

CHAIRPERSON  
KARA HOPE

VICE-CHAIRPERSON  
SARAH ANTHONY

VICE-CHAIRPERSON PRO-TEM  
RANDY MAIVILLE

LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE  
BRYAN CRENSHAW, CHAIR  
VICTOR CELENTINO  
PENELOPE TSEBNOGLOU  
SARAH ANTHONY  
TERI BANAS  
RANDY SCHAFFER  
RANDY MAIVILLE

## **INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

*P.O. Box 319, Mason, Michigan 48854 Telephone (517) 676-7200 Fax (517) 676-7264*

THE LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, MARCH 10, 2016 AT 6:00 P.M., IN THE PERSONNEL CONFERENCE ROOM (D & E), HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING.

### Agenda

Call to Order

Approval of the [February 11, 2016 Minutes](#)

Additions to the Agenda

Limited Public Comment

1. 55<sup>th</sup> District Court - Resolution Approving the [Appointment of James B. Pahl](#) Attorney Magistrate of the 55<sup>th</sup> District Court
2. Sheriff's Office
  - a. Resolution to Allow the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Enter into a 2016 Contract with [Advanced Public Safety Company](#) for the Sheriff's Office and 55th District Court Electronic Ticket Writing Annual Maintenance Fees
  - b. Resolution to Enter into a Contract with [Tritech Software Systems](#) to Purchase an Evidence Room Barcoding System
  - c. Resolution to Allow the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Accept from the Holt VFW Organization 100 [Child ID Kits](#) to Use in the Delhi Office Community Policing Program
3. Circuit Court/Family Division
  - a. [2015 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter Report](#)
  - b. Overview of [Programs Funded by the Juvenile Justice Millage](#) - Fiscal Year 2016
  - c. Professor William Davidson II, PhD: Presentation on the Juvenile Justice Millage and the Impact on Ingham County Juvenile Justice Programing
4. Board Referral - Letter from the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services Regarding a [50% Reimbursement](#) for Child Care Fund Expenditures

Announcements

Public Comment

Adjournment

**PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES  
OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID  
DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING**

The County of Ingham will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting for the visually impaired, for individuals with disabilities at the meeting upon five (5) working days notice to the County of Ingham. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Ingham in writing or by calling the following: Ingham County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 319, Mason, MI 48854 Phone: (517) 676-7200. A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance at this meeting. Meeting information is also available on line at [www.ingham.org](http://www.ingham.org).

LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE  
February 11, 2016  
Draft - Minutes

Members Present: Banas (Arrived at 6:01 p.m.), Crenshaw, Celentino (Departed at 6:30 p.m.), Anthony, Maiville, and Tsernoglou

Members Absent: Schafer

Others Present: John Neilsen, John Dinon, Lance Langdon, Shauna Dunnings, and Henry Rojas.

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Crenshaw at 6:00 p.m. in Personnel Conference Room "D & E" of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar Street, Lansing, Michigan.

Approval of the January 28, 2016 Minutes

MOVED BY COMM. ANTHONY, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CELENTNO, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 28, 2016 LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE MEETING.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioners Banas and Schafer.

Additions to the Agenda

None.

Limited Public Comment

None.

2. Circuit Court - Resolution Honoring Tonja Collar

MOVED BY COMM. MAIVLLE, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CELENTINO, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION HONORING TONJA COLLAR.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioners Banas and Schafer

1. Law & Courts Committee - Interviews for Ingham County Animal Control Advisory Board

Commissioner Banas arrived at 6:01 p.m.

Roxanne Wilkinson interviewed for appointment to the Ingham County Animal Control Advisory Board.

MOVED BY COMM. CELENTINO, SUPPORTED BY COMM. ANTHONY, TO RECOMMEND ROXANNE WILKINSON TO THE ANIMAL CONTROL ADVISORY BOARD.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Commissioner Schafer.

3. Ingham County 9-1-1 Center - Lance Langdon Overview of Ingham County Smart 9-1-1

Lance Langdon, Ingham County 9-1-1 Director, presented an overview of the Ingham County Smart 9-1-1 service.

John Neilsen, Chief Deputy Controller, asked what percentage of calls came from cellphones.

Mr. Langdon stated that he did not know, but could guess that it was around 60%-70%.

Commissioner Maiville asked if the information from Smart 9-1-1 would have to be copied and pasted for the active 9-1-1 response members.

Mr. Langdon answered yes and that the information could also be added to the narrative of the call.

Commissioner Maiville asked if a building or room layout could be submitted.

Mr. Langdon answered yes.

Commissioner Banas asked how individuals could get information to 9-1-1.

Mr. Langdon answered that there would be a launch, law enforcement and fire agencies would push it, and that it would be put on the website. He stated that information could be submitted through smart911.com

Commissioner Banas asked if prescription information could be added.

Mr. Langdon answered yes and that there were many tabs on the program to input information.

Commissioner Anthony asked if the department had set any goals for sign-ups.

Mr. Langdon answered no, but there were reports generated from the system.

There was a discussion regarding how Smart 9-1-1 would help individuals whose second language is English.

Commissioner Anthony stated that she would like to see goals so that there was a way to see if the service was being utilized. She asked how the budget was in terms of marketing and communication to support the launch of Smart 9-1-1.

Mr. Langdon answered that it was part of the budget for the launch.

Commissioner Anthony asked if the Smart 9-1-1 website included the Ingham County logo to help constituents identify that it was a trusted website.

Mr. Langdon answered that it did not but it could be added.

Commissioner Celentino stated that he had to leave and that the Potter Park Zoo millage had a large discrepancy because the resolution that enacted it stated that it would drop after the completion of capital improvements.

Commissioner Celentino departed at 6:30 p.m.

Mr. Langdon provided an update on the 9-1-1 Center.

Commissioner Banas asked how scheduling was managed with 11 openings.

Mr. Langdon answered that they had been struggling to get more employees and that there was a lot of overtime for staff.

Commissioner Tsernoglou asked if the County was still working with Dr. Brockman.

Mr. Neilsen answered that they were going to do a couple more session. He stated that the committee would continue to meet and there was a rotation of facilitation during the meeting. He further stated that Mr. Langdon issued a report that stated that several committee consisting of dispatcher and supervisors were created.

Commissioner Tsernoglou expressed concern over Dr. Brockman's report and stated that she would like to hear a follow-up from someone outside the department and that she did not feel comfortable leaving the issue hanging.

Mr. Neilsen stated that an annual basis of survey would continue to be held to benchmark the progress of Ingham County 9-1-1 along with the jointly routine meetings.

Commissioner Tsernoglou asked when the next survey would be.

Mr. Langdon answered that it would happen during the fall.

4. Ingham County Controller's Office - Discussion Item on Law & Courts Related Special Millages for 2016

Mr. Neilsen addressed the committee regarding millages for 2016.

Commissioner Maiville asked how the Potter Park Zoo millage could be worded as a renewal if it was going to be at a lower rate.

Mr. Neilsen answered that it was already renewed at the 401 level but that the data would be provided at the next point.

Commissioner Anthony stated that she asked the Controller to provide a snapshot of what the millages were and what they funded.

Commissioner Tsernoglou asked if it had been done in the past.

Mr. Neilsen answered that he did not, but that it would be easy to do.

There was a discussion regarding the discussion of millages.

Commissioner Maiville asked if it would be decided through Law and Courts what millages would be approved or if it would be at Board Leadership.

Mr. Neilsen answered that Law and Courts would write a resolution which would be forwarded to the Finance Committee and the full board.

Commissioner Maiville asked if the decision should be made at Board Leadership or at Law and Courts.

Mr. Neilsen answered that it would probably most helpful to have the discussion with all the Commissioners.

Commissioner Maiville asked if there was ever discussion to have more of the funding for 9-1-1 come from the general fund.

Mr. Neilsen answered that the County was not in the business of 9-1-1 until the State mandated that counties have 9-1-1 service plans. He stated that the cities that hosted 9-1-1 centers wanted to have a better 9-1-1 system and that the County found that the best way to pay for a new system was through a 9-1-1 millage. He further stated that the County contracted with Lansing and East Lansing to pay for 95% of the operating costs. He stated that eventually the County took over the 9-1-1 centers because the expenses were going up faster than the revenue. He further stated that the County built a new 9-1-1 center, but saved money by hiring less staff and that the new center was paid for by 9-1-1 funds and a bond. He stated that the board had never discussed having more money come from the general fund due to its strong public support.

Commissioner Banas stated that she would like some trend projections, like how the new 9-1-1 center could be upgraded. She asked if the general fund supported it.

Mr. Neilsen answered that it did not and that the revenues were primarily from the millage. He further stated that there was a surcharge and that the information could be provided.

Commissioner Banas stated that the Juvenile Justice Program needed more information on its justification along with outcomes to share with the public.

Mr. Neilsen stated that all the programming done with the Juvenile Justice Millage could be presented and that the County received about a 50% reimbursement from the State for matching child care services. He further stated that Dr. Davidson from Michigan State University could provide a presentation to show how successful the Juvenile Justice Program had been.

Commissioner Banas stated that she would like to hear what was learned from the program and how it was improved.

Mr. Neilsen stated that the court had been very receptive of the program, and that historically, the court was very receptive about making decisions concerning the effectiveness of their programs based on data.

Commissioner Banas asked how legislature adjudicating teenagers to a local level would affect the County.

Chairperson Crenshaw stated that the Michigan Association of Counties (MAC) was vehemently opposed to it but that it was important to perceive how it would impact Ingham County.

Commissioner Banas stated that as a progressive county, children should be helped at a local level.

Mr. Neilsen stated that there was a resolution regarding the legislature, but it was voted down. He further stated that the consensus from the meeting that voted the resolution down and the MAC was that additional resources would be required to house the additional 17-year-olds.

There was a discussion regarding the plan for millage discussion and recommendation.

Mr. Neilsen answered that Law and Courts would make the recommendation on the millages, which then would go to the Finance Committee, and then the full board. He stated that concurrent discussions could also be held as well.

Commissioner Anthony suggested having the conversation of millages in tandem with strategic planning. She asked what additional millages there were.

Mr. Neilsen answered that there was discussion over a senior millage, a brick-and-mortar millage for the Sheriff's office and jail, a capital campaign and fund raising millage, and a millage for a new animal shelter. He stated that the court was reviewing projections over the Juvenile Justice Millage, that it was doing its due diligence, and that they might eventually ask for a renewal.

Shuana Dunnings, Circuit Court Administrator, stated that the court was doing its due diligence and that the legislature decision would have a significant impact on juvenile justice in Ingham County.

Commissioner Tsernoglou stated that there were quarterly reports from the courts in regards to the Juvenile Justice Millage. She further stated that there had been discussion regarding the radio system, which the millage currently would not be able to cover. She stated that there would be a

discussion at Board Leadership regarding the big picture of millages and that the millage for a new animal shelter would be a one-time millage.

Commissioner Maiville stated that he would be for renewing the 9-1-1 and Juvenile Justice millage. He further stated that paying for 9-1-1 out of the general fund would require a lot of time and planning.

There was a discussion regarding the due dates for the language the millages.

Mr. Neilsen stated that the information would have to be certified by the Clerk by May 10, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. for the August Primary and that the language would need to be finalized before that date.

There was further discussion regarding future discussion of millages.

Chairperson Crenshaw stated that there would be only one meeting after Board Leadership to discuss the millages.

Commissioner Anthony stated that information sheets containing material about the millages might help ease the discussion.

Chairperson Crenshaw asked that information sheets be provided to speed along the millage discussion.

Commissioner Tsernoglou stated that she received a letter that needed to be forwarded to the Animal Control Advisory Board. She asked if the letter could be referred.

Mr. Neilsen stated that the Board had already received the letter and that John Dinon, Animal Control Director, was trying to get an address since he only received an electronic copy of the letter.

Commissioner Tsernoglou stated that she physically received the letter and had the physical address. She asked to be copied on the request.

Mr. Neilsen stated that Mr. Dinon was working on a response and that the Commissioners would be copied on it.

Commissioner Banas stated that she could fill the Animal Advisory Board slot if Commissioner Tsernoglou did not want it anymore.

Commissioner Tsernoglou stated that she was not on the Board.

There was a discussion regarding slots on the Animal Advisory Board.

Chairperson Crenshaw stated that Commissioner Hope offered her spot and that he would follow up with her.

Announcements

None.

Public Comment

None.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:36 p.m.

## **March 10, 2016 LAW & COURTS AGENDA STAFF REVIEW SUMMARY**

### **RESOLUTION ACTION ITEMS AND PRESENTATIONS:**

#### **The Chief Deputy Controller is recommending approval of the following resolutions:**

1. 55<sup>th</sup> District Court – *Resolution Approving the Appointment of James B. Pahl Attorney Magistrate of the 55<sup>th</sup> District Court*

This resolution will approve the appointment of James B. Pahl as a per diem Magistrate for the 55<sup>th</sup> District Court. Michigan Compiled Law 600.8501 authorizes the judges of District Court to appoint a Magistrate to carry out duties as set forth by statute and requires that the appointment of a Magistrate to the District Court be approved by the County Board of Commissioners prior to the Magistrate taking office. James B. Pahl will serve as a per diem Magistrate to fill in when the full time Magistrate is on leave or not available. He will be paid a per diem rate of \$30/hour from existing funds within the Court budget. (see attached memo for details)

- 2a. Sheriff's Office - *Resolution to Allow the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Enter into a 2016 Contract with Advanced Public Safety Company for the Sheriff's Office and 55th District Court Electronic Ticket Writing Annual Maintenance Fees*

This resolution authorizes the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to enter into a 2016 maintenance contract with Advanced Public Safety for the Sheriff's Office and 55<sup>th</sup> District Court's electronic ticket writing equipment and software. The total amount is not to exceed \$6,188.89. Funds are budgeted in the 2016 Law and Order Fund for Technology (LOFT) budget. (see attached memo for details)

- 2b. Sheriff's Office - *Resolution to Enter into a Contract with Tritech Software Systems to Purchase an Evidence Room Barcoding System Utilizing 2016 Capital Improvement Budget Funding*

This resolution will authorize the purchase of an Evidence Room Barcoding System for the Ingham County Sheriff's Office Evidence System at a cost not to exceed \$11,664.24. Funds are available within the 2016 Capital Improvement budget fund for this purchase. The costs will include the necessary software, hardware, and training from Tritech Software Systems. Tritech Software System is the current vendor that the Sheriff's Office utilizes for its Records Management System. It is necessary to utilize Tritech Software Systems for the Evidence Room Barcoding System in order to interface with the Sheriff's Office Records Management System. (see attached memo for details)

- 2c. Sheriff's Office - *Resolution to Allow the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to Accept from the Holt VFW Organization of 100 Child ID Kits to Use in our Delhi Office Community Policing Program*

This resolution will authorize the acceptance of 100 Child ID Kits from the Holt VFW Organization for the Delhi Township Community Policing program. The value of these kits is \$245.52 with the Holt VFW Organization purchasing them from the National Child Identification Program.

3. Circuit Court/Family Division
  - a. 2015 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter Report
  - b. Overview of Programs Funded by the Juvenile Justice Millage – Fiscal Year 2016
  - c. Professor William Davidson II, PhD: Presentation on the Juvenile Justice Millage and the impact on Ingham County Juvenile Justice Programming

The above items are reports and presentations about the Family Division Juvenile Justice Programming.

## Agenda Item 1

TO: Law & Courts Committee  
Finance Committee

RE: Appointment of Per Diem Magistrate – James B. Pahl

DATE: 2/17/2016

Michigan law authorizes the appointment of a Magistrate in the District Court. A Magistrate serves as quasi-judicial officer and the Magistrate's authority is specifically set forth by statute. A Magistrate assists the court by performing day-to-day judicial functions, such as conducting arraignments, setting bail, issuing arrest warrants, and presiding over civil infraction matters. The delegation of these duties from a judge, allows the judge to spend more time presiding over cases of a more serious nature.

When the Court's full-time Magistrate is utilizing leave or attending a training program, the day-to-day duties of the Magistrate must still be performed. The absence of the Magistrate creates a hardship for the court and the people we serve.

The 55<sup>th</sup> District Court respectfully requests that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners adopt the resolution appointing James B. Pahl as a per diem Magistrate for the District Court. Mr. Pahl will be paid a per diem rate of \$30/hour. The court is not requesting any additional funding to pay the per diem rate.

Respectfully,

Michael J. Dillon  
55<sup>th</sup> District Court Administrator

Introduced by the Law and Courts and Finance Committees of the:

**INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLUTION APPROVING THE APPOINTMENT OF JAMES B. PAHL  
ATTORNEY MAGISTRATE OF THE 55<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT COURT**

WHEREAS, Michigan Compiled Law 600.8501 authorizes the judges of District Court to appoint a Magistrate to carry out duties as set forth by statute; and

WHEREAS, Michigan Compiled Law 600.8501 also requires that the appointment of a Magistrate to the District Court be approved by the County Board of Commissioners prior to the Magistrate taking office; and

WHEREAS, the 55th District Court has funds within its existing budget to pay for a per diem Magistrate when the full time Magistrate is absent because of leave or training; and

WHEREAS, the 55th District Court wants to insure that there is no interruption of service to the community when the full-time Magistrate is absent; and

WHEREAS, James B. Pahl previously served as an attorney Magistrate for the 55<sup>th</sup> District Court for 21.6 years; and

WHEREAS, the 55<sup>th</sup> District Court intends to appoint James B. Pahl as a per diem Magistrate and the appointment is contingent upon the approval the Ingham County Board of Commissioners.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the appointment of James B. Pahl as a per diem Magistrate for the 55<sup>th</sup> District Court to be paid at a rate of \$ 30.00 per hour.

**Agenda Item 2a**

**TO:** Law & Courts Committee  
Finance Committee

**FROM:** Major Joel Maatman

**DATE:** February 16, 2016

**RE:** 2016 Maintenance Contract Renewal with Advanced Public Safety

This resolution authorizes the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to enter into a 2016 maintenance contract with Advanced Public Safety for the Sheriff's Office and 55<sup>th</sup> District Court's electronic ticket writing equipment and software.

The total amount is not to exceed \$6,188.89. Funds are budgeted in the 2016 Law and Order Fund for Technology (LOFT) budget.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

**INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLUTION TO ALLOW THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO ENTER INTO A 2016 CONTRACT WITH ADVANCED PUBLIC SAFETY COMPANY FOR THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND 55TH DISTRICT COURT ELECTRONIC TICKET WRITING ANNUAL MAINTENANCE FEES**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office has contracted with Advanced Public Safety company for over ten years for their electronic ticket writing programming with the 55th District Court; and

WHEREAS, Law and Order Fund for Technology (LOFT) funds are available to cover the yearly maintenance fees costs for this program; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office and the 55th District Court wishes to continue in 2016 with Advanced Public Safety Company's maintenance program; and

WHEREAS, the 2016 maintenance contract costs are \$6,188.89 which covers the electronic ticket writing equipment and software.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to enter into a 2016 maintenance contract with Advanced Public Safety Company for electronic ticket writing equipment and software in an amount not to exceed \$6,188.89.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, funds are budgeted for 2016 within account #636-25820-932050.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Controller/Administrator is authorized to make the necessary adjustments to the appropriate 2016 budgets consistent with this resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

## Agenda Item 2b

TO: Law & Courts Committee  
Finance Committee

FROM: Major Maatman, Field & Staff Services Administrator

DATE: February 16, 2016

RE: RESOLUTION TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH TRITECH  
SOFTWARE SYSTEMS TO PURCHASE AN EVIDENCE ROOM  
BARCODING SYSTEM UTILIZING 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT  
BUDGET FUNDING

This resolution is for the approval to utilize 2016 Capital Improvement Budget funds to purchase an Evidence Room Barcoding System for the Ingham County Sheriff's Office Evidence System. The 2016 Capital Improvement Funding was previously approved for this purchase.

Specifically, Capital Improvement funding will be used to purchase software, hardware, and training from TriTech Software Systems. TriTech Software System is the current vendor that the Sheriff's Office utilizes for its Records Management System. It is necessary to utilize TriTech Software Systems for the Evidence Room barcoding system in order to interface with the Sheriff's Office Records Management System.

Managing evidence is one of the Sheriff's Office's most critical tasks. Automated Evidence Management enables Property Room personnel to better track evidence and property that flow through the evidence system. This system will greatly enhance the effectiveness of inventory and purging of evidence/property.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

**INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLUTION TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH TRITECH SOFTWARE SYSTEMS TO PURCHASE AN EVIDENCE ROOM BARCODING SYSTEM**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office has been approved to receive 2016 Capital Improvement Funding for an Evidence Room Barcoding System; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of requesting 2016 Capital Improvement funds is to purchase a Barcoding Evidence System for the Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff's Office has determined the need to update the Evidence Room software system to better account for property being held by the Ingham County Sheriff's Office; and

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvement funding will be used to purchase an Evidence Room Barcoding System from TriTech who is currently the Ingham County Sheriff's Office records management system for both corrections and field services; and

WHEREAS, the total expenditure for this proposal is not to exceed \$11,664.24.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes entering into a contract with TriTech Software systems and utilize \$11,664.24 from the 2016 Capital Improvement funding to upgrade and purchase the Evidence Room barcoding system.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents or purchase documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

**Agenda Item 2c**

**TO:** Law & Courts Committee  
Finance Committee

**FROM:** Major Joel Maatman

**DATE:** February 24, 2016

**RE:** Gift of 100 Child ID Kits

RESOLUTION TO ALLOW THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO ACCEPT FROM THE HOLT VFW ORGANIZATION OF 100 CHILD ID KITS TO USE IN OUR DELHI OFFICE COMMUNITY POLICING PROGRAM.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

**INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLUTION TO ALLOW THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO ACCEPT FROM  
THE HOLT VFW ORGANIZATION 100 CHILD ID KITS TO USE IN THE DELHI OFFICE  
COMMUNITY POLICING PROGRAM**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office has been contacted by the Holt VFW organization who wishes to purchase and donate 100 Child ID Kits for our Delhi Township Community Policing program; and

WHEREAS, the value of these kits is \$245.52 with the Holt VFW Organization purchasing them from the National Child Identification Program; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office contracts with Delhi Township for their policing including having a Community Policing Deputy position; and

WHEREAS, the Child ID kits will allow parents who wish to participate in this program, to obtain them from our Community Policing Deputy for future reference if needed; and

WHEREAS, all Child ID Kits distributed will be held and maintained by the parents of the children using them; and

WHEREAS; these Child ID Kits in the future could assist all Law Enforcement in identifying a child if that child identity is not known; and

WHEREAS; the Ingham County Sheriff's Office supports programs such as these Child ID Kits.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to accept this donation of 100 Child ID Kits from the Holt VFW Organization.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and Sheriff's Office expresses their appreciation to Holt VFW organization for their generous donations and their support of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office, Delhi Division Community Policing Program.

Ingham County Circuit Court  
Family Division

Quarterly Data Report

October 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006	April 1, 2015 - June 30, 2015	July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015	October 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015
INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION	INTAKE DIVISION
<b>PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 357</b> 78 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 126 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 165 NEGLECT 142 Authorized 13 Not Authorized / Transferred	<b>PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 332</b> 85 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 73 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 174 NEGLECT 120 Authorized 54 Not Authorized / Transferred	<b>PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 307</b> 41 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 76 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 190 NEGLECT 152 Authorized 38 Not Authorized / Transferred	<b>PETITIONS RECEIVED DURING THIS PERIOD: 335</b> 85 INFORMAL DELINQUENCY 83 FORMAL DELINQUENCY 187 NEGLECT 117 Authorized 50 Not Authorized / Transferred
<b>PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 239</b> 1) INFORMAL 0 Consent Calendar 2 Inactive 19 Transferred 22 Divorced 43 Total  <b>Services Provided</b> 21 Community Service 1 Cost 10 Counseling / Anger Management 3 Letters of Apology 4 MSJ Diversion 3 Restitution 1 School Progress 1 Substance Abuse 32 Other 76 Total  2) FORMAL A) Delinquency 81 Admission / No Contest 1 Bench Verdict 0 Designation Granted 18 Dismissed 0 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolo Prosequi 0 Traditional Waiver 80 Total B) Neglect 83 Admission / No Contest 10 Bench Verdict 30 Dismissed / Withdrawn 11 Not Authorized 2 Transferred 116 Total	<b>PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 329</b> 1) INFORMAL 3 Consent Calendar 4 Inactive 18 Transferred 0 Cost 39 Divorced 64 Total  <b>Services Provided</b> 8 Community Service 0 Cost 4 Counseling / Anger Management 49 MSJ Adolescence Project 0 Restitution 1 School Progress 1 Substance Abuse 21 Other 84 Total  2) FORMAL A) Delinquency 85 Admission / No Contest 0 Bench Verdict 0 Designation Granted 42 Dismissed 0 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolo Prosequi 0 Traditional Waiver 107 Total B) Neglect 46 Admission / No Contest 32 Bench Verdict 9 Dismissed / Withdrawn 8 Jury Verdict 48 Not Authorized 4 Transferred 188 Total	<b>PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 312</b> 1) INFORMAL 8 Consent Calendar 4 Inactive 21 Transferred 62 Divorced 85 Total  <b>Services Provided</b> 8 Community Service 0 Cost 5 Counseling / Anger Management 49 MSJ Adolescence Project 0 Restitution 0 School Progress 1 Substance Abuse 0 Other 64 Total  2) FORMAL A) Delinquency 84 Admission / No Contest 0 Bench Verdict 1 Designation Granted 32 Dismissed 0 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolo Prosequi 0 Traditional Waiver 87 Total B) Neglect 67 Admission / No Contest 32 Bench Verdict 27 Dismissed / Withdrawn 0 School Progress 0 Substance Abuse 0 Other 1 Transferred 146 Total	<b>PETITIONS DISPOSED DURING THIS PERIOD: 286</b> 1) INFORMAL 7 Consent Calendar 0 Inactive 14 Transferred 32 Divorced 53 Total  <b>Services Provided</b> 8 Community Service 0 Cost 1 Counseling / Anger Management 5 Letters of Apology 47 MSJ Adolescence Project 0 Restitution 0 School Progress 0 Substance Abuse 0 Other 68 Total  2) FORMAL A) Delinquency 84 Admission / No Contest 0 Bench Verdict 0 Designation Granted 24 Dismissed 0 Jury Verdict 0 Prosecutor Waiver 0 Nolo Prosequi 1 Traditional Waiver 78 Total B) Neglect 65 Admission / No Contest 38 Bench Verdict 12 Dismissed / Withdrawn 0 Jury Verdict 37 Not Authorized 6 Transferred 164 Total
NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION	NEGLECT DIVISION
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 194</b> 1) PROGRAMS (JUVENILE STATS) A) Intensive Neglect Services 29 Emergency Removal 113 Remain Active 11 Successful Dismissal 2 Other 145 Total  B) Family Support Services 36 Remain Active 7 Successful Dismissal 6 Other 49 Total	<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 226</b> 1) PROGRAMS A) Intensive Neglect Services 4 Emergency Removal 82 Remain Active 20 Successful Dismissal 4 Other 110 Total  B) Family Support Services 65 Remain Active 4 Successful Dismissal 7 Other 65 Total  C) First Step Program 16 Remain Active 34 Successful Dismissal 0 Other 50 Total	<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 184</b> 1) PROGRAMS A) Intensive Neglect Services 12 Emergency Removal 63 Remain Active 20 Successful Dismissal 4 Other 99 Total  B) Family Support Services 68 Remain Active 4 Successful Dismissal 11 Other 71 Total  C) First Step Program 13 Remain Active 7 Successful Dismissal 0 Other 14 Total	<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD: 206</b> 1) PROGRAMS A) Intensive Neglect Services 6 Emergency Removal 73 Remain Active 6 Successful Dismissal 5 Other 90 Total  B) Family Support Services 65 Remain Active 7 Successful Dismissal 12 Other 78 Total  C) First Step Program 14 Remain Active 27 Successful Dismissal 0 Other 41 Total
DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS	DEMOGRAPHICS
<b>A) Gender</b> 86 Females 158 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 27 Bi-Racial 79 Black 8 Hispanic 0 Native American 63 White	<b>A) Gender</b> 111 Females 119 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 17 Bi-Racial 82 Black 28 Hispanic 1 Native American 97 White 8 Other	<b>A) Gender</b> 85 Females 89 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 22 Bi-Racial 68 Black 15 Hispanic 1 Native American 79 White 4 Other	<b>A) Gender</b> 86 Females 110 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 23 Bi-Racial 76 Black 18 Hispanic 1 Native American 81 White 9 Other
<b>C) Age</b> 12-11 Months: 4 9 Years: 8 10 Years: 0 11 Years: 7 12 Years: 8 13 Years: 12 14 Years: 12 15 Years: 23 16 Years: 18 17 Years: 9 18 Years: 0	<b>C) Age</b> 12-11 Months: 13 9 Years: 12 10 Years: 12 11 Years: 12 12 Years: 11 13 Years: 8 14 Years: 6 15 Years: 20 16 Years: 18 17 Years: 17 18 Years: 4	<b>C) Age</b> 1-11 Months: 7 9 Years: 12 10 Years: 7 11 Years: 7 12 Years: 10 13 Years: 11 14 Years: 4 15 Years: 23 16 Years: 19 17 Years: 23 18 Years: 10	<b>C) Age</b> 1-11 Months: 3 9 Years: 15 10 Years: 10 11 Years: 11 12 Years: 8 13 Years: 8 14 Years: 10 15 Years: 15 16 Years: 30 17 Years: 20
2) PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES) <b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b> A) Drug Court Services 16 Remain Active 2 Successful Dismissal 1 Unsuccessful Termination 19 Total  <b>A) Gender</b> 16 Females 3 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 4 Black 1 Hispanic 0 Native American 14 White 0 Other	2) PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES) <b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b> A) Drug Court Services 15 Remain Active 0 Successful Dismissal 0 Unsuccessful Termination 15 Total  <b>A) Gender</b> 13 Females 3 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 2 Black 1 Hispanic 0 Native American 13 White 0 Other	2) PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES) <b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b> A) Drug Court Services 21 Remain Active 0 Successful Dismissal 0 Unsuccessful Termination 21 Total  <b>A) Gender</b> 18 Females 3 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 2 Black 1 Hispanic 0 Native American 18 White 0 Other	2) PROGRAMS (ADULT STATS & INCLUDES DHS CASES) <b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b> A) Drug Court Services 18 Remain Active 0 Successful Dismissal 0 Unsuccessful Termination 18 Total  <b>A) Gender</b> 18 Females 0 Males  <b>B) Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 Bi-Racial 2 Black 1 Hispanic 0 Native American 18 White 0 Other

Ingham County Circuit Court  
Family Division

Quarterly Data Report

Printout Date: 2/29/2016

October 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006	April 1, 2015 - June 30, 2015	July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015	October 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015
<b>DELINQUENCY DIVISION</b>			
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD</b> <b>1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS</b> 36 Aftercare 42 Crossroads 86 In-Home Detention 82 Intensive Probation Services 23 Sex Offender Program 209 Standard Probation 182 Truancy Court <b>650 Total</b>		<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD</b> <b>1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS</b> 18 Aftercare 13 Community Placement Program 199 In-Home Detention 35 Intensive Probation Services 25 Sex Offender Program 144 Standard Probation 85 Truancy Court <b>615 Total</b>	
<b>2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT</b> <b>A). In-State</b> 8 Clarinda Transitional Living Center 2 Florence Christian Services 1 Havermyck Hospital RTC 0 Highfields 4 Maurice Spears 7 Peckham Footprints Group Home 16 Shawassaw Detention Center 3 St. Vincent's Home 1 Turning Point Youth Center 6 Wedgwood Christian Services <b>52 Total</b>		<b>2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT</b> <b>A). In-State</b> 0 Angel House 5 Bethany Christian Services 0 Eaton County Youth Facility 0 Eagle Village, Inc. 2 Highfields Phoenix Program 1 Highfields Stabilization Program 0 Lakeside Academy 2 Maurice Spears 1 Murack Lodge 0 Ottawa County Youth Facility 0 Pine Rest Christian Mental Health 0 Recovery High 2 Spectrum Juvenile Justice Services 0 St. Vincent's Home 0 Starr Commonwealth 0 Turning Point Youth Center 2 Washenaw County Girls Treatment Prog 0 Wedgwood Christian Services 1 Wolverine Secure Treatment-Endevor <b>14 Total</b>	
<b>B). Out-of-State</b> 8 Clarinda Academy 2 Girls and Boys Town 10 Glen Mills Schools 8 Minus Mountain Academy 13 Munce Reception & Diagnostic 6 Normative Services, Inc. 7 Woodward Academy <b>49 Total</b>		<b>B). Out-of-State</b> 0 Abraxas Academy 2 Boys Town 1 Glen Mills Schools 1 Hillcrest Academy 0 Midwest Center for Youth & Families 2 Minus Mountain Academy 1 Mountain Home 0 Normative Services, Inc. 0 Rite of Passage 1 Southern Peaks Reg Trmt Center 0 Woodward Academy <b>7 Total</b>	
<b>C). Local Group Homes</b> 8 Peckham Footprints Group Home <b>8 Total</b>		<b>C). Local Group Homes</b> 8 Peckham Footprints Group Home <b>8 Total</b>	
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>			
<b>1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 217 Females 0 7 Years 433 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 2 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 2 Bi-Racial 40 12 Years 316 Black 85 13 Years 86 Hispanic 115 14 Years 0 Native American 194 15 Years 289 White 146 16 Years 60 17 Years 3 18 Years 0 19 Years 0 20 Years		<b>2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 38 Females 0 7 Years 62 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 0 Bi-Racial 3 12 Years 58 Black 7 13 Years 14 Hispanic 17 14 Years 7 Native American 31 15 Years 38 White 82 16 Years 10 17 Years 1 18 Years 0 19 Years 0 20 Years	
<b>1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 172 Females 0 7 Years 343 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 4 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 44 Bi-Racial 18 12 Years 271 Black 83 13 Years 62 Hispanic 67 14 Years 7 Native American 167 15 Years 187 White 143 16 Years 0 Other 66 17 Years 7 18 Years 0 19 Years 0 20 Years		<b>2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 13 Females 0 7 Years 17 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 1 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 0 Bi-Racial 2 12 Years 12 Black 1 13 Years 1 Hispanic 8 14 Years 1 Native American 2 15 Years 16 White 10 16 Years 0 Other 6 17 Years 3 18 Years 0 19 Years 0 20 Years	
<b>1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 127 Females 0 7 Years 328 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 1 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 30 Bi-Racial 12 12 Years 282 Black 45 13 Years 63 Hispanic 62 14 Years 2 Native American 142 15 Years 67 White 136 16 Years 0 Other 66 17 Years 3 18 Years 0 19 Years 0 20 Years		<b>2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 12 Females 0 7 Years 16 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 0 Bi-Racial 0 12 Years 11 Black 3 13 Years 4 Hispanic 4 14 Years 3 Native American 73 15 Years 74 White 70 16 Years 0 Other 43 17 Years 2 18 Years 1 19 Years 0 20 Years	
<b>1). COURT ORDERED PROGRAMS</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 85 Females 0 7 Years 192 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 19 Bi-Racial 10 12 Years 143 Black 26 13 Years 35 Hispanic 28 14 Years 3 Native American 73 15 Years 74 White 70 16 Years 0 Other 43 17 Years 2 18 Years 1 19 Years 0 20 Years		<b>2). RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT</b> <b>A). Gender C). Age</b> 12 Females 0 7 Years 14 Males 0 8 Years 0 9 Years 0 10 Years <b>B). Race</b> 0 Asian / Oriental 0 11 Years 0 Bi-Racial 0 12 Years 12 Black 2 13 Years 4 Hispanic 1 14 Years 2 Native American 7 15 Years 8 White 11 16 Years 0 Other 2 17 Years 1 18 Years 1 19 Years 0 20 Years	

Ingham County Circuit Court  
Family Division

Quarterly Data Report

Printout Date: 2/29/2016

October 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006	April 1, 2015 - June 30, 2015	July 1, 2015 - September 30, 2015	October 1, 2015 - December 31, 2015																																																				
<b>NEGLECT AND DELINQUENCY DIVISIONS</b>																																																							
<b>LANSING ZIP CODES (Residency of Youth Served)</b>																																																							
<b>LANSING</b> 121 48006 - North 28 48823 - East Lansing 1 49284 - Onondaga 127 48010 - Central to Eastside 8 48840 - Haslett 15 49285 - Stockbridge 129 48011 - South 31 48542 - Holt 69 48912 - Central 7 48864 - Okemos 68 48916 - Central 7 48862 - Webberville 28 48917 - West 12 48895 - Williamston 8 48933 - Central 8 49251 - Leslie	<b>LANSING</b> 92 48906 - North 0 48819 - Dansville 0 48805 - Williamston 92 48910 - Central to Eastside 20 49254 - Mason 5 49251 - Leslie 121 48911 - South 8 48823 - East Lansing 0 49284 - Onondaga 82 48912 - East 7 48840 - Haslett 0 49285 - Stockbridge 55 48915 - Central 32 48842 - Holt 7 48917 - West 7 48864 - Okemos 8 48933 - Central 1 48892 - Webberville	<b>LANSING</b> 67 48906 - North 0 48819 - Dansville 2 48895 - Williamston 90 48910 - Central to Eastside 14 48954 - Mason 0 49251 - Leslie 101 48911 - South 13 48823 - East Lansing 0 49284 - Onondaga 43 48912 - East 8 48840 - Haslett 1 49285 - Stockbridge 41 48915 - Central 18 48842 - Holt 13 48917 - West 12 48894 - Okemos 6 48933 - Central 0 48892 - Webberville	<b>LANSING</b> 82 48906 - North 1 48819 - Dansville 7 48895 - Williamston 92 48910 - Central to Eastside 12 48954 - Mason 6 49251 - Leslie 127 48911 - South 18 48823 - East Lansing 0 49284 - Onondaga 44 48912 - East 8 48840 - Haslett 2 49285 - Stockbridge 46 48915 - Central 17 48842 - Holt 11 48917 - West 6 48864 - Okemos 8 48933 - Central 1 48892 - Webberville																																																				
<b>INGHAM COUNTY YOUTH CENTER</b>																																																							
<b>1) ADMISSIONS</b> Total: 184 <b>2) RELEASES</b> Total: 162 11 Average Length of Stay (days) 35 # of youths exceeding 22 days	<b>1) ADMISSIONS</b> Total: 132 <b>2) RELEASES</b> Total: 116 15 Average Length of Stay (days) 25 # of youths exceeding 30 days	<b>1) ADMISSIONS</b> Total: 142 <b>2) RELEASES</b> Total: 121 14 Average Length of Stay (days) 35 # of youths exceeding 28 days	<b>1) ADMISSIONS</b> Total: 145 <b>2) RELEASES</b> Total: 124 14 Average Length of Stay (days) 24 # of youths exceeding 28 days																																																				
<b>OTHER DETENTION</b>																																																							
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD</b>																																																							
0 Eaton County Youth Facility Roscommon County Detention Facility Ottawa County Youth Facility																																																							
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>																																																							
<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Females</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 7 Years</td> <td>0 14 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Males</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 8 Years</td> <td>0 15 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 Black</td> <td>0 9 Years</td> <td>0 16 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 10 Years</td> <td>0 17 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 11 Years</td> <td>0 18 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 12 Years</td> <td>0 19 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 13 Years</td> <td>0 19 Years</td> </tr> </table>				<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	0 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	0 7 Years	0 14 Years	0 Males	0 Bi-Racial	0 8 Years	0 15 Years		0 Black	0 9 Years	0 16 Years		0 Hispanic	0 10 Years	0 17 Years		0 Native American	0 11 Years	0 18 Years		0 White	0 12 Years	0 19 Years		0 Other	0 13 Years	0 19 Years																				
<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>C). Age</b>																																																				
0 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	0 7 Years	0 14 Years																																																				
0 Males	0 Bi-Racial	0 8 Years	0 15 Years																																																				
	0 Black	0 9 Years	0 16 Years																																																				
	0 Hispanic	0 10 Years	0 17 Years																																																				
	0 Native American	0 11 Years	0 18 Years																																																				
	0 White	0 12 Years	0 19 Years																																																				
	0 Other	0 13 Years	0 19 Years																																																				
<b>ACT 150 /230</b>																																																							
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD:</b>																																																							
FAMILY DIVISION 72 <b>WAIVER GENERAL</b> 2 1) ACT 150 2 2) DHS ACT 220 70																																																							
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>																																																							
<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Females</td> <td>0 7 Years</td> <td>0 Females</td> <td>0 7 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Males</td> <td>0 9 Years</td> <td>0 Males</td> <td>0 9 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 10 Years</td> <td></td> <td>0 10 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 11 Years</td> <td></td> <td>0 11 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> </tr> </table>				<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	0 Females	0 7 Years	0 Females	0 7 Years	2 Males	0 9 Years	0 Males	0 9 Years		0 10 Years		0 10 Years		0 11 Years		0 11 Years	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 White	0 White	0 White	0 White	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other			
<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>																																																				
0 Females	0 7 Years	0 Females	0 7 Years																																																				
2 Males	0 9 Years	0 Males	0 9 Years																																																				
	0 10 Years		0 10 Years																																																				
	0 11 Years		0 11 Years																																																				
<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>																																																				
0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental																																																				
0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial																																																				
2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black																																																				
0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic																																																				
0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American																																																				
0 White	0 White	0 White	0 White																																																				
0 Other	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other																																																				
<b>INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER</b>																																																							
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD</b>																																																							
143 81 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 28 Peckham Crossroads Program 64 Pride Program 8 Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program																																																							
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>																																																							
<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> <td><b>D). Grade</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>42 Females</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>2 12 Years</td> <td>8 8<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>86 Males</td> <td>6 Bi-Racial</td> <td>10 13 Years</td> <td>37 9<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>70 Black</td> <td>12 14 Years</td> <td>31 10<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>18 Hispanic</td> <td>27 15 Years</td> <td>13 11<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>2 Native American</td> <td>31 16 Years</td> <td>0 12<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>38 White</td> <td>35 17 Years</td> <td>**Ingham Academy Students ONLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>15 18 Years</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 19 Years</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>				<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>D). Grade</b>	42 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	2 12 Years	8 8 <sup>th</sup>	86 Males	6 Bi-Racial	10 13 Years	37 9 <sup>th</sup>		70 Black	12 14 Years	31 10 <sup>th</sup>		18 Hispanic	27 15 Years	13 11 <sup>th</sup>		2 Native American	31 16 Years	0 12 <sup>th</sup>		38 White	35 17 Years	**Ingham Academy Students ONLY		0 Other	15 18 Years				1 19 Years																	
<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>D). Grade</b>																																																				
42 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	2 12 Years	8 8 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
86 Males	6 Bi-Racial	10 13 Years	37 9 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	70 Black	12 14 Years	31 10 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	18 Hispanic	27 15 Years	13 11 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	2 Native American	31 16 Years	0 12 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	38 White	35 17 Years	**Ingham Academy Students ONLY																																																				
	0 Other	15 18 Years																																																					
		1 19 Years																																																					
<b>ACT 150 /230</b>																																																							
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD:</b>																																																							
FAMILY DIVISION 82 <b>WAIVER GENERAL</b> 2 1) ACT 150 2 2) DHS ACT 220 80																																																							
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>																																																							
<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Females</td> <td>0 7 Years</td> <td>0 Females</td> <td>0 7 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Males</td> <td>0 9 Years</td> <td>1 Males</td> <td>0 9 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 10 Years</td> <td></td> <td>0 10 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 11 Years</td> <td></td> <td>0 11 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> </tr> </table>				<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	0 Females	0 7 Years	0 Females	0 7 Years	2 Males	0 9 Years	1 Males	0 9 Years		0 10 Years		0 10 Years		0 11 Years		0 11 Years	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 White	0 White	0 White	0 White	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other			
<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>																																																				
0 Females	0 7 Years	0 Females	0 7 Years																																																				
2 Males	0 9 Years	1 Males	0 9 Years																																																				
	0 10 Years		0 10 Years																																																				
	0 11 Years		0 11 Years																																																				
<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>																																																				
0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental																																																				
0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial																																																				
2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black																																																				
0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic																																																				
0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American																																																				
0 White	0 White	0 White	0 White																																																				
0 Other	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other																																																				
<b>INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER</b>																																																							
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD</b>																																																							
165 72 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 39 Peckham Crossroads Program 43 Pride Program 14 Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program																																																							
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>																																																							
<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> <td><b>D). Grade</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>49 Females</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 12 Years</td> <td>11 8<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>89 Males</td> <td>8 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 13 Years</td> <td>56 9<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>71 Black</td> <td>0 14 Years</td> <td>34 10<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>18 Hispanic</td> <td>30 15 Years</td> <td>9 11<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>1 Native American</td> <td>28 16 Years</td> <td>0 12<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>62 White</td> <td>45 17 Years</td> <td>**Ingham Academy Students ONLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>12 18 Years</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 19 Years</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>				<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>D). Grade</b>	49 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	0 12 Years	11 8 <sup>th</sup>	89 Males	8 Bi-Racial	0 13 Years	56 9 <sup>th</sup>		71 Black	0 14 Years	34 10 <sup>th</sup>		18 Hispanic	30 15 Years	9 11 <sup>th</sup>		1 Native American	28 16 Years	0 12 <sup>th</sup>		62 White	45 17 Years	**Ingham Academy Students ONLY		0 Other	12 18 Years				1 19 Years																	
<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>D). Grade</b>																																																				
49 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	0 12 Years	11 8 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
89 Males	8 Bi-Racial	0 13 Years	56 9 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	71 Black	0 14 Years	34 10 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	18 Hispanic	30 15 Years	9 11 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	1 Native American	28 16 Years	0 12 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	62 White	45 17 Years	**Ingham Academy Students ONLY																																																				
	0 Other	12 18 Years																																																					
		1 19 Years																																																					
<b>ACT 150 /230</b>																																																							
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD:</b>																																																							
FAMILY DIVISION 79 <b>WAIVER GENERAL</b> 0 1) ACT 150 2 2) DHS ACT 220 77																																																							
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>																																																							
<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Females</td> <td>0 7 Years</td> <td>0 Females</td> <td>0 7 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Males</td> <td>0 9 Years</td> <td>2 Males</td> <td>0 9 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 10 Years</td> <td></td> <td>0 10 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 11 Years</td> <td></td> <td>0 11 Years</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 Bi-Racial</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> <td>2 Black</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> <td>0 Hispanic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> <td>0 Native American</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> <td>0 White</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>0 Other</td> </tr> </table>				<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	0 Females	0 7 Years	0 Females	0 7 Years	2 Males	0 9 Years	2 Males	0 9 Years		0 10 Years		0 10 Years		0 11 Years		0 11 Years	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 White	0 White	0 White	0 White	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other			
<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>C). Age</b>																																																				
0 Females	0 7 Years	0 Females	0 7 Years																																																				
2 Males	0 9 Years	2 Males	0 9 Years																																																				
	0 10 Years		0 10 Years																																																				
	0 11 Years		0 11 Years																																																				
<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>B). Race</b>																																																				
0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental	0 Asian / Oriental																																																				
0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial	0 Bi-Racial																																																				
2 Black	2 Black	2 Black	2 Black																																																				
0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic	0 Hispanic																																																				
0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American	0 Native American																																																				
0 White	0 White	0 White	0 White																																																				
0 Other	0 Other	0 Other	0 Other																																																				
<b>INGHAM COUNTY FAMILY CENTER</b>																																																							
<b>PROGRAM ACTIVITY DURING THIS PERIOD</b>																																																							
183 85 Ingham Academy Day Treatment 37 Peckham Crossroads Program 61 Pride Program 7 Attending Ingham Academy & Pride Program																																																							
<b>DEMOGRAPHICS</b>																																																							
<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>A). Gender</b></td> <td><b>B). Race</b></td> <td><b>C). Age</b></td> <td><b>D). Grade</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>43 Females</td> <td>0 Asian / Oriental</td> <td>1 12 Years</td> <td>11 8<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>87 Males</td> <td>8 Bi-Racial</td> <td>0 13 Years</td> <td>45 9<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>75 Black</td> <td>12 14 Years</td> <td>32 10<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>16 Hispanic</td> <td>24 15 Years</td> <td>1 11<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>1 Native American</td> <td>35 16 Years</td> <td>12<sup>th</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>33 White</td> <td>33 17 Years</td> <td>**Ingham Academy Students ONLY</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>0 Other</td> <td>18 18 Years</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1 19 Years</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>				<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>D). Grade</b>	43 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	1 12 Years	11 8 <sup>th</sup>	87 Males	8 Bi-Racial	0 13 Years	45 9 <sup>th</sup>		75 Black	12 14 Years	32 10 <sup>th</sup>		16 Hispanic	24 15 Years	1 11 <sup>th</sup>		1 Native American	35 16 Years	12 <sup>th</sup>		33 White	33 17 Years	**Ingham Academy Students ONLY		0 Other	18 18 Years				1 19 Years																	
<b>A). Gender</b>	<b>B). Race</b>	<b>C). Age</b>	<b>D). Grade</b>																																																				
43 Females	0 Asian / Oriental	1 12 Years	11 8 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
87 Males	8 Bi-Racial	0 13 Years	45 9 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	75 Black	12 14 Years	32 10 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	16 Hispanic	24 15 Years	1 11 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	1 Native American	35 16 Years	12 <sup>th</sup>																																																				
	33 White	33 17 Years	**Ingham Academy Students ONLY																																																				
	0 Other	18 18 Years																																																					
		1 19 Years																																																					

TO: Law and Courts Committee  
FROM: Maureen Winslow  
DATE: March 1, 2016  
RE: **Programs Funded by the Juvenile Justice Millage-Fiscal Year 2016**

**Ingham County Youth Center**

The Ingham County Youth Center is a 24 bed, secure detention facility for juveniles who come under the jurisdiction of the Court. Typically, juveniles placed in the Youth Center are there for felonies and violations of court orders/probation. The average length of stay is 14 days. Parents are encouraged to visit with youth twice per week during the set times.

Juveniles attend school on a regular, full time basis while detained. The teachers are from the Lansing School District. Academic credit is transferable to the juvenile's home school upon release from the Youth Center.

The Youth Center staff follows the evidence based programming as recommended by Dr. Edward Latessa, juvenile justice expert from the University of Cincinnati. The Cognitive Behavior Therapy curriculum used at the Youth Center is Rational Behavior Training (RBT) which concentrates on changing the thinking errors juveniles make before and during the commission of committing crimes.

Research also shows a reward system balanced with appropriate sanctions works best, focusing on prosocial thoughts and activities. Therefore, the Youth Center uses a token economy system as well as a level system designed to recognizing positive behaviors immediately. Therapy Dogs are brought in twice per week bringing a sense of calm to the environment.

The juvenile's detained in the Youth Center are seen regularly by the Family Division's Court Psychologist and Clinical Social Worker to assess their mental health and provide intervention when needed. A juveniles' physical health is also assessed by the Health Department.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$1,433,217.**

**Total Budget for Youth Center: \$3,149,480**

**Intensive Probation Services**

The Intensive Probation Services Program (IPS) provides intensive probationary supervision and counseling for youth. Youth involved in IPS are adjudicated or pre adjudicated youth, petitioned to the court for delinquency and are in need of closer supervision and programming, according to the seriousness of the crime(s) committed and/or their risk assessment score. Juveniles in the Intensive Probation Services Program are at risk of out of home placement. The goals of the program are to keep youth in the community and to reduce their recidivism risk.

The success of the Intensive Probation Services program can be attributed, in part, to increased contact (up to daily), with the juvenile, and regular contact with parent(s), schools, treatment agencies etc. An individual treatment plan is designed for each youth to meet their needs. The IPS staff operates by a team concept, committed to helping youth succeed by providing services to the juvenile and family on an in-home basis, utilizing community based resources.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$297,115**

**Total Budget for Intensive Probation Services: \$619,786**

### **In Home Detention Services**

Juveniles are placed on In Home Detention as an early release from or in lieu of being detained at the Youth Center. Non-adjudicated, as well as adjudicated youth, may be placed on In Home Detention following the entry of a court order. Two part time Compliance Officers, working evenings and weekends, assist in making sure juveniles are following their program requirements. House Arrest Services monitors the tether reports, informing the assigned Juvenile Court Officer when an infraction has occurred. The success of the In Home Detention Program can be attributed to daily contact with the juveniles, parents and schools.

Additionally, another aspect of the In Home Detention Services Program is the Court's Community Placement Program (CPP). The purpose of this program is to have a Senior Juvenile Court Officer dedicated to small caseload (less than 10) juveniles who are at very high risk of being placed in residential treatment facilities due to their behavior and criminogenic thinking. This worker designs treatment plans that are highly structured, utilizing community agencies/resources. Face to face contact occurs several times per week with the goal of keeping the juvenile in the community.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$159,380**

**Total Budget for In Home Detention Services: \$341,326**

### **Community Service/Aftercare**

The Aftercare Program serves the needs of juveniles discharged from out of home residential placements as well as those living in the Peckham Footprints female group home. The extension of services following placement is essential not only to the success of the juvenile, but the family and community as well. An individualized plan for each juvenile begins being formed at the onset of placement and is updated throughout the time in placement. Upon release from the facility, the juvenile becomes engaged in community resources that will work to engage the youth in pro social activity, thereby reducing the risk of recidivism. An Aftercare Juvenile Court Officer meets with the juvenile during the time in placement, establishing a professional relationship prior to the juvenile's discharge. The juvenile's case will remain active in the Court until such time as it is determined there has been a successful completion of probation or maximum benefits have been achieved.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$234,099**

**Total Budget for Community Service/Aftercare: \$516,654**

### **Truancy**

Truancy Court includes an intensive program designed to address youth petitioned for excessive absenteeism. The juveniles petitioned into Truancy Court have a history of truancy, suspensions, and/or expulsions, often engaging in serious negative behaviors. Truancy Court is a collaborative effort between the Circuit Court's Family Division and the school districts within Ingham County. This specialty court focuses on juveniles enrolled in Middle School. The juveniles are given the opportunity to rectify their school attendance without the matter being placed on the formal docket. If after 4 weeks, the corrections in attendance do not occur, the juvenile is ordered to comply with an individualized treatment plan which includes attending school regularly.

Truancy Court allows for early detection of criminogenic behavior (utilizing the YLS risk assessment tool) as well as early intervention so as to prevent future criminality and possibly the need for out of home placement. The two Juvenile Court Officers assigned to the Truancy Court refer their clients to community resources. As with other programs in the Family Division, parents are required to work with the Juvenile Court Officers in addressing their parenting skills, as appropriate.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$166,339**

**Total Budget for Truancy: \$332,679**

**Day Treatment/Ingham Academy**

Developed in 2007, the Ingham Academy Day Treatment Program is a highly structured and intensive day treatment program. The mission is to provide youth with an individualized learning environment that will offer both educational and community support to meet each juvenile's needs. The Day Treatment Program focuses on ensuring that youth have the opportunity to receive the fundamental tools needed to excel academically and within their community. The Ingham Academy is committed to offering youth the ability to obtain a diploma and receive support services through a collaborative community partnership.

The Ingham Intermediate School District provides the educational programming, assigning a teacher and a para professional to each classroom. Highfields Inc. employs a Behavioral Specialist for each classroom. The juveniles' Juvenile Court Officers have their offices in the Family Center building in order to be able to immediately address both positive and negative behavior. It is important to note that each of the juveniles attending the Ingham Academy have not been successful in their home school district. Many have been chronically suspended or even expelled. Many, without the credit recovery program, would not be able to graduate with a high school diploma.

In addition to the education component, the youth at the Ingham Academy also have the opportunity to have vocational training through the Peckham Crossroads Program. Not only can juveniles receive credit towards their diploma, but they can also earn money, some of which goes towards their court fines and/or restitution charges.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$1,282,988**

**Total Budget for Day Treatment/Ingham Academy: \$2,717,040**

**Evening Reporting Program-PRIDE**

The Pride Evening Reporting Program began in 2008. The mission of this program is to reduce criminogenic thinking through use of cognitive behavioral interventions, thus keeping court adjudicated youth from secure detention or out of home placement. Further, structured activities, tutoring and dinner is provided keeping juveniles occupied outside of school hours.

The Pride program is a "risk specific" program. Risk levels are determined the YLS (Youth Level of Service) tool administered to each juvenile by their Juvenile Court Officer. Youth that score in the high risk range attend the program 3 days per week, whereas moderate risk youth attend twice per week.

This Evening Reporting Program operates on a five phase system, each phase taking approximately four weeks to complete. Upon successful completion of all five phases, the juvenile graduates from the program. Staff works with youth to organize other pro-social activities to occupy the youth's time.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$251,578**

**Total Budget for Evening Treatment/Reporting-PRIDE: \$517,185**

**Peckham Footprints Group Home (Female Short Term)**

A contract is in place with Peckham Inc. for the Footprints Group Home for girls. This home is licensed for 6 adolescent females under the jurisdiction of the court. The home is located in Lansing and the girls attend either the Ingham Academy or their home school. The average length of stay in the home is 4 to 6 months. Typically, a juvenile is placed when it is determined that their home environment is unsafe due to neglect or abuse by someone in the family home or the juvenile places herself in danger by running away or exhibiting behavior that could inflict serious harm to herself or others. While residing in the home, not only is the juvenile involved in treatment intervention, but so are the parents. The goal is to reunite the family whenever possible.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$223,660**

**Total Budget for Peckham Footprints: \$447,319**

**Private Institutions, CSS Residential, Highfields Placements**

There are times the Court must order a juvenile into a residential treatment facility. The seriousness of the crime committed, the safety of the community, the treatment options available, and the safety of the juvenile are all variables considered when determining community programming versus residential placement. If a juvenile is ordered into a residential treatment facility, the individual treatment needs of the juvenile are matched with the treatment program at a particular placement. The average length of stay in a residential placement is 6-12 months. The average per diem is approximately \$280 per day. If at all possible, a juvenile is ordered to participate in community programming but if a juvenile is not able to remain in the community, a referral to Aftercare services is made even before the placement begins so as to plan for a smooth transition back into the community.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$418,218**

**Total Budget for Private Institution, CSS Residential, Highfields Placements: \$2,054,692**

**Community Program/Services**

Community Programs/Services is an ancillary service to the Family Division's in home care programs. This portion of the budget provides for an array of services to meet the needs of the youth that come under the jurisdiction of the Court. The services and programs allow the juveniles to address their behavior while still residing in the community. The types of services this program funds includes substance abuse assessments, drug screening and treatment, anger management treatment, domestic violence intervention, mental health services and private practitioners for individual and family therapy.

Local agencies, such as Child and family Charities, Prevention and Treatment Services and Highfields are examples of the those who provide treatment options for the youth and families that come to the attention of the court.

**Juvenile Justice Millage Contribution: \$171,925**

**Total Budget for Community Program Services: \$343,850**

**PROGRAMS FUNDED BY JUVENILE JUSTICE MILLAGE - 2016 BUDGET**

Program	Millage Contribution	Total Budget	County Staff
Youth Center	1,433,217	3,149,480	1.000 Administrative Assistant 0.730 Cook - Youth Center 1.000 Custodian 0.100 Deputy Court Administrator/Juvenile Division 1.000 Head Cook - Youth Center 17.000 Juvenile Detention Specialist 1.000 Maintenance Repair Worker 0.520 Staff Psychologist 0.040 Unit Secretary 1.000 Youth Center Director 5.000 Youth Center Shift Supervisor
Intensive Probation	297,115	619,786	0.030 Accountant 0.060 Data Coordinator 0.020 Database Specialist 0.050 Deputy Court Administrator/Juvenile Division 0.090 Executive Assistant/Family Court 6.000 Juvenile Court Officer 0.160 Juvenile Services Director 0.170 Unit Secretary
Home Detention Services	159,380	341,326	1.000 Compliance Officer 0.060 Data Coordinator 0.170 Database Specialist 0.050 Deputy Court Administrator/Juvenile Division 0.150 Family Center Supervisor 0.130 Juvenile Programs Director 1.000 Sr. Juvenile Court Officer 0.160 Unit Secretary
Community Svc/Aftercare	234,099	516,654	0.060 Data Coordinator 0.010 Database Specialist 0.070 Deputy Court Administrator/Juvenile Division 0.050 Executive Assistant/Family Court 1.000 Juvenile Court Officer 0.350 Juvenile Services Director 2.000 Sr. Juvenile Court Officer
Truancy	166,339	332,679	0.190 Asst. Family Court Administrator 0.050 Clinical Social Worker 0.050 Data Coordinator 0.050 Database Specialist 0.040 Deputy Court Administrator/Juvenile Division 0.050 Executive Assistant/Family Court 1.000 Juvenile Court Officer 1.000 Sr. Juvenile Court Officer 0.110 Staff Psychologist 0.650 Unit Secretary
Community Program Services	171,925	343,850	

**PROGRAMS FUNDED BY JUVENILE JUSTICE MILLAGE - 2016 BUDGET**

Program	Millage Contribution	Total Budget	County Staff
Day Treatment/Ingham Academy	1,282,988	2,717,040	0.040 Accountant 1.000 Building Maintenance Mechanic II 0.100 Clinical Social Worker 0.060 Data Coordinator 0.040 Database Specialist 0.130 Deputy Court Administrator/Juvenile Division 0.120 Executive Assistant/Family Court 0.250 Family Center Supervisor 0.500 Groundskeeper 0.250 Head Cook - Family Center 1.000 Juvenile Court Officer 0.470 Juvenile Programs Director 3.000 Sr. Juvenile Court Officer 0.100 Staff Psychologist 0.130 Unit Secretary
Evening Treatment/Reporting	251,578	517,185	0.020 Accountant 0.060 Data Coordinator 0.040 Database Specialist 0.040 Deputy Court Administrator/Juvenile Division 0.600 Family Center Supervisor 0.750 Head Cook - Family Center 0.070 Juvenile Programs Director
Neglect In Home	20,190	40,381	0.300 Sr. Juvenile Court Officer
JJ Community Agencies	100,000	100,000	
Private Institutions	289,718	1,797,692	
CSS Residential	53,500	107,000	
Female Short Term	223,660	447,319	
Highfields Placements	75,000	150,000	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>4,758,709</b>	<b>11,180,392</b>	<b>53.490</b>

**TOTAL STAFFING**

0.090 Accountant	0.500 Groundskeeper
1.000 Administrative Assistant	1.000 Head Cook - Family Center Cook
0.190 Asst. Family Court Administrator	1.000 Head Cook - Youth Center
1.000 Building Maintenance Mechanic II	9.000 Juvenile Court Officer
0.150 Clinical Social Worker	17.000 Juvenile Detention Specialist
1.000 Compliance Officer	0.670 Juvenile Programs Director
0.730 Cook - Youth Center	0.510 Juvenile Services Director
1.000 Custodian - Youth Center	1.000 Maintenance Repair Worker
0.350 Data Coordinator	7.300 Sr. Juvenile Court Officer
0.330 Database Specialist	0.730 Staff Psychologist
0.480 Deputy Crt Administrator/Juvenile	1.150 Unit Secretary
0.310 Executive Asst:istant/Family Court	1.000 Youth Center Director
1.000 Family Center Supervisor	5.000 Youth Center Shift Supervisor



RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
LANSING

NICK LYON  
DIRECTOR

January 26, 2016

RECEIVED  
FEB 01 2016

Victor Celentino  
PO Box 319  
Mason, MI. 48854

Dear Commissioner Celentino:

Your 2015-16 annual plan and budget for Child Care Fund (CCF) expenditures is approved for state reimbursement. This approval reflects the \$16,801,002.00 gross amount of your County/Tribe's In Home Care components and anticipated placement costs, which will be eligible for 50% reimbursement.

This brings the total approved budget amount to \$16,801,002.00 with an anticipated State reimbursement amount of \$8,400,501.00.

Acceptance and use of FY 2016 CCF reimbursement certifies that, to the best of the County/Tribe's knowledge and belief, the data contained in the reports are correct and in accordance with the instructions and definitions established by Child Care Fund-related statute, administrative rules, policy, and the net reimbursable expenditures represent no cost for capital outlay. Appropriate documentation will be required and maintained to support costs reported. Monthly reimbursements are approved subject to further fiscal and program review. By accepting reimbursement, the County/Tribe agrees to accept responsibility for any exception or other payment irregularity in the program found after fiscal and program review.

Any claim for State monies from the Child Care Fund to cover expenditures incurred between October 1, 2015 and September 30, 2016, will signify acceptance of the terms of this approval letter.

Please contact me at (517) 241-4780 with any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Kelly Walters, Manager  
Child Care Fund Monitoring Unit

Attachment: CCF Approval Detail

cc: The Honorable Janelle A. Lawless, Family Court Judge, Ingham County  
Shauna Dunnings, Court Administrator, Ingham County  
Carol Kehoe, Director, Ingham County MDHHS  
Keith Schafer, Child Care Fund Chargeback Unit



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
LANSING

RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

NICK LYON  
DIRECTOR

Re: Ingham County  
Child Care Fund (CCF) Annual Plan & Budget Approval Detail

The following **In Home Care components** are authorized for CCF reimbursement (50%):

<b>Expenditure</b>	<b>Admin. Unit</b>	<b>Gross Amt.</b>
Community Programs 2016	Court	\$ 343,850.00
MSU Adolescent Project 2016	Court	\$ 163,132.00
Intensive Probation 2016	Court	\$ 620,761.00
Aftercare 2016	Court	\$ 523,553.00
Sexual Offenders	Court	\$ 286,123.00
Intensive Neglect	Court	\$ 1,413,477.00
Truancy 2016	Court	\$ 337,482.00
Ingham Academy 2016	Court	\$ 2,736,876.00
In-Home Detention 2016	Court	\$ 345,887.00
Evening Reporting	Court	\$ 517,175.00
In-Home Care Psychological services/ Other 16	MDHHS	\$ 215,403.00
DHS Impact 16	MDHHS	\$ 60,000.00

Additionally, the following **Anticipated Placement Expenditures** have been reported:

<b>Expenditure</b>	<b>Admin. Unit</b>	<b>Gross Amt.</b>
Family Foster Care	Court	\$ 98,700.00
Institutional Care	Court	\$ 5,807,554.00
Family Foster Care	MDHHS	\$ 1,121,785.00
Institutional Care	MDHHS	\$ 2,229,120.00
Independent Living	MDHHS	\$ 86,399.00

Act 87, P.A. 1978 prohibits the state from reimbursing county Child Care Fund expenditures which exceed a county's approved budget.

If expenditures exceed your original approved budget it is necessary to submit an amended budget no later than September 1, 2016, with the three required signatures.