

## INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS



CHAIRPERSON  
RYAN SEBOLT

VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
CHRIS TRUBAC

VICE-CHAIRPERSON PRO-TEM  
RANDY MAIVILLE

P.O. Box 319,  
Mason, Michigan 48854  
Telephone (517) 676-7200  
Fax (517) 676-7264

BOARD LEADERSHIP WILL MEET ON MONDAY, AUGUST 21, 2023 AT 6:00 PM IN CONFERENCE ROOM A OF THE HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING. A VIRTUAL LINK HAS BEEN PROVIDED FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AT <https://ingham.zoom.us/j/86246962326>.

### Agenda

Call to Order  
Approval of the [May 25, 2023](#) Minutes  
Additions to the Agenda  
Limited Public Comment

1. Review of Controller's Recommended Budget
2. [Millages](#)
3. Long Term Ingham County Justice System

Public Comment  
Adjournment

**A QUORUM OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS WILL BE IN ATTENDANCE**

## BOARD LEADERSHIP MEETING

May 25, 2023

Draft Minutes

Members Present: Cahill, Celentino, Grebner, Johnson, Lawrence (Arrived at 6:00 p.m.), Maiville (Left at 6:32 p.m.), Morgan, Pawar, Peña, Polsdofer, Ruest, Schafer, Sebolt, Trubac (Arrived at 6:07 p.m.), and Tennis.

Members Absent: None.

Others Present: Gregg Todd, Becky Bennett, Brad Funkhouser, Andrew Brieschke, Nathan Triplett, Scott LeRoy, Annette Ellison, Fred Wurtzel, Donna Rose, Madison Hughes, and others.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Sebolt at 6:00 p.m. in Conference Room A of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar Street, Lansing, Michigan. Virtual Public participation was offered via Zoom at <https://ingham.zoom.us/j/89160266022>.

Commissioner Lawrence arrived at 6:00 p.m.

Chairperson Sebolt reminded those present that Board Leadership meetings were a chance for the Board of Commissioners to come together and discuss issues. He further stated that it was an open meeting, but there would be no votes or decisions.

Approval of the January 30, 2023 Minutes

CHAIRPERSON SEBOLT STATED, WITHOUT OBJECTION, THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 30, 2023 BOARD LEADERSHIP MEETING WERE APPROVED. Absent:  
Commissioner Trubac

### Additions to the Agenda

None.

### Limited Public Comment

Donna Rose, City of Lansing resident, stated she wished to express her gratitude for the decent services that were provided and that she did not want to seem ungrateful for wanting the services modernized. She further stated she believed modernizing some of the services would be beneficial.

Ms. Rose stated many things could be done by Capital Area Transportation Authority (CATA) with Spec-Tran to make the services easier to use. She further stated, when she was on the CATA Board, she helped to choose Brad Funkhouser, CATA Executive Director/CEO, for his position as CEO because she believed he would think outside of the box, which he does.

Ms. Rose stated she believed Mr. Funkhouser would do what he put his mind to but there must be the will to provide the changes needed.

Fred Wurtzel, National Federation of the Blind Capital Region Chapter President, stated the National Federation of the Blind was the oldest and largest organization of blind people and was founded in 1940. He further stated they had an accumulation of a great amount of information regarding how blind people do things.

Mr. Wurtzel stated he believed something was misunderstood on behalf of Ingham County or CATA, as there was a double standard for serving people with disabilities. He further stated, if an individual had a disability and wanted to speak during public comment at a CATA meeting, they would have to have someone else read their comment, which was not good.

Mr. Wurtzel stated CATA also had two different policies for serving the public through SpecTran; one level of service was for individuals with disabilities who would order a ride one day in advance and a separate level for people who did not use Spec-Tran but could get the same-day service. He further stated the two levels clearly set up a double standard.

Mr. Wurtzel stated he knew a number of people involved in leadership and did not believe any of them would agree that there could be a double standard toward people with disabilities. He further asked for those present to search out a way to provide all of the services in an equitable and fair way.

Mr. Wurtzel stated his agreement with Ms. Rose and stated the services were timely, comfortable, and the drivers were very nice and he was not objecting to that. He further stated he wished to try and achieve equity in the system and wished for the policies to be reviewed through a lens of equity.

Ms. Rose thanked the Board of Commissioners for inviting her back to discuss this topic. She further stated, if an individual rode the bus, they would know when to get on and off the bus and that model was being requested to request a Spec-Tran same-day if needed.

Ms. Rose stated denying a request because it was not made one day before should not happen and was not what was wanted. She further stated the public wanted services that other areas are providing, even if it was an additional service added on that charged a little more.

Ms. Rose stated everyone on CATA's administration had good imaginations, which she knew because she was on the CATA Board for four years, and they should be able to come up with some solution.

Commissioner Trubac arrived at 6:07 p.m.

Ms. Rose asked for CATA help to come up with something better. She further stated she knew that money was available and that should be done for people.

#### Discussion Regarding the Capital Area Transportation Authority

Mr. Funkhouser, Andrew Brieschke, CATA Deputy CEO, and Nathan Triplett, CATA Board Chairperson, introduced themselves to the Board of Commissioners.

Mr. Funkhouser thanked Gregg Todd, Ingham County Controller, for the invitation to come and discuss this important topic. He further stated there was a lot going on at CATA and he understood there were questions.

Mr. Funkhouser stated his agreement with Mr. Wurtzel and the National Federation of the Blind, as CATA maintained a great relationship with the National Federation of the Blind over the past four years. He further stated the National Federation of the Blind had assisted with various projects in the past and he respected their critical comments and took them seriously.

Mr. Funkhouser stated they do not always come to the same conclusion, but they respect that. He further stated Ms. Rose was correct that there was some confusion on the services CATA provided and he was hoping to shed some light on that today.

Mr. Brieschke thanked those present for allowing them the opportunity to discuss this topic. He further stated, for context, Paratransit was essentially an umbrella term for all demand response public transit services and was not specifically restricted to individuals with disabilities.

Mr. Brieschke stated there was a service called General Public Paratransit that was open to anyone in need, and not just those with disabilities. He further provided an overview of the Paratransit presentation to the Board of Commissioners.

The presentation was included in the minutes as Attachment A.

Commissioner Lawrence asked what was meant by Mr. Brieschke when he stated CATA had a very forgiving service animal policy.

Discussion ensued regarding CATA's forgiving service animal policy.

Commissioner Lawrence stated individuals had mentioned a price difference between the SpecTran and an Uber. She further asked about the large difference from Ingham County's Spec-Tran miles and ridership compared to that of Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Discussion ensued regarding the large difference in ridership and miles between Ingham County and Ann Arbor's Spec-Tran services and the possible reasons for the price differences between Spec-Tran and Uber.

Commissioner Lawrence asked for further information regarding CATA's accessible vehicles.

Discussion ensued regarding CATA's grey vans and other accessible vehicles.

Commissioner Lawrence expressed her appreciation that CATA could offer additional layers of extra flexibility with their services. She further asked what it might take to be able to offer sameday services.

Discussion ensued regarding the complexities to CATA providing same-day services to individuals.

Commissioner Celentino asked for clarification regarding CATA Board's public comment policy during their meetings.

Discussion ensued regarding the CATA Board meetings, specifically their public comment portion.

Commissioner Cahill asked why an individual waiting for any Paratransit services could not have the option to know when their ride was coming.

Discussion ensued regarding technology improvements that would introduce a blind-friendly phone application called MyRideCATA to allow that service.

Commissioner Cahill stated Paratransit bus drivers wanted to be included in surveys in the future.

Discussion ensued regarding future surveys for Paratransit services.

Commissioner Cahill stated the City Pulse article for the current week seemed intriguing and she hoped that would occur.

Mr. Funkhouser thanked Commissioner Cahill for her comment and expressed his excitement.

Commissioner Maiville left at 6:32 p.m.

Commissioner Ruest asked if the MyRideCATA application could work like the Uber system by notifying the riders as the ride was approaching and would assist riders to not have to stand outside in the rain while waiting.

Further discussion regarding how the MyRideCATA phone application would assist where needed.

Commissioner Lawrence asked if there were ever any individuals that would qualify for more than one Paratransit service and how that might work.

Discussion ensued regarding individuals qualifying for more than one Paratransit service and providing choices to those who need it.

Commissioner Lawrence asked what the relationship between CATA and the Lansing School District looked like.

Discussion ensued regarding CATA's good relationship with the Lansing School District.

Commissioner Johnson asked how many Paratransit rides had no-shows.

Discussion ensued regarding rider no-shows, cancellations and missed trips on Paratransit services.

Commissioner Johnson asked what a typical Paratransit ride might look like.

Discussion ensued regarding the different variables that might impact any typical Paratransit ride.

Commissioner Johnson asked how Mr. Funkhouser's relationship was with Mr. Wurtzel, since Mr. Wurtzel had spoken at the Board of Commissioners' meetings several times now.

Discussion ensued regarding Mr. Funkhouser's good relationship and history with Mr. Wurtzel and the National Federation of the Blind.

Commissioner Johnson asked what the LAC stood for.

Mr. Funkhouser stated LAC stood for Local Advisory Committee. He further stated he respected the individuals who spoke to the Board of Commissioners greatly and was thankful for the valuable information.

Commissioner Morgan stated an individual had disclosed previously that a ride service in Ann Arbor did offer same-day services.

Mr. Funkhouser stated confirmation.

Commissioner Morgan asked how it was impossible to do in Ingham County then.

Discussion ensued regarding Ann Arbor and Ingham County's same-day ride services.

Commissioner Schafer asked for further clarification regarding the Federal Requirements.

Discussion ensued regarding Federal Requirements pertaining to the ADA Complimentary service.

Commissioner Schafer asked if there could be additional bussing provided during the school hours when the students would be utilizing the busses and there might be limited availability for others needing it.

Discussion ensued regarding Meridian Township's Redi-Ride service during school hours.

Commissioner Schafer asked if there was a potential to have same-day services during low peak times during the day.

Discussion ensued regarding the lack of potential to have same-day services during low peak times.

Commissioner Cahill requested a list of the buses, the different capacities they have, how many people can walk onto it, and how many wheelchairs could get onto it. She further requested a list of the different fare prices for the services.

Commissioner Cahill stated another CATA Board seat had been discussed amongst the Commissioners. She further asked why there could not be same-day ADA services.

Further discussion ensued regarding the inability to provide same-day ADA services to protect the services unless there was availability.

Commissioner Cahill stated she would be interested in that.

Commissioner Pawar stated she toured CATA, utilized the bus services and asked her spouse to utilize them as well. She further stated, during her tour, she asked what the cleaning schedule of the busses was, as one bus she rode had soiled seats that were unusable.

Commissioner Pawar stated the second bus she rode was very clean and the driver was very helpful to her. She further asked if buses were replaced with new ones when they became soiled to be put on that route.

Mr. Funkhouser confirmed.

Commissioner Pawar asked if there was an announcement when individuals signed up for CATA services that explained the no-show policy.

Discussion ensued regarding how CATA riders would receive a “be-ready window” for their ride and would also be notified if they had accumulated a number of no-shows.

Commissioner Pawar stated the duplication of services with the schools had provided capacity and ridership issues. She further asked if the taxpayers were paying twice for the school system and the CATA system.

Discussion ensued regarding the tax-payers not paying twice for the services and providing a more equitable service in the future.

Commissioner Pawar stated the Transit phone application was working, but was not functional for all users based on whether the phone was an Apple device or an Android.

Mr. Funkhouser expressed his gratitude for the comments and would look into the issues.

Commissioner Grebner stated nobody had stated this area already levies, by far, the highest transit taxes in the State of Michigan. He further stated Ingham County had the highest Millage in the State of Michigan.

Commissioner Grebner stated Grand Rapids and Ann Arbor had larger populations than Ingham County, but Ingham County provided more Paratransit services. He further stated ADA required very little and CATA did more than what was required through ADA.

Commissioner Grebner stated CATA provided services from the moment a bus started to roll in the morning until 10:30 p.m. Monday through Saturday and until 6:30 p.m. on Sundays for the whole region, and that was trying to be protected when Mr. Funkhouser stated there was no possibility of same-day services. He further stated CATA would bring back an individual they provided a ride to earlier in the day, even if they missed their earlier ride back, which was not required by ADA.

Commissioner Grebner stated there could be no service provided if it snowed unexpectedly, but CATA would not deny rides because a high rate of taxes had been levied and reimbursement had been received from the State of Michigan. He further stated nobody had said anything about other transit services providing same-day ADA-compliant services and he had researched them all beforehand to confirm that no other transit company was.

Commissioner Grebner stated every CATA Board member would wince when he said anything at their meetings because they were afraid he would push them to do something they were already doing. He further stated CATA was already providing services that other regions were not providing.

Commissioner Grebner stated CATA was reforming the service and fighting back against technological changes, as they were only 10 years behind while others were 15 years behind. He further stated CATA was operating the best they could and it was a remarkable level of service that people were receiving.

Commissioner Grebner stated CATA did not deny rides or have any real boundaries, other than the amount levied from taxes. He further stated nobody else was meeting the kind of standards they did, which was the reason they had two to three times the per capita ridership than other large transit systems in the State of Michigan.

Commissioner Peña expressed his gratitude for Kai Christiansen, CATA Planning Manager, assisting with a situation that required services for a local family in need. He further stated CATA extended their services to make individuals lives a little bit better.

Commissioner Celentino stated he was a teacher at Sexton High School and expressed his gratitude for the busses that were provided.

Mr. Funkhouser invited those present to tour CATA and he would be happy to answer any further questions.

Chairperson Sebolt thanked Mr. Funkhouser, Mr. Brieschke, and Mr. Triplett for attending to discuss this topic.

#### Update on Youth Center Replacement

Commissioner Tennis left at 7:05 p.m.

Commissioner Pawar left at 7:06 p.m.

Scott LeRoy, Ingham County Interim Court Administrator, and Annette Ellison, Ingham County Youth Center (ICYC) Director, provided an overview on the ICYC facility construction to the Board of Commissioners during the Board Leadership meeting. The presentation has been included in the minutes as Attachment B.

Commissioner Johnson left at 7:07 p.m.

Commissioner Tennis returned at 7:08 p.m.

Commissioner Pawar returned at 7:12 p.m.

Commissioner Trubac left at 7:13 p.m.

Commissioner Trubac returned at 7:16 p.m.

Commissioner Johnson returned at 7:20 p.m.

Ms. Ellison invited those present to attend a tour of the ICYC if they have not been yet.



Chairperson Sebolt stated this discussion might seem redundant to those members also on the Law & Courts Committee, but this was a large request and he wanted to be sure everyone was provided all of the pertinent information.

Commissioner Grebner asked if it would actually have to be more than double the ICYC's square footage and if there were any potential flexibilities.

Discussion ensued regarding the recommended size of the building and if there was any flexibility in size.

Commissioner Ruest stated she worked on the Raise the Age legislation in her daytime job. She further asked for clarification on if Mr. LeRoy stated that one out of every three juveniles was 17 years old.

Mr. LeRoy and Ms. Ellison confirmed.

Discussion ensued regarding the impact seen in the ICYC directly from the Raise the Age legislation.

Commissioner Cahill stated she went on a tour of the ICYC when she first became a Commissioner and it had been an amazing revelation to see what happened there. She further stated she wished they could stay in the current facility because their location was convenient.

Mr. LeRoy stated they would love to stay in the same area, but there was not the room to expand the current facility in the way it was needed.

Commissioner Lawrence stated the ICYC was vitally important to the services that were provided as a county and she believed this had to get done no matter what the recommendations were for the project. She further stated it was extremely important to ensure the safety of the children and staff and to allow the children the opportunity to get better at the facility.

Commissioner Lawrence asked if there had been any potential sites identified to build on.

Discussion ensued regarding new potential sites for the new ICYC facility.

Commissioner Tennis stated his agreement with Commissioner Lawrence. He further asked if it needed to be approved soon to begin construction or if there was time to reach out to people to receive funding elsewhere.

Commissioner Polsdofer stated he sent a letter requesting the \$32 million to the Ingham County Delegation.

Ms. Ellison thanked Commissioner Polsdofer.

Discussion ensued regarding the timeframe for this project and the increasing safety needs for the children and the staff.

Commissioner Grebner stated it seemed the Raise the Age legislation took one year out of the prison system and put it in the Juvenile system. He further asked if this would be an issue with the Headlee amendment and if anyone had ever raised it as such.

Mr. LeRoy stated he believed what mitigated that was the establishment of Public Act 97 of 2019.

Discussion ensued regarding the financial impact felt from the Raise the Age legislation on the ICYC.

Commissioner Grebner stated it sounded like the State of Michigan was also not accepting longterm placements in their residential facility, among everything else, which would mean the ICYC would have the juvenile for a longer period. He further asked if Ingham County paid the State of Michigan for State placement of juveniles.

Discussion ensued regarding State placement of juveniles from Ingham County and how that decision was made.

Commissioner Lawrence left at 7:39 p.m.

Commissioner Celentino asked if a new site would be looked at before or after funding was secured.

Discussion ensued regarding the new site location, funding, and timeframe.

Commissioner Lawrence returned at 7:43 pm.

Chairperson Sebolt thanked Mr. LeRoy and Ms. Ellison for their time and information on this topic.

#### 2024 Budget Update

Mr. Todd provided an overview on the 2024 General Fund Budget Projections. The 2024 General Fund Budget Projections has been included in the minutes as Attachment C.

Commissioner Celentino asked when the strategic plan would be known from the Controller regarding eliminating the \$4 million shortfall.

Commissioner Grebner left at 7:49 p.m.

Mr. Todd stated he would provide the first presentation in the Controller's Recommended Budget in early August of 2023, as they were just getting some of the department's budgets in.

Commissioner Celentino asked if he would be looking at everything on the table, including employee concessions.

Mr. Todd stated the Controller's Office was not looking at any employee concessions.

Commissioner Celentino asked if vacancies were to be eliminated.

Mr. Todd explained vacant positions would not be eliminated, but they were looking into not funding while vacant. He further stated Ingham County was absolutely not looking at layoffs.

Discussion.

Commissioner Tennis stated that he and Commissioner Johnson were approached by Senator Sarah Anthony regarding a program that she was trying to fund that would assist residents throughout several different counties pay off their medical debt. He further stated the program required the local government to match, and he was aware of Wayne, Macomb, Oakland Counties were very interested in the program.

Commissioner Grebner returned at 7:51 p.m.

Commissioner Tennis expressed his hope for Ingham County to participate and stated they might have to find some funding to match.

#### Public Comment

Mr. Wurtzel stated his understanding was that CATA had submitted a grant application to provide additional services for Spec-Tran or Paratransit. He further requested a copy of this grant be provided to him via e-mail, or he could file a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) to receive that but he would rather it just be sent to him.

Mr. Wurtzel stated his agreement with the general comments made by Mr. Funkhouser regarding their relationship. He further he held no animosity towards Mr. Funkhouser or anyone on the CATA Board.

Mr. Wurtzel expressed his wish to sit down with Mr. Funkhouser and others to come up with a way to go over all the points that were raised by Mr. Funkhouser, as there was always a flip side to any issue. He further expressed his gratitude for the services and stated CATA tried hard to provide them.

Mr. Wurtzel stated there were technical and operational things that could be improved to save each rider approximately five minutes, which could then provide more rides to others during the day. He further stated he would like to discuss those issues and dig deeper into the points made.

#### Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:56 p.m.

**Millages**

**Renewal Dates:**

**2024 – Health Services, Elder Persons**

**2026 – Trails and Parks, Potter Park Zoo, Public Transportation**

**2028 – Farmland & Open Space**

**2030 – Animal Control, Juvenile Justice, 911**

**2038 – Justice**

<b>MILLAGE</b>	<b>Rate</b>	<b>Levy</b>
General Fund Operating	6.7479	\$64,597,052
Indigent Veterans Support	0.0328	\$314,319
911 System	0.8483	\$7,661,968
Transportation (CATA)	0.5988	\$5,408,448
Elder Person	0.2994	\$2,704,224
Juvenile Justice	0.6000	\$5,419,286
Potter Park Zoo	0.4986	\$4,503,427
Farmland/Open Space	0.1395	\$1,260,168
Health Care Service	0.0000	\$0
Trails and Parks	0.4986	\$4,503,434
Animal Shelter	0.2000	\$1,806,429
Justice Millage	<u>0.8476</u>	<u>\$7,655,658</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11.3116</b>	<b>\$105,834,413</b>

**Animal Control Renewal (2022-2029)** - For the sole purpose of maintaining funding support for county animal control program services and operations, including equipping, financing, and operation of the county animal shelter and animal control program services, which will replace an expired millage of 0.24 mills that included the construction of a new animal shelter, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan be increased by up to 20/100 (0.20) of one (1) mill, \$0.20 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, for a period of eight (8) years (2022-2029) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this millage will raise an estimated \$1,685,500 in the first calendar year of the levy, based on state taxable valuation.

**Juvenile Justice Renewal (2022-2029)** - For the purpose of funding the continuing operation and enhancement of Ingham County's capacity to detain and house juveniles who are delinquent or disturbed, and to operate new and existing programs for the treatment of such juveniles, at the same millage level previously approved by the voters in 2002, 2006, 2012 and in 2016 shall the constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, be renewed at 0.5983 of one (1) mill, and shall the previously authorized reduced millage of 0.0017 of one (1) mill be restored, for a return to the previously voted total limitation increase of up to 0.6000 of one (1) mill (\$0.6000 per \$1,000 of taxable value) for a period of eight (8) years, 2022 through 2029, inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this millage will raise an estimated \$5,057,350 for juvenile housing and programming purposes in the first calendar year of the levy based on taxable value.

**Elder Persons (2020-2023)** - For the purpose of authorizing funding to eliminate wait lists and expanding critical services such as in-home care, meals on wheels and crisis services to support the growing population of persons age sixty (60) and older residing in Ingham County, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan be increased by up to 30/100 (0.3000) of one (1) mill, \$0.30 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, for a period of four (4) years (2020-2023) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated \$2,304,866 in the first calendar year of the levy, based on state taxable valuation.

**911 Renewal (2020-2029)** - For the purpose of renewing funding for a comprehensive countywide 911 Emergency Telephone and Dispatch System at the same millage level previously approved by the voters in 1996, 2000, 2004, 2008, 2012 and in 2016, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, previously increased by up to 0.8500 mills, \$0.85 per \$1,000 of taxable value, be continued and renewed for a period of ten (10) years (2020-2029) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this millage will raise an estimated \$6,530,454 for the countywide 911 Emergency Telephone and Dispatch System in the first calendar year of the levy based on taxable value.

**Justice Millage (2018-2037)** - For the purpose of constructing, equipping, and financing a new combined justice complex facility and expanding correctional programming, to include a new county jail, Sheriff's department offices, and court facilities, which would replace the existing facilities and will be safer for the public, staff and inmates, and allow for more efficient operations; and including programming for the treatment of substance addictions, treatment of mental illness, and reduction of re-incarceration among arrested persons, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan be increased by up to 85/100 (0.8500) of one (1) mill, \$0.85 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, for a period of twenty (20) years (2018-2037) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated \$6,207,147 in the first calendar year of the levy, based on state taxable valuation.

**Public Transportation Millage Renewal (2021-2026)** - For the purpose of renewing funding at the same millage level previously approved by the voters in 2016 for a transportation system to be used primarily by elderly and disabled persons in Ingham County, shall the constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, previously increased by up to 0.6000 mills, \$0.60 per \$1,000 of taxable value, be continued and renewed for a period of six (6) years (2021-2026) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated

additional \$4,609,732 for the transportation system in the first calendar year of the levy based on state taxable valuation.

**Potter Park Zoo Renewal (2021-2026)** - For the purpose of reauthorizing funding for the operation of the Potter Park Zoo and Potter Park, including funding for operations, maintenance, and improvements, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan be increased by up to 50/100 (0.5000) of one (1) mill, \$0.50 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, for a period of six (6) years (2021-2026) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated \$3,841,444 in the first calendar year of the levy, based on state taxable valuation.

**Trails and Parks Renewal (2020-2025)** - For the purpose of renewing funding at the same millage level previously approved by the voters in 2014 for the creation and maintenance of a countywide system of recreational trails and adjacent parks, which may incorporate trails or parks created by local units of government, including Lansing's River Trail, and may acquire rights of way to connect and extend existing trails, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, previously increased by up to 0.5000 mills, \$0.50 per \$1,000 of taxable value, be continued and renewed for a period of six (6) years (2020-2025) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this millage will raise an estimated \$3,841,444.00 for the system of recreational trails and adjacent parks in the first calendar year of the levy based on taxable valuation.

**Health Services Millage Renewal (2020-2023)** - For the purpose of reauthorizing funding for providing basic health care and mental health services to low-income Ingham County residents who are not eligible for Medicaid under the Federal Affordable Care Act, and who do not have medical insurance, including use of these funds to help pay for access to doctor visits, generic medications, mental health services and essential care such as preventive testing and treatment for cancer, diabetes, heart disease and other serious illnesses, shall the Constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan be increased by up to 63/100 (0.6300) of one (1) mill, \$0.63 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, for a period of four (4) years (2020-2023) inclusive? If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated \$4,840,219 in the first calendar year of the levy, based on state taxable valuation.

**Farmland and Open Space (2018-2027)** - For the purpose of protecting farmland and other open space lands, including waterways, wildlife habitat, wetlands, and other natural lands, and to encourage urban development, at the same millage level previously approved by the voters in 2008, shall the constitutional limitation upon the total amount of taxes which may be assessed in one (1) year upon all property within the County of Ingham, Michigan, previously increased by up to 14/100 (0.1400) of one mill, \$0.14 per thousand dollars of state taxable valuation, be continued and renewed for a period of ten (10) years (2018-2027) inclusive? (If approved and levied in full, this Millage will raise an estimated additional \$1,036,059 for farmland and open space preservation in the first calendar year of the levy based on state taxable valuation.)