

CHAIRPERSON
VICTOR CELENTINO

VICE-CHAIRPERSON
BRIAN McGRAIN

VICE-CHAIRPERSON PRO-TEM
RANDY MAIVILLE

LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE
REBECCA BAHAR-COOK, CHAIR
KARA HOPE
BRYAN CRENSHAW
VICTOR CELENTINO
PENELOPE TSERNOGLOU
RANDY SCHAFER
RANDY MAIVILLE

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

P.O. Box 319, Mason, Michigan 48854 Telephone (517) 676-7200 Fax (517) 676-7264

THE LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 14, 2014 AT 6:00 P.M., IN THE PERSONNEL CONFERENCE ROOM (D & E), HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING.

Agenda

Call to Order

Approval of the [July 10, 2014 Minutes](#)

Additions to the Agenda

Limited Public Comment

1. Presentation - Ingham County Sheriff's Office and Ingham County Prosecutor's Office along with Child Protective Services Joint Presentation on Small Talk Children's Assessment Center
2. Presentation - Circuit Court/Family Division [Second Quarter Update](#)
3. Circuit Court/Family Division
 - a. Resolution Authorizing Entering into a Contract for [Attorney Services](#) for Juvenile Delinquency and Truancy Matters
 - b. Resolution Authorizing Entering into a Contract for [Attorney Services](#) for Juvenile Delinquency Matters
4. 55th District Court - Resolution Authorizing the Purchase and Installation of a Video [Surveillance System](#) in the 55th District Court
5. Sheriff's Office
 - a. Resolution Authorizing the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Extend a Contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections to [Rent 190 Beds](#) to the Michigan Department of Corrections
 - b. Resolution to Accept Gift Cards for the 2014 "[Shop With A Hero Program](#)"
 - c. Resolution to Honor [Deputy Brian Kost](#) of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office
 - d. Resolution to Honor [Sergeant Tracy Roth](#) of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office
6. Controller's Office - Animal Control Director Update

7. Board Referrals

- a. Letter from the State of Michigan Department of Human Services Regarding a Report Generated from the On-Site Program and Fiscal Review of the Child Care Fund (CCF) Programs and Expenditures on [May 13 and 15, 2014](#)
- b. Letter from the State of Michigan Department of Human Services Regarding a Report Generated from the On-Site Program and Fiscal Review of the Child Care Fund (CCF) Programs and Expenditures on [April 23 and 25, 2014](#)

Announcements
Public Comment
Adjournment

**PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES
OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID
DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING**

The County of Ingham will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting for the visually impaired, for individuals with disabilities at the meeting upon five (5) working days notice to the County of Ingham. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Ingham in writing or by calling the following: Ingham County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 319, Mason, MI 48854 Phone: (517) 676-7200. A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance at this meeting. Meeting information is also available on line at www.ingham.org.

LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE

July 10, 2014

Draft – Minutes

Members Present: Rebecca Bahar-Cook, Bryan Crenshaw, Victor Celentino, Penelope Tsernoglou, Randy Schafer, and Randy Maiville.

Members Absent: Kara Hope.

Others Present: John Neilsen, Lance Langdon, Sara Deprez, Manvir Grewal, Ryan Buck and others.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bahar-Cook at 6:00 p.m. in the Personnel Conference Room “D & E” of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar Street, Lansing, Michigan.

Approval of the June 10, 2014 Minutes

MOVED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, SUPPORTED BY COMM. MAIVILLE, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JUNE 10, 2014 LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE MEETING AS PRESENTED.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioner Hope.

Additions to the Agenda

7. Controller’s Office
 - a. Resolution to Adopt the 2015 Juvenile Justice Community Agency Process Calendar
 - b. Controller’s Update on the Cunningham Decision

Substitutions to the Agenda

2. Circuit Court-Family Division
 - b. Resolution for Authorization to Enter into a Three Year Contract with Various Residential Placements

Limited Public Comment

None.

MOVED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, SUPPORTED BY COMM. MAIVILLE, TO APPROVE A CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE FOLLOWING ACTION ITEMS:

2. Circuit Court-Family Division

- a. Resolution Authorizing an Amendment to the 30th Circuit Court 2014/15 Child Care Fund Budget for the First Step Program and Subcontracting with Highfields, Inc. for the Parenting Wisely Curriculum
3. Prosecutor's Office - Resolution to Authorize a Juvenile Accountability Grant from the Capital Region Community Foundation and Subcontract with the Resolution Services Center of Central Michigan
4. Sheriff's Office
 - a. Resolution Authorizing Participation with the Michigan Department of Human Services for a One Year Pilot Project for the Transportation of Specific Juveniles
 - b. Resolution to Authorize a Contract with G & L Process Services, Inc. to Provide Civil Process Service for the Ingham County Sheriff's Office
 - c. Resolution to Amend the Delhi Township Police Services Contract by Continuing a School Resource Officer
 - d. Resolution Honoring Deputy Jeffrey Shattuck of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office
5. Community Corrections Advisory Board - Resolution Authorizing Submission of a Grant Application and Entering into a Contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections for Ingham County/City of Lansing Community Corrections and Entering into Program Subcontracts for FY 2014-2015
6. 9-1-1 Center - Resolution Authorizing an Equipment Maintenance Contract Amendment with Harris Corporation for the Ingham County Public Safety Radio System Microwave Equipment

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioner Hope.

MOTION TO APPROVE THE ITEMS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA PASSED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioner Hope.

1. Law & Courts Committee - Interviews for Ingham County/City of Lansing Community Correction Advisory Board (CCAB)

Manvir ("Mick") Grewal introduced himself to the Committee. The Committee members introduced themselves to Mr. Grewal. John Neilsen, Chief Deputy Controller, introduced himself to Mr. Grewal. Ryan Buck, Recording Secretary, introduced himself to Mr. Grewal.

Mr. Grewal presented on his professional background including his service on the Sobriety Court. He further stated that he could apply his defense attorney perspective in assisting the CCAB.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook asked if Mr. Grewal could attend the CCAB meetings that were scheduled every third Tuesday of every other month at noon.

Mr. Grewal stated that he could attend the meeting unless he was due in court.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked if Mr. Grewal was familiar with the CCAB's current programs.

Mr. Grewal stated that he was not familiar with them.

Commissioner Tsernoglou asked whether Mr. Grewal was on the court-appointed attorney list.

Mr. Grewal stated that he was no longer on the list, but his associate attorneys were.

COMM. MAIVILLE MOVED, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CELENTINO, TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS THAT MANVIR ("MICK") GREWAL BE APPOINTED TO THE INGHAM COUNTY/CITY OF LANSING COMMUNITY CORRECTION ADVISORY BOARD.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioner Hope.

2. Circuit Court-Family Division

- b. Resolution for Authorization to Enter into a Three Year Contract with Various Residential Placements

COMM. CRENSHAW MOVED, SUPPORTED BY COMM. MAIVILLE, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO A THREE YEAR CONTRACT WITH VARIOUS RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENTS.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook asked that Sara Deprez, Juvenile Services Director, provide background of this substitute resolution.

COMM. CRENSHAW MOVED, SUPPORTED BY COMM. MAIVILLE, TO AMEND THE ORIGINAL MOTION TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING CHANGE TO THE RESOLUTION DUE TO INCORRECT SPELLING:

Mesabi Acaedemy **Academy**

This was considered a friendly amendment.

Ms. Deprez provided background on the adjustments in the per diem costs at the Boys Town, Abraxas, Sunny Crest Youth Ranch, D.A. Blodgett, and Mesabi Academy residential placement facilities. She further stated that the facilities with the higher per diem rates were facilities where more dangerous or secure placements were made.

Discussion.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked which residential placement facility received the highest number of placements other than Highfields.

Ms. Deprez stated that most placements were at a facility known as Bethany, which was located in Grand Rapids. She further gave specific placement numbers for each facility. Ms. Deprez stated that the number of placements had been reduced as of late.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook stated that the purse strings appreciated Ms. Deprez's information.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioner Hope.

7a. Controller's Office - Resolution to Adopt the 2015 Juvenile Justice Community Agency Process Calendar

COMM. CRENSHAW MOVED, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CELENTINO, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE 2015 JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMUNITY AGENCY PROCESS CALENDAR.

Mr. Neilsen presented a financial review of the Juvenile Justice Millage Plan 2014 through 2016. He further stated that there was a reduction in available revenue because property tax values and tax collection were reduced. Mr. Neilsen stated that the County was going into year two of a deficit reduction plan. He further stated that this year's cuts would be difficult for the Court to handle and the Court might have to cut its programming.

Mr. Neilsen stated that this millage was up for renewal in 2016.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook stated that the Court was very helpful when the economy tanked because the Court moved much of its operational funding over to the millage. She further stated that she thanked the Court for this move because it did help the County. Chairperson Bahar-Cook stated that the money pulled out of the millage here tonight would go directly to adjudicated youth. She further stated that it would be a great gesture to the Court to not allocate the entire millage amount.

Commissioner Celentino asked if there was a number in play.

Mr. Neilsen stated that in the past \$100,000 was allocated to this project. He further elaborated on the Committee's past actions on this issue. Mr. Neilsen stated that in 2014, the Committee allocated \$96,000.

Commissioner Celentino asked whether we could up the allocation to a full \$100,000.

Mr. Neilsen stated that it would not make a big impact.

COMM. CELENTINO MOVED, SUPPORTED BY COMM. MAIVILLE, TO AMEND THE RESOLUTION AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners wishes to adopt a Resolution to establish the 2015 Juvenile Justice Community Agency Process and to reserve Juvenile Justice Millage funds in the amount of \$ ————— **100,000** for this purpose.

Discussion.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE 2015 JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMUNITY AGENCY PROCESS CALENDAR, AS AMENDED, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioner Hope.

7b. Controller's Update on the Cunningham Decision

Mr. Neilsen provided an update on the ramifications of the Michigan Supreme Court's decision in *People v. Cunningham*. He further stated that it had been estimated that this decision would cost \$600,000 in lost revenue to the District Court and \$180,000 in lost revenue to the Circuit Court.

Mr. Neilsen stated that an issue of retroactivity had arisen. He further stated that Peter Cohl, in his capacity as legal counsel to the Michigan Association of Counties, opined that the Courts could still collect fees that were set prior to June 18, 2014, but Mr. Cohl had further recommended holding the money in escrow just in case the Courts had to pay it back.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked where the escrow money would go if it was determined that we could keep it.

Mr. Neilsen stated that it would go back to the general fund.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook stated that this came to her attention right before the initial budget meeting with the Court and she had been in contact with State Representative Andy Schor. She further stated that Representative Schor had been proactive on this issue and had been speaking with the Republican Chairperson of the House Judiciary Committee about getting a legislative fix in place.

Mr. Neilsen stated that there was a good chance that there would be a legislative remedy to this issue.

Discussion.

8. Board Referral - Letter from McCartney & Company, P.C. Regarding the Annual Accounting for the Ingham County 9-1-1 Service District

Mr. Neilsen presented on the annual accounting for the Ingham County 9-1-1 Service District. He further that as of July 1, 2014 phone bills would be reduced by \$0.02.

Discussion.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook asked if the Clinton County 9-1-1 Service District had an outage.

Lance Langdon, 911 Director, stated that Clinton County did have an outage. He further stated that Shiawassee County 9-1-1 Service District assisted Clinton County with its outage. Mr. Langdon stated that Clinton County's system was currently incompatible with Ingham County's system so Ingham County could not assist.

Discussion.

Announcements

Commissioner Maiville stated that the Ingham Academy Graduation Ceremony was scheduled for July 23rd at 2 p.m. with fundraiser Gala scheduled the following day.

Commissioner Maiville stated that our emergency management staff, including the 9-1-1 Service District staff, did an excellent job responding to the fire emergency in Leslie last Tuesday, July 8th.

Chairperson Bahar-Cook stated that the Animal Control Task Force had met and found four candidates to interview for the Animal Control Director position. She further stated that interviews were scheduled for August 7th.

Public Comment

None.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 6:39 p.m.

AUGUST 14, 2014 LAW & COURTS AGENDA STAFF REVIEW SUMMARY

PRESENTATIONS:

1. *Ingham County Sheriff's Office and Ingham County Prosecutor's Office along with Child Protective Services - Joint Presentation on Small Talk Children's Assessment Center*
2. *Circuit Court/Family Division - Second Quarter Update*

RESOLUTION ACTION ITEMS:

The Chief Deputy Controller is recommending approval of the following resolutions/actions:

3. *Circuit Court/Family Division*
 - a. *Resolution Authorizing Entering into a Contract for Attorney Services for Juvenile Delinquency and Truancy Matters*

This resolution authorizes a contract renewal with Mr. Peter Brown at a cost not to exceed \$25,000 (\$15,000 for delinquency matters and \$10,000 for truancy cases) to represent juvenile respondents in delinquency matters assigned to the Honorable Richard J. Garcia and to represent juvenile respondents in truancy matters brought to the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division. The time period is September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015 and funds are available within the Family Division's existing 2014 and proposed 2015 budgets.

- b. *Resolution Authorizing Entering into a Contract for Attorney Services for Juvenile Delinquency*

This resolution authorizes entering into a contract with Ms. Michelle Shannon at a cost not to exceed \$12,000 to represent juvenile respondents in delinquency matters assigned to the Honorable George Economy. The time period is September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015 and funds are available within the Family Division's existing 2014 and proposed 2015 budgets.

4. *55th District Court* - *Resolution to Authorize the Purchase and Installation of a Video Surveillance System in the 55th District Court*

This resolution authorizes the purchase and installation of additional video surveillance cameras at the 55th District Court from VIDCOM Solutions at a cost not to exceed of \$40,000. Security will be improved primarily for the holding cells area and was recommended by SCAO after a security audit of the Court. Sufficient funds are available in the 2014 CIP Budget for this purpose.

5. Sheriff's Office
 - a. *Resolution Authorizing the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Extend a Contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections to Rent 190 Beds to the Michigan Department of Corrections*

This resolution authorizes Ingham County and the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to renew the contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections to rent 190 beds at a cost of \$36.00 per day per bed for an additional two years effective October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2014. The revenue received from the renting of the beds is anticipated in the 2014 and 2015 budget with a two year total for additional revenue of \$4,993,200. This contract has been in place since August of 2004.

Financial Implications:

2014/2015 Budgeted annual revenue from this contract.

190 beds x \$36 per day = \$6,840 per day x 365 days = \$2,496,600

2015/2016 Annual Revenue from this contact.

190 beds x \$36 per day = \$6,840 per day x 365 days = \$2,496,600

- b. *Resolution to Accept Gift Cards for the 2014 "Shop with a Hero Program"*

This resolution authorizes the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to accept one hundred twenty-one \$10 Meijer gift cards and one \$25 Best Buy Gift card to be donated to the "Shop with a Hero Program" in 2014 for a total dollar value of \$1,235. The gift cards have been donated by Meijer, Best Buy, and Capital One Bank. The "Shop with a Hero" program pairs Law Enforcement Officers with low income children so they can jointly shop for gifts for the child.

- c. *Resolution To Honor Deputy Brian Kost Of The Ingham County Sheriff's Office*
 - d. *Resolution To Honor Sergeant Tracy Roth Of The Ingham County Sheriff's Office*

These two resolutions are honorary for two retiring Sheriff's Office employees.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

6. 9-1-1 Center, Lance Langdon - *Discussion on Public Safety Radio System replacement/upgrade process*
7. Controller's Office – *Animal Control Director Update (no materials)*

HARD COPIES WILL BE HANDED OUT AT THE MEETING

October 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006	October 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013	January 1, 2014 - March 31, 2014	April 1, 2014 - June 30, 2014
INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION
PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 357 <u>78</u> INFORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>124</u> FORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>155</u> NEGLECT <u>142</u> Authorized <u>13</u> Not Authorized / Transferred	PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 357 <u>144</u> INFORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>90</u> FORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>123</u> NEGLECT <u>86</u> Authorized <u>37</u> Not Authorized / Transferred	PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 258 <u>82</u> INFORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>54</u> FORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>122</u> NEGLECT <u>74</u> Authorized <u>44</u> Not Authorized / Transferred	PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 277 <u>79</u> INFORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>60</u> FORMAL DELINQUENCY <u>138</u> NEGLECT <u>65</u> Authorized <u>43</u> Not Authorized / Transferred
PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 239 1). INFORMAL <u>0</u> Consent Calendar <u>2</u> Inactive <u>19</u> Transferred <u>22</u> Diverted <u>43</u> Total 2). FORMAL A). Delinquency <u>61</u> Admission / No Contest <u>1</u> Bench Verdict <u>0</u> Designation Granted <u>18</u> Dismissed <u>0</u> Jury Verdict <u>0</u> Prosecutor Waiver <u>0</u> Nolle Prosequi <u>0</u> Traditional Waiver <u>80</u> Total B). Neglect <u>63</u> Admission / No Contest <u>18</u> Bench Verdict <u>30</u> Dismissed / Withdrawn <u>11</u> Not Authorized <u>32</u> Other <u>76</u> Total Services Provided <u>21</u> Community Service <u>7</u> Cost <u>10</u> Counseling / Anger Management <u>3</u> Letters of Apology <u>4</u> MSU Diversion <u>3</u> Restitution <u>1</u> School Progress <u>1</u> Substance Abuse <u>32</u> Other <u>76</u> Total	PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 372 1). INFORMAL <u>5</u> Consent Calendar <u>0</u> Inactive <u>15</u> Transferred <u>70</u> Diverted <u>90</u> Total 2). FORMAL A). Delinquency <u>64</u> Admission / No Contest <u>0</u> Bench Verdict <u>7</u> Designation Granted <u>33</u> Dismissed <u>0</u> Jury Verdict <u>0</u> Prosecutor Waiver <u>0</u> Nolle Prosequi <u>0</u> Traditional Waiver <u>104</u> Total B). Neglect <u>58</u> Admission / No Contest <u>29</u> Bench Verdict <u>32</u> Dismissed / Withdrawn <u>0</u> Jury Verdict <u>58</u> Not Authorized <u>1</u> Transferred <u>169</u> Total Services Provided <u>9</u> Community Service <u>0</u> Cost <u>2</u> Counseling / Anger Management <u>1</u> Letters of Apology <u>4</u> Restitution <u>0</u> School Progress <u>0</u> Substance Abuse <u>16</u> Other <u>69</u> Total	PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 351 1). INFORMAL <u>3</u> Consent Calendar <u>2</u> Inactive <u>15</u> Transferred <u>71</u> Diverted <u>91</u> Total 2). FORMAL A). Delinquency <u>85</u> Admission / No Contest <u>0</u> Bench Verdict <u>0</u> Designation Granted <u>45</u> Dismissed <u>0</u> Jury Verdict <u>0</u> Prosecutor Waiver <u>1</u> Nolle Prosequi <u>1</u> Traditional Waiver <u>132</u> Total B). Neglect <u>44</u> Admission / No Contest <u>35</u> Bench Verdict <u>19</u> Dismissed / Withdrawn <u>0</u> Jury Verdict <u>30</u> Not Authorized <u>0</u> Transferred <u>128</u> Total Services Provided <u>9</u> Community Service <u>0</u> Cost <u>0</u> Counseling / Anger Management <u>8</u> Letters of Apology <u>63</u> MSU Adolescence Project <u>4</u> Restitution <u>0</u> School Progress <u>0</u> Substance Abuse <u>13</u> Other <u>97</u> Total	PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 326 1). INFORMAL <u>3</u> Consent Calendar <u>0</u> Inactive <u>13</u> Transferred <u>49</u> Diverted <u>65</u> Total 2). FORMAL A). Delinquency <u>70</u> Admission / No Contest <u>3</u> Bench Verdict <u>5</u> Designation Granted <u>29</u> Dismissed <u>3</u> Jury Verdict <u>0</u> Prosecutor Waiver <u>0</u> Nolle Prosequi <u>3</u> Traditional Waiver <u>113</u> Total B). Neglect <u>55</u> Admission / No Contest <u>25</u> Bench Verdict <u>12</u> Dismissed / Withdrawn <u>0</u> Jury Verdict <u>56</u> Not Authorized <u>0</u> Transferred <u>148</u> Total Services Provided <u>4</u> Community Service <u>0</u> Cost <u>2</u> Counseling / Anger Management <u>3</u> Letters of Apology <u>1</u> Restitution <u>0</u> School Progress <u>0</u> Substance Abuse <u>9</u> Other <u>83</u> Total
NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION
PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 194 1). PROGRAMS (JUVENILE STATS) A). Intensive Neglect Services <u>20</u> Emergency Removal <u>113</u> Remain Active <u>11</u> Successful Dismissal <u>2</u> Other <u>146</u> Total B). Family Support Services <u>35</u> Remain Active <u>7</u> Successful Dismissal <u>6</u> Other <u>48</u> Total	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 200 1). PROGRAMS A). Intensive Neglect Services <u>2</u> Emergency Removal <u>67</u> Remain Active <u>15</u> Successful Dismissal <u>6</u> Other <u>90</u> Total B). Family Support Services <u>72</u> Remain Active <u>13</u> Successful Dismissal <u>12</u> Other <u>97</u> Total C). First Step Program <u>1</u> Remain Active <u>12</u> Successful Dismissal <u>0</u> Other <u>13</u> Total	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 222 1). PROGRAMS A). Intensive Neglect Services <u>5</u> Emergency Removal <u>73</u> Remain Active <u>20</u> Successful Dismissal <u>6</u> Other <u>104</u> Total B). Family Support Services <u>68</u> Remain Active <u>11</u> Successful Dismissal <u>10</u> Other <u>89</u> Total C). First Step Program <u>13</u> Remain Active <u>16</u> Successful Dismissal <u>0</u> Other <u>29</u> Total	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 231 1). PROGRAMS A). Intensive Neglect Services <u>10</u> Emergency Removal <u>76</u> Remain Active <u>23</u> Successful Dismissal <u>2</u> Other <u>111</u> Total B). Family Support Services <u>70</u> Remain Active <u>8</u> Successful Dismissal <u>7</u> Other <u>85</u> Total C). First Step Program <u>4</u> Remain Active <u>31</u> Successful Dismissal <u>0</u> Other <u>35</u> Total
DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender <u>86</u> Females <u>108</u> Males B). Race <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>27</u> Bi-Racial <u>79</u> Black <u>5</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> Native American <u>83</u> White C). Age <u>4</u> 9 Years <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>6</u> 1 Years <u>4</u> 10 Years <u>7</u> 11 Years <u>12</u> 3 Years <u>7</u> 4 Years <u>12</u> 13 Years <u>19</u> 14 Years <u>23</u> 15 Years <u>16</u> 16 Years <u>9</u> 17 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender <u>92</u> Females <u>108</u> Males B). Race <u>2</u> Asian / Oriental <u>27</u> Bi-Racial <u>83</u> Black <u>24</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> Native American <u>64</u> White <u>0</u> Other C). Age <u>6</u> 1-11 Months <u>6</u> 9 Years <u>2</u> 18 Years <u>7</u> 1 Years <u>5</u> 10 Years <u>5</u> 11 Years <u>8</u> 2 Years <u>5</u> 12 Years <u>4</u> 4 Years <u>9</u> 13 Years <u>11</u> 14 Years <u>26</u> 15 Years <u>39</u> 16 Years <u>23</u> 17 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender <u>106</u> Females <u>116</u> Males B). Race <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>34</u> Bi-Racial <u>79</u> Black <u>27</u> Hispanic <u>1</u> Native American <u>81</u> White <u>0</u> Other C). Age <u>4</u> 1-11 Months <u>8</u> 9 Years <u>2</u> 18 Years <u>12</u> 1 Years <u>11</u> 10 Years <u>5</u> 11 Years <u>11</u> 3 Years <u>4</u> 12 Years <u>6</u> 13 Years <u>12</u> 14 Years <u>20</u> 15 Years <u>41</u> 16 Years <u>21</u> 17 Years	DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender <u>100</u> Females <u>131</u> Males B). Race <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>34</u> Bi-Racial <u>84</u> Black <u>33</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> Native American <u>80</u> White <u>0</u> Other C). Age <u>5</u> 1-11 Months <u>16</u> 9 Years <u>2</u> 18 Years <u>11</u> 1 Years <u>10</u> 10 Years <u>11</u> 11 Years <u>11</u> 3 Years <u>7</u> 12 Years <u>10</u> 14 Years <u>4</u> 5 Years <u>17</u> 6 Years <u>17</u> 7 Years <u>8</u> 8 Years
	2). PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES) A). Drug Court Services <u>11</u> Remain Active <u>2</u> Successful Dismissal <u>2</u> Unsuccessful Termination <u>15</u> Total DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender <u>11</u> Females <u>4</u> Males B). Race <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>2</u> Black <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> Native American <u>13</u> White <u>0</u> Other	2). PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES) A). Drug Court Services <u>12</u> Remain Active <u>0</u> Successful Dismissal <u>3</u> Unsuccessful Termination <u>14</u> Total DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender <u>11</u> Females <u>3</u> Males B). Race <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>5</u> Black <u>1</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> Native American <u>8</u> White <u>0</u> Other	2). PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES) A). Drug Court Services <u>11</u> Remain Active <u>1</u> Successful Dismissal <u>3</u> Unsuccessful Termination <u>15</u> Total DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender <u>12</u> Females <u>3</u> Males B). Race <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>5</u> Black <u>1</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> Native American <u>9</u> White <u>0</u> Other

DELINQUENCY DIVISION		DELINQUENCY DIVISION		DELINQUENCY DIVISION		DELINQUENCY DIVISION	
PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD							
1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT	1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT	1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT	1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT
A). In-State	A). In-State						
B). Out-of-State	B). Out-of-State						
C). Local Group Homes	C). Local Group Homes	C). Local Group Homes	C). Local Group Homes	C). Local Group Homes	C). Local Group Homes	C). Local Group Homes	C). Local Group Homes
DEMOGRAPHICS		DEMOGRAPHICS		DEMOGRAPHICS		DEMOGRAPHICS	
1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT	1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT	1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT	1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS	2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT
A). Gender	C). Age						
B). Race	B). Race						
NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS		NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS		NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS		NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS	
LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served)		LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served)		LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served)		LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served)	
LANSING		LANSING		LANSING		LANSING	
INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER		INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER		INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER		INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER	
1). ADMISSIONS	Total: <u>184</u>	2). RELEASES	Total: <u>162</u>	1). ADMISSIONS	Total: <u>158</u>	2). RELEASES	Total: <u>138</u>
11 Average Length of Stay (days)		12 Average Length of Stay (days)		13 Average Length of Stay (days)		13 Average Length of Stay (days)	
38 # of youths exceeding 22 days		40 # of youths exceeding 24 days		25 # of youths exceeding 26 days		38 # of youths exceeding 26 days	

	OTHER DETENTION	OTHER DETENTION	OTHER DETENTION
	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 10 <u>8</u> Eaton County Youth Facility <u>2</u> Ottawa County Youth Facility DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 7 Years <u>1</u> 14 Years <u>10</u> Males <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>3</u> 15 Years <u>6</u> Black <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>6</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 17 Years <u>1</u> Native American <u>0</u> 11 Years <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>3</u> White <u>0</u> 12 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>0</u> 13 Years	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 3 <u>3</u> Eaton County Youth Facility <u>0</u> Ottawa County Youth Facility DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 7 Years <u>1</u> 14 Years <u>3</u> Males <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>2</u> Black <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>2</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 17 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>0</u> 11 Years <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>1</u> White <u>0</u> 12 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>0</u> 13 Years	PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 0 <u>0</u> Eaton County Youth Facility <u>0</u> Ottawa County Youth Facility DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 7 Years <u>0</u> 14 Years <u>0</u> Males <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>0</u> Black <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>0</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 17 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>0</u> 11 Years <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>0</u> White <u>0</u> 12 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>0</u> 13 Years
	ACT 150 /220 PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: FAMILY DIVISION 66 WAIVER GENERAL 2 1). ACT 150 <u>2</u> 2). DHS ACT 220 <u>64</u> DEMOGRAPHICS C). Age A). Gender <u>0</u> 7 Years A). Gender <u>0</u> 7 Years <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>2</u> Males <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>2</u> Males <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 11 Years <u>0</u> 11 Years B). Race <u>0</u> 12 Years B). Race <u>0</u> 12 Years <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 13 Years <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 13 Years <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 14 Years <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 14 Years <u>1</u> Black <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>1</u> Black <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>1</u> 17 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>1</u> 17 Years <u>1</u> White <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>0</u> White <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>1</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years	ACT 150 /220 PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: FAMILY DIVISION 65 WAIVER GENERAL 2 1). ACT 150 <u>1</u> 2). DHS ACT 220 <u>64</u> DEMOGRAPHICS C). Age A). Gender <u>0</u> 7 Years A). Gender <u>0</u> 7 Years <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>1</u> Males <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>1</u> Males <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 11 Years <u>0</u> 11 Years B). Race <u>0</u> 12 Years B). Race <u>0</u> 12 Years <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 13 Years <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 13 Years <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 14 Years <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 14 Years <u>1</u> Black <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>1</u> Black <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>1</u> 17 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>1</u> 17 Years <u>0</u> White <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>0</u> White <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years	ACT 150 /220 PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: FAMILY DIVISION 62 WAIVER GENERAL 3 1). ACT 150 <u>2</u> 2). DHS ACT 220 <u>60</u> DEMOGRAPHICS C). Age A). Gender <u>0</u> 7 Years A). Gender <u>0</u> 7 Years <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>0</u> Females <u>0</u> 8 Years <u>2</u> Males <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>2</u> Males <u>0</u> 9 Years <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 10 Years <u>0</u> 11 Years <u>0</u> 11 Years B). Race <u>0</u> 12 Years B). Race <u>0</u> 12 Years <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 13 Years <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 13 Years <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 14 Years <u>0</u> Bi-Racial <u>0</u> 14 Years <u>2</u> Black <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>2</u> Black <u>0</u> 15 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Hispanic <u>0</u> 16 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>1</u> 17 Years <u>0</u> Native American <u>1</u> 17 Years <u>0</u> White <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>0</u> White <u>0</u> 18 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>1</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> Other <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years
	INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 177 <u>76</u> Ingham Academy Day Treatment <u>33</u> Peckham Crossroads Program <u>68</u> Pride Program <u>12</u> Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age D). Grade** <u>51</u> Females <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>2</u> 12 Years <u>3</u> 8 th <u>111</u> Males <u>7</u> Bi-Racial <u>2</u> 13 Years <u>13</u> 9 th <u>91</u> Black <u>14</u> 14 Years <u>46</u> 10 th <u>17</u> Hispanic <u>30</u> 15 Years <u>35</u> 11 th <u>1</u> Native American <u>66</u> 16 Years <u>10</u> 12 th <u>46</u> White <u>37</u> 17 Years <u>11</u> 13 th <u>0</u> Other <u>10</u> 18 Years <u>1</u> 14 th <u>1</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>1</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years	INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 172 <u>75</u> Ingham Academy Day Treatment <u>35</u> Peckham Crossroads Program <u>62</u> Pride Program <u>11</u> Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age D). Grade** <u>54</u> Females <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>0</u> 12 Years <u>5</u> 8 th <u>104</u> Males <u>8</u> Bi-Racial <u>4</u> 13 Years <u>21</u> 9 th <u>86</u> Black <u>13</u> 14 Years <u>47</u> 10 th <u>20</u> Hispanic <u>21</u> 15 Years <u>30</u> 11 th <u>4</u> Native American <u>41</u> 16 Years <u>7</u> 12 th <u>40</u> White <u>44</u> 17 Years <u>11</u> 13 th <u>0</u> Other <u>27</u> 18 Years <u>1</u> 14 th <u>8</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years	INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD 173 <u>70</u> Ingham Academy Day Treatment <u>41</u> Peckham Crossroads Program <u>62</u> Pride Program <u>10</u> Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program DEMOGRAPHICS A). Gender B). Race C). Age D). Grade** <u>54</u> Females <u>0</u> Asian / Oriental <u>1</u> 12 Years <u>6</u> 8 th <u>104</u> Males <u>7</u> Bi-Racial <u>5</u> 13 Years <u>26</u> 9 th <u>85</u> Black <u>14</u> 14 Years <u>41</u> 10 th <u>20</u> Hispanic <u>25</u> 15 Years <u>25</u> 11 th <u>3</u> Native American <u>55</u> 16 Years <u>4</u> 12 th <u>43</u> White <u>49</u> 17 Years <u>11</u> 13 th <u>0</u> Other <u>9</u> 18 Years <u>1</u> 14 th <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 19 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years <u>0</u> 20 Years

MEMORANDUM

TO: Law and Courts and Finance Committees

FROM: Maureen Winslow, Deputy Court Administrator

DATE: August 5, 2014

RE: **Resolution to Extend Contract for Attorney Services**

The resolution presented with this memo is requesting the authority to enter into a contract with Mr. Peter Brown for another year to provide legal representation on juvenile delinquency cases on Judge Richard J. Garcia's docket as well as truancy matters assigned to the Family Division.

Attorney Peter Brown has provided the above stated services since September 1, 2010. In addition to budget savings, the cases have been handled in an appropriate and efficient manner.

The contract will be in effect from September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015 and all funds will be taken out of the Family Division's existing 2014 and 2015 budgets.

Introduced by the Law and Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT
FOR ATTORNEY SERVICES FOR JUVENILE DELINQUENCY
AND TRUANCY MATTERS**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division, by statute and Constitution, must provide legal counsel to juvenile respondents in juvenile delinquency cases who are indigent; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division, in an attempt to reduce costs for attorney fees, has provided required legal representation to indigent juveniles on a contractual basis for all juvenile delinquency cases assigned to the Honorable Richard J. Garcia and all truancy matters assigned to the Family Division; and

WHEREAS, the attorney who has been under contract to perform the legal duties on the above stated cases is Mr. Peter Brown who has provided the legal services in an appropriate and efficient manner; and

WHEREAS, the Circuit Court Family Division would like to extend the contract for Attorney Peter Brown to provide legal representation on juvenile delinquency cases assigned to Judge Richard J. Garcia for one year in the amount of \$15,000 as well as truancy matters assigned to the Family Division in the amount of \$10,000.

THEREFORE, be it resolved, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a contract with Mr. Peter Brown at a cost not to exceed \$25,000 to represent juvenile respondents in delinquency matters assigned to the Honorable Richard J. Garcia and to represent juvenile respondents in truancy matters brought to the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the contract duration will be for the time period of September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the contract amounts of \$15,000 for delinquency matters and \$10,000 for truancy cases shall be taken out of the Family Division's existing 2014 and 2015 budgets.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Law and Courts and Finance Committees

FROM: Maureen Winslow, Deputy Court Administrator

DATE: August 5, 2014

RE: **Resolution to Enter Into a Contract for Attorney Services**

The resolution presented with this memo is requesting the authority to enter into a contract with Ms. Michelle Shannon for another year to provide legal representation on juvenile delinquency cases on Judge George Economy's docket in the Family Division. It is believed having one attorney perform this legal service adds to the efficiency of cases as they are fully aware of the services and resources available through this Court and in the community that are useful for the clients.

The amount of the one year contract would be \$12,000.

The contract will be in effect from September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015.

Introduced by the Law and Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ENTERING INTO A CONTRACT
FOR ATTORNEY SERVICES FOR JUVENILE DELINQUENCY MATTERS**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division, by statute and Constitution, must provide legal counsel to juvenile respondents in juvenile delinquency cases who are indigent; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division, in an attempt to reduce costs for attorney fees and provide appropriate and efficient legal services, proposes entering into a contract with Attorney Michelle Shannon for all juvenile delinquency matters assigned to the Honorable George Economy; and

WHEREAS, the term of the contract with Ms. Michelle Shannon would be for one year, beginning September 1, 2014 and expiring on August 31, 2015; and

WHEREAS, the payment provided to Attorney Michelle Shannon for providing legal representation on juvenile delinquency cases assigned to Judge George Economy would be at a cost not to exceed \$12,000.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a contract with Ms. Michelle Shannon at a cost not to exceed \$12,000 to represent juvenile respondents in delinquency matters assigned to the Honorable George Economy.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the contract duration will be for the time period of September 1, 2014 through August 31, 2015.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the contract amount of \$12,000 shall be taken out of the Family Division's existing 2014 and 2015 budgets.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to sign any necessary contract documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

Ingham County Innovation and Technology Department

Michael E. Ashton, Chief Information Officer - Email: mashton@ingham.org

To: Law & Courts and Finance Committees

From: Michael Ashton – Chief Information Officer
Mike Dillon - 55th District Court Administrator

Date: July 31st, 2014

Re: Video Surveillance System

A video surveillance system is designed to monitor behavior and serves as an identification system. In addition, the system can be a deterrent to anti-social and/or criminal activity within the courthouse. The installation of a video surveillance system in the courthouse will significantly enhance court security measures.

In 2012 the State Court Administrative Office conducted a security audit and recommended the installation of a video surveillance system in the courthouse.

\$40,689 has been allocated in the 2014 budget for the purchase and installation of a video surveillance system in the court.

We respectfully request that the Board of Commissioners adopt a resolution authorizing the purchase and installation of a video surveillance in the 55th District Court, in the amount not to exceed \$40,000 from VIDCOM Solutions. VIDCOM is the current vendor the county wide Video Surveillance system and is the authorized vendor for serving the county's video surveillance system.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION OF
A VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM IN THE 55th DISTRICT COURT**

WHEREAS, in 2012 the State Court Administrative Office conducted a security audit and recommended the installation of a video surveillance system in the courthouse; and

WHEREAS, a video surveillance system entails the strategic placement of security cameras for instant viewing and/or later recall for detection, recognition, and identification of incidents and the movement of people in the courthouse; and

WHEREAS, a video surveillance system can be a deterrent to anti-social and/or criminal activity within the courthouse; and

WHEREAS, a video surveillance system will allow for a quicker response to a security incident by court security personnel; and

WHEREAS, a video surveillance system allows for court security personnel to continuously monitor the behavior of inmates who are being held waiting in the holding area of the courthouse; and

WHEREAS, a video surveillance system will allow for the court to produce video evidence to refute any allegations of misconduct made against court personnel; and

WHEREAS, a video surveillance system greatly enhances the security measures of the court; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners have approved funding (2014 Budget) in the amount of \$40,689 for the purchase and installation of a video surveillance system.

THEREFORE BE IT BE RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes the County to purchase and have installed additional video surveillance cameras at the 55th District Court from VIDCOM Solutions at a cost not to exceed of \$40,000 from the 2014 CIP Account # 66413799 97800066413799 978000.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller is authorized to make any necessary budget adjustments related to this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any contract/purchase order documents with VIDCOM Solutions consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

TO: Law & Courts and Finance Committees
FROM: Under Sheriff Allan Spyke
DATE: July 14, 2014
RE: MDOC Bed Contract

Commissioners,

This resolution will authorize Ingham County and the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to extend the contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections to rent 190 beds at a cost of \$36.00 per day per bed for an additional two years effective the fiscal years October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2016.

The funds received by the county for leasing these contractual beds are used to reduce the general fund cost of operating the jail. In addition, these funds are used to finance the operational costs for six deputies and the construction and debt cost of the Low Security 90 bed wing at the Jail that the County opened in 2004.

Financial Implications:

2014/2015 Budgeted annual revenue from this contract.

190 beds x \$36 per day = \$6,840 per day x 365 days = \$2,496,600

2015/2016 Annual Revenue from this contact.

190 beds x \$36 per day = \$6,840 per day x 365 days = \$2,496,600

The revenue received from the renting of the beds is anticipated in the 2015 budget.

Other Implications: This contract has been in place since August 2, 2004.

I recommend your approval.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO EXTEND
A CONTRACT WITH THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
TO RENT 190 BEDS TO THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has established a design rated capacity of 665 beds, (601 beds are currently utilized due to the previous closure of Post 3) which includes 472 County beds (401 County beds are being utilized as a result of the previous closure) and 190 contractual beds; and

WHEREAS, the funds received by the county for leasing these contractually are used to reduce the general fund cost of operating the jail; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has approved renewing the contract through September 30, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office has negotiated a two year extension of the agreement with the Michigan Department of Corrections to rent 190 beds as a cost of \$36.00 per day per bed effective October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the revenue received from the renting of the beds is anticipated in the 2015 budget.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes Ingham County and the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to extend the contract with the Michigan Department of Corrections to rent 190 beds at a cost of \$36.00 per day per bed effective October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2016 for an additional revenue of up to \$4,993,200.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson and the Sheriff to sign all necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution upon review and approval as to form by the County Attorney.

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Law & Courts Committee
Finance Committee

FROM: Major Joel Maatman

DATE: July 14, 2014

RE: Accepting Donations from Meijer, Best Buy, and Capital One Bank

This resolution requests permission for the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to accept, then donate to the 2014 "Shop with a Hero Program", one hundred and twenty one ten dollar (\$10) Meijer gift cards and a twenty five dollar (\$25) Best Buy gift card.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT GIFT CARDS FOR THE 2014 “SHOP WITH A HERO PROGRAM”

WHEREAS, on December 20, 2012 the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office investigated a gift card fraud against the Meijer store in Mason, Michigan; and

WHEREAS, on the same date Deputy Andy Daenzer stopped the suspect’s vehicle shortly after the call went out on the Meijer’s fraud, arrested the suspect, and recovered one hundred twenty-one \$10 dollar Meijer gift cards and a \$25 Best Buy Gift card; and

WHEREAS, due to Deputy Daenzer’s traffic stop and subsequent investigation the suspect pled guilty to felony fraud charges in Ingham County Circuit Court; and

WHEREAS, the victims in this case, Meijer and Capital One Bank, have offered to donate the above gift cards to the Ingham County “Shop with a Hero” program to distribute to the needy children of Ingham County which the program serves.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office’s acceptance of the one hundred twenty-one \$10 Meijer gift cards and one \$25 Best Buy Gift card to be donated to the “Shop with a Hero Program” in 2014 for a total dollar value of \$1,235.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and the Sheriff would like to thank Meijer, Best Buy, and Capital One Bank for their generous donation to the Ingham County “Shop with a Hero” program.

Agenda Item 5c

TO: Law and Court Committee
FROM: Major Sam L. Davis
DATE: July 9, 2014
RE: Retirement Resolution for Deputy Brian Kost

Summary of Proposed Action: This resolution will honor Deputy Brian Kost of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office for dedicating 25 years of his career to corrections. He represented the Ingham County Sheriff's Office with honor and integrity.

Introduced by the Law and Court Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION TO HONOR DEPUTY BRIAN KOST
OF THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE**

WHEREAS, Deputy Kost was hired by the Ingham County Sheriff's Office as a Deputy in 1989 and assigned to the Corrections Division; and

WHEREAS, Deputy Kost was selected to work the Education Officer Assignment in Corrections for a period of two years starting in 1994; and

WHEREAS, during his career Deputy Kost was professional, dedicated, and very knowledgeable when it came to the operations of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, over his 25 year career with the Ingham County Sheriff's Office, Deputy Kost received numerous letters of recognition; and

WHEREAS, after 17 years of dedicated service to the Ingham Sheriff's Office Deputy Kost is retiring on July 27, 2014.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Deputy Brian Kost for his 25 years of dedicated service to the citizens of Ingham County and wishes him continued success in all of his future endeavors.

Agenda Item 5d

TO: Law and Court Committee
FROM: Major Sam Davis
DATE: July 9, 2014
RE; Retirement Resolution for Sergeant Tracy Roth

Summary of Proposed Action: This resolution will honor Sergeant Tracy Roth of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office for dedicating 23 and half years of his career to corrections. He represented the Ingham County Sheriff's Office with honor and integrity.

Introduced by the Law and Court Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION TO HONOR SERGEANT TRACY ROTH
OF THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE**

WHEREAS, Sergeant Tracy Roth was hired by the Ingham County Sheriff's Office in 1991 and assigned to the Corrections Division; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Roth was assigned as a Lobby Control Officer in 1992; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Roth was assigned to the Receiving Section of the Ingham County Jail in 1994; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Roth was recognized with a Unit Citation in 2000 for his work in the Receiving Section; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Roth was recognized with a Life Saving Award in 2000 for saving the life of an inmate; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Roth was promoted to the rank of Sergeant in 2012; and

WHEREAS, throughout his career Sergeant Roth was a dedicated employee and well respected by his peers; and

WHEREAS, during his career Sergeant Roth was professional, dedicated, and very knowledgeable when it came to the operations of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, Sergeant Roth received numerous letters of recognition throughout his career with the Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, after 23 and a half years of dedicated service to the Ingham County Sheriff's Office Sergeant Roth is retiring on July 7, 2014.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby honors Sergeant Tracy Roth for his 23 and a half years of dedicated service to the citizens of Ingham County and wishes him continued success in all of his future endeavors.



AGENDA ITEM # 7a

RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
LANSING

MAURA D. CORRIGAN
DIRECTOR

June 13, 2014

Carol Keyhoe, Director
Ingham County Department of Human Services
5303 S. Cedar St.
Lansing, MI 48909

RECEIVED
JUL 01 2014

Dear Carol Keyhoe:

Enclosed is the report generated from the on-site program and fiscal review of the Child Care Fund (CCF) programs and expenditures on May 13 and 15, 2014.

Thank you for the cooperation extended during the review process.

Sincerely,

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist

cc: CCF Program/Fiscal File
Honorable Janelle A. Lawless, Judge
Maureen Winslow, Deputy Court Administrator
Deb Nolan, County Commissioner

Michigan Department of Human Services
CCF Monitoring Unit

Compliance Report

I. Identifying Information:

County/Tribe Name: Ingham County
Administrative Unit: Department of Human Services
Contractor Address: 5303 S. Cedar St.
Lansing, MI 48909
Location of Review: 5303 S. Cedar St.
Lansing, MI 48909

II. Purpose of the Report:

The purpose of the report is to record the findings from the Child Care Fund Monitoring Unit (CCFMU) Compliance Review for programming funded by the CCF.

III. Methodology:

Date(s) of Review:

5/13/14 and 5/15/14

Staff Conducting Review:

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist

Period of Review:

Fiscal Year 2014

The following areas were reviewed for CCF compliance:

A. FISCAL REVIEW

The expenditures were reviewed for the following CCF standards and criteria:

- Expenditures are not for judicial costs.
- Non-scheduled payments are not for basic family needs otherwise available through public assistance programs.
- Vouchers have two signatures.

- Vouchers for foster care include a Determination of Care form if needed.
- Clothing inventory available for initial/semi-annual clothing purchases.
- Out-of-home care amounts match the posted daily rates.
- In-Home Care charges allowable as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Payroll amounts documented with a clear audit trail of allowable amounts as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Time studies completed and the hours claimed are allowable as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Indirect cost accounted for correctly and the process used to derive the cost is easily identified.
- Receipts are accounted for and are properly placed on the correct line of the DHS-207/206b.
- Vouchers are reviewed for proper authorization, back-up documentation, and proper submittal on the monthly DHS-207/206b reports.

B. IN-HOME CARE PROGRAM REVIEW

The review included an assessment of the programs as described in the Annual Plan and Budget and the results are reflective of the period reviewed. The areas of review included the following:

- Youth/Families Served (projected verses served).
- Service Focus - prevent placement, early return from placement (focus stated in the plan, focus reflected in the cases reviewed).
- Target Population - youth/families to be served as stated in Annual Plan and Budget. Population served as indicated by test sample.
- Area of Impact - area(s) of intended impact as stated in the Annual Plan and Budget as reflected in data summary and impact on the county's totals.
- Fiscal - expenditures approved in the Annual Plan and Budget and expenditures made as evidenced by the reviewed vouchers.
- Documentation - files and support forms meet the standards for record keeping as defined by the CCF.

Programs reviewed:

	Court Service Components	CCF Expenditure	Other Public Funding	Gross Expenditure
1	DHS-Impact 14	\$215,403.00	\$0.00	\$215,403.00
2	In-Home Care Psychological Services/Other	\$60,000.00	\$0.00	\$60,000.00

IV. Findings:

Fiscal Review

Months reviewed for the fiscal review:

October, 2013-Random sampling

- Expenditures were not for judicial costs.
- Non-scheduled payments were not for basic family needs otherwise available through public assistance programs.
- All vouchers had the two signature sign off system in place.
- Determination of Care forms for all youth receiving an elevated foster care rate were authorized current to within six months.
- Clothing inventories were available for youth receiving a clothing allowance.
- All vouchers for out-of-home care costs were within the allowable posted rate and were for the correct amount of days.
- All vouchers for In-Home Care were in compliance and were allowable as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Payroll amounts were documented with a clear audit trail of allowable amounts as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Indirect costs are accounted for correctly and easily identified by the process used to derive the cost.
- All of the vouchers reviewed had appropriate supporting documentation to meet the CCF policies.
- All receipts were properly recorded and submitted to the state on the monthly DHS-207 report.

- All vouchers were properly classified into the appropriate CCF account and properly submitted to the state.

General Review of In-Home Care Programs with Descriptions

In-home Care Psychological Services/Other:

The program provides psychological assessments and other treatment for foster children and parents of children in the foster care system as well as families with open CPS cases (Category I, II and III) and to facilitate family reunification and safe return of children. The services are provided by therapists within the community. Other services include transportation for parents and youth, through mileage reimbursement and bus passes, when it is part of the Service Plan.

DHS-Impact 14:

The Impact program is a community based intervention. The services include intensive home based treatment, wraparound services and family advocacy. Families are involved with a team that consists of the family guidance therapist, the wrap around facilitator, a parent advocate and the Department of Human Services caseworker.

In-Home Care Case File Review

In-home Care Psychological Services/Other:

Ten (10) cases were reviewed to ensure compliance with the CCF rules, policies, and handbook.

- The number of youth served were within the projected number reported on the Annual Plan and Budget.
- The elements of the program meet the service focus requirements to provide services as an alternative to placement and to reduce the days in out-of-home care.
- The programs goal is to work with youth in the home or in placement to reduce the number of days in foster care, detention, and residential treatment.
- The fiscal expenditures supporting this program were consistent with those identified and approved in the Child Care Fund, Annual Plan and Budget.
- The documentation within each of the program files (complaints, referrals, current orders, assessments, updated reports, contact sheets, etc.) meet the In-Home Care Requirements for record keeping.

DHS-Impact 14:

Five (5) cases were reviewed to ensure compliance with the CCF rules, policies, and handbook.

- The number of youth served were within the projected number reported on the Annual Plan and Budget.
- The elements of the program meet the service focus requirements to provide services as an alternative to placement and to reduce the days in out-of-home care.
- The program goals are to work with youth in the home or in placement to reduce the number of days in foster care, detention, and residential treatment.
- The fiscal expenditures supporting this program were consistent with those identified and approved in the Child Care Fund, Annual Plan and Budget.
- Three cases did not meet in-home care requirements for record keeping. There were no provider closure reports in the case file.

Formal Exit Conference Date:

5/13/14

CCFMU Staff Present at Exit Conference:

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist

Staff Present at Exit Conference:

Carol Keyhoe, Director
Jennifer Kennedy, Ingham County Office Manager
Kate Warner-Steele, Departmental Specialist 13

V. Areas Found to be in Non-Compliance with CCF Rules and Regulations:

- The documentation within three cases in the Impact program was missing case closure reports. During the on-site review all the information was not present and ready for the specialist to review. Therefore, a second day was scheduled with the local office to complete the on-site review. This allowed Ingham County Department of Human Services the opportunity to provide documents that were lacking complete information.

VI. Corrections Required

- Ingham County Department of Human Services is expected to have and keep all required documents in the case files. All documentation will be available for on-site review at the scheduled time and date. Failure to meet this requirement will result in a corrective action plan (CAP). This will include a plan to be in compliance with the In-Home Care requirements for record keeping.

Thank you for the cooperation extended by you and your staff in completing the Compliance Review. Feel free to call me at 517-373-7774 if you have any questions.

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist
CCF Monitoring Unit
Date: 6/13/14

Magdalen Thomas 6.24.14

Magdalen Thomas, CCFMU Manager
CCF Monitoring Unit
Date: 6/13/14



AGENDA ITEM # 76

RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
LANSING

MAURA D. CORRIGAN
DIRECTOR

June 12, 2014

Honorable Janelle A. Lawless
Ingham County Circuit Court
303 W Kalamazoo St.
Lansing, MI 48933

RECEIVED

JUL 01 2014

Dear Judge Lawless:

Enclosed is the report generated from the on-site program and fiscal review of the Child Care Fund (CCF) programs and expenditures on April 23rd & 25th, 2014.

Thank you for the cooperation extended during the review process.

Sincerely,

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist

cc: CCF Program/Fiscal File
Maureen Winslow, Deputy Court Administrator
Deb Nolan, County Commissioner
Carol Keyhoe, DHS Director
David Rainone, Court Administrator

Michigan Department of Human Services
CCF Monitoring Unit

Compliance Report

I. Identifying Information:

County/Tribe Name: Ingham
Administrative Unit: Court
Contractor Address: 303 W. Kalamazoo St.
Lansing, MI 48933
Location of Review: 303 W. Kalamazoo St.
Lansing, MI 48933

II. Purpose of the Report:

The purpose of the report is to record the findings from the Child Care Fund Monitoring Unit (CCFMU) Compliance Review for programming funded by the CCF.

III. Methodology:

Date(s) of Review:

April 23rd & 25th, 2014

Staff Conducting Review:

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist
Noel Thelen, CCFMU Specialist

Period of Review:

Fiscal Year 2014

The following areas were reviewed for CCF compliance:

A. FISCAL REVIEW

The expenditures were reviewed for the following CCF standards and criteria:

- Expenditures are not for judicial costs.
- Non-scheduled payments are not for basic family needs otherwise available through public assistance programs.
- Vouchers have two signatures.
- Vouchers for foster care include a Determination of Care form if needed.

- Out-of-home care amounts match the posted daily rates.
- In-Home Care charges allowable as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Payroll amounts documented with a clear audit trail of allowable amounts as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Time studies completed and the hours claimed are allowable as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Indirect cost accounted for correctly and the process used to derive the cost is easily identified.
- Receipts are accounted for and are properly placed on the correct line of the DHS-207/206b.
- Vouchers are reviewed for proper authorization, back-up documentation, and proper submittal on the monthly DHS-207/206b reports.

B. IN-HOME CARE PROGRAM REVIEW

The review included an assessment of the programs as described in the Annual Plan and Budget and the results are reflective of the period reviewed. The areas of review included the following:

- Youth/Families Served (projected verses served).
- Service Focus - prevent placement, early return from placement (focus stated in the plan, focus reflected in the cases reviewed).
- Target Population - youth/families to be served as stated in Annual Plan and Budget. Population served as indicated by test sample.
- Area of Impact - area(s) of intended impact as stated in the Annual Plan and Budget as reflected in data summary and impact on the county's totals.
- Fiscal - expenditures approved in the Annual Plan and Budget and expenditures made as evidenced by the reviewed vouchers.
- Documentation - files and support forms meet the standards for record keeping as defined by the CCF.

Programs reviewed:

	Court Service Components	CCF Expenditure	Other Public Funding	Gross Expenditure
1	In-Home Detention	\$277,415.00	\$ 0.00	\$277,415.00
2	Evening Reporting	\$500,796.00	\$ 0.00	\$500,796.00
3	Intensive Neglect Services	\$1,182,855.00	\$ 0.00	\$1,182,855.00
4	Ingham Academy/Day Treatment	\$2,609,781.00	\$ 0.00	\$2,609,781.00
5	Juvenile Assessment Center	\$188,381.00	\$ 0.00	\$188,381.00
6	MSU Adolescent Project	\$155,398.00	\$ 0.00	\$155,398.00
7	Community Programs / Services	\$413,915.00	\$ 0.00	\$413,915.00
8	Intensive Probation	\$292,528.00	\$ 0.00	\$292,528.00
9	Sexual Offenders	\$350,582.00	\$ 0.00	\$350,582.00
10	Truancy	\$245,196.00	\$ 0.00	\$245,196.00
11	Aftercare	\$411,313.00	\$ 0.00	\$411,313.00

IV. Findings:

Fiscal Review

Months reviewed for the fiscal review:

February, 2014 - Random sampling

- Expenditures were not for judicial costs.
- Non-scheduled payments were not for basic family needs otherwise available through public assistance programs.
- All vouchers had the two signature sign off system in place.
- Determination of Care forms for all youth receiving an elevated foster care rate were authorized current to within six months.
- There were no clothing allowances for the month reviewed therefore, no inventories required.

- All vouchers for out-of-home care costs were within the allowable posted rate and were for the correct amount of days.
- All vouchers for In-Home Care were in compliance and were allowable as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Payroll amounts were documented with a clear audit trail of allowable amounts as tested against the Annual Plan and Budget.
- Indirect costs are accounted for correctly and easily identified by the process used to derive the cost.
- All of the vouchers reviewed had appropriate supporting documentation to meet the CCF policies.
- All receipts were properly recorded and submitted to the state on the monthly DHS-207 report.
- All vouchers were properly classified into the appropriate CCF account and properly submitted to the state.

General Review of In-Home Care Programs with Descriptions

In-Home Detention:

The In-Home Detention staff have daily contact with youth/family and schools. The Program is used as an alternative to secure detention or as early release. In-Home Detention provides intensive probationary supervision and counseling for youth who are adjudicated court wards. The program operates utilizing a team concept, are available on a 24 hour a day (on-call) basis, and are committed to helping at risk youth succeed.

Evening Reporting:

This program makes a positive difference in the lives of youth, primarily through providing safe, supervised educational and recreational programming. The program support academic achievement through providing safe, supervised educational and recreational programming that supports academic achievement. The program serves male and female youth from ages 11 to 18.

Intensive Neglect Services:

Intensive Neglect services and support services provides enhanced treatment and casework services to families petitioned to the court due to child abuse/neglect. The families petitioned to the court due to child abuse/neglect. There are weekly support groups for parents and their children. The supports are psychological services, use of community resources and a group for parents and their children. Psychological services, use of community resources, and a recreational component all support this.

Ingham Academy/ Day Treatment:

The Day Treatment Program focuses on ensuring that youth have the opportunity to receive the fundamental tools needed to excel academically and within their community. The program is to provide youth with an individualized learning environment that will offer both educational and community supports to meet each youth's needs. The Ingham Intermediate School District provides the educational programming, while Highfields, Inc. oversees behavior management, including cognitive behavioral group therapy.

Juvenile Assessment Center:

All youth petitioned to the Court for delinquent behavior are eligible for the initial YLS assessment, administered by either the Intake Referees (informal cases) or the Juvenile Court Officers (formal cases). The initial assessment will often precede any determination regarding sanctions, interventions or placement options. Follow-up YLS assessments are given for youth within the formal jurisdiction of the court, at structured intervals, to assess the progress of the youth and the effectiveness of current programming as it relates to current risk and needs.

MSU Adolescent Project:

The primary goals of the program are to provide youth petitioned to the Court with a mentor who provides a healthy tutorial relationship with the juvenile to eliminate criminal behavior. Intensive services are provided by Michigan State University Student-Advocates, under the supervision of Dr. William Davidson, University Distinguished Professor and two graduate assistants. The Student-Advocate may assist their assigned juvenile in a variety of areas including improving relationships with parents and/or school personnel, improving school performance, finding jobs or developing constructive free time activities.

Community Programs/Services:

An array of services to meet the needs of troubled youth and allows them to address their problematic behavior while still residing in their home. Substance abuse assessments and treatment will be provided for Court youth, as well as anger management, intervention for youth charged with domestic violence, a short-term, in-home behavioral workshop to address behavior problem as well as other treatment interventions meant to work with youth in their family home.

Intensive Probation:

The Intensive Probation program provides intensive probationary supervision and counseling for youth who are adjudicated court wards and otherwise be committed to the Michigan Department of Human Services. Some youth would be placed in private residential care or would otherwise have been placed in family foster care and are subsequently identified as being able to remain home with aid of intensive supervision.

Sexual Offenders:

The sexual offenders program is for adolescent, pre-adolescent and female Sex Offender Treatment program is an out-patient, community-based treatment program for individuals who have been adjudicated for a sex offense. The program provides early intervention to treat within the child's home.

Truancy:

The Ingham County Truancy Court Program is a collaborative effort between the Ingham County Circuit Court Family Division and the local school districts with Ingham County. The program allows early detection of criminal behavior and intervention to prevent future criminality and need for out of home placement. The programming includes community based providers as well as social skills classes taught by Juvenile Court Officers.

Aftercare:

Aftercare services serve the needs of juvenile clients being discharged from out-of-home residential placements. The emphasis is placed on the juvenile's needs with the communities' resources. After returning to the community, the JCO has contact with the youth and family on a weekly basis to ease the transition, coordinating agency services for the youth and family as set forth in the plan.

In-Home Care Case File Review

In Home Programs:

Ten (10) cases were reviewed from In-Home Detention, Evening Reporting, Intensive Neglect Services, Ingham Academy/ Day treatment, Juvenile Assessment Center, MSU Adolescent Project, Community Program Services, Intensive Probation, Sexual Offenders, Truancy and Aftercare program to ensure compliance with the CCF rules, policies, and handbook.

- The number of youth served were within the projected number reported on the Annual Plan and Budget.
- The elements of the program meet the service focus requirements to provide services as an alternative to placement and to reduce the days in out-of-home care.
- The programs goal is to work with youth in the home or in placement to reduce the number of youth petitioned, number of days in foster care, detention, and residential treatment.
- The fiscal expenditures supporting this program were consistent with those identified and approved in the Child Care Fund, Annual Plan and Budget.

- The documentation within each of the program files (complaints, referrals, current orders, assessments, updated reports, contact sheets, etc.) meet the In-Home Care Requirements for record keeping.

Formal Exit Conference Date:

4/23 /14

CCFMU Staff Present at Exit Conference:

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist

Noel Thelen, CCFMU Specialist

Staff Present at Exit Conference:

Sara Deprez, Juvenile Services Director

Scott Leroy, Juvenile Programs Director

V. Areas Found to be in Non-Compliance with CCF Rules and Regulations:

- None.

VI. Corrections Required

- None

Thank you for the cooperation extended by you and your staff in completing the Compliance Review. Feel free to call me at 517-373-7774 if you have any questions.

Angela Jenkins, CCFMU Specialist
CCF Monitoring Unit
Date: 6/13/14

Magdalen Thomas 6.24.14
Magdalen Thomas, CCFMU Manager
CCF Monitoring Unit
Date: 6/13/14