with the 30th Judicial Circuit Court for the State of Michigan, and authorizes reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs incurred from the State of Michigan to the County of Ingham (MCLA 600.6413). This claim for reimbursement is also submitted as provided in MCLA 800.451 et. seq; that specifically names the Ingham County Circuit Court as the court of proper jurisdiction in certain State related matters and therefore provides for reimbursement of reasonable and actual costs pursuant to MCLA 800.453.

REIMBURSEMENT PERIOD: October 1, through December 31, 2013.

TOTAL REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTED		\$100,065.30
STATE LITIGATION REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST line 3 multiplied by line 9)		\$ 87,557.14
Percentage of State Litigation cases to Circuit Court cases	5.81%	
Total State Litigation cases disposed of this quarter**	98	
COURT OF CLAIMS REIMBURSMENT REQUEST		\$12,508.10
Percentage of Court of Claims cases to Circuit Court cases	0.83%	
Total Court of Claims cases disposed of/joined this quarter**	14	
Total Ingham Circuit Court cases disposed of this quarter**	1687	
NET EXPENDITURES		\$ 1,507,007.59
Less Court Revenue		\$ 176,089.37
Total Expenditures		\$ 1,683,096.96
Contractual Services, Supplies, Materials (including postage)	\$ 654,986.35	
Fringe Benefits	\$ 370,020.46	
Salaries	\$ 658,090.15	
30th Circuit Quarterly Expenditures:		

All reported expenditures and revenues must be directly or indirectly related to the activities of the Court of Claims and State Litigation. A worksheet supporting reported expenditures and revenues should be available for audit purposes and traceable to the accounting records in Ingham County.

**A listing of applicable case numbers should be available for audit or upon request from the State Court Administrative Office.

Ingham County Controller

Submitted by:

Date: 2.19-14

Date: 2/18/14

4thq2013.xls