

CHAIRPERSON
SARAH ANTHONY

VICE-CHAIRPERSON
CAROL KOENIG

VICE-CHAIRPERSON PRO-TEM
RANDY MAIVILLE

LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE
KARA HOPE, CHAIR
TERI BANAS
VICTOR CELENTINO
CAROL KOENIG
BRYAN CRENSHAW
RANDY SCHAFFER
RANDY MAIVILLE

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
P.O. Box 319, Mason, Michigan 48854 Telephone (517) 676-7200 Fax (517) 676-7264

THE LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2017 AT 6:00 P.M., IN THE PERSONNEL CONFERENCE ROOM (D & E), HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING.

Agenda

Call to Order
Approval of the [February 2, 2017](#) Minutes
Additions to the Agenda
Limited Public Comment

1. Law & Courts Committee
 - a. Resolution Reaffirming that Ingham County is a [Welcoming Community](#)
 - b. DRAFT: Resolution Making [Appointments](#) to the Ingham Indigent Defense System Committee

2. Sheriff's Office
 - a. Resolution to Upgrade the Ingham County Sheriff's Office Patrol Car Video Storage System and to Purchase and Install Replacement Computer Server, Software, and Related Equipment from [L3 Mobile-Vision, Inc.](#)
 - b. Resolution to Approve and Accept the Sheriff's Office 2017 [Medical Marijuana](#) Operation and Oversight Grant
 - c. Sheriff Wriggelsworth, Overview on Changes to the Sheriff's Office Volunteer Units (*No Material*)

3. 9-1-1 Center
 - a. Resolution to Approve the Purchase of [18 Computers](#) for 9-1-1 Central Dispatch Center Work Stations
 - b. Director Langdon, Update on the Management Action Plan Report on the 9-1-1 Center (*to be distributed prior to the meeting*)

Announcements
Public Comment
Adjournment

**PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC DEVICES
OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID
DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING**

The County of Ingham will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting for the visually impaired, for individuals with disabilities at the meeting upon five (5) working days notice to the County of Ingham. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Ingham in writing or by calling the following: Ingham County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 319, Mason, MI 48854 Phone: (517) 676-7200. A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance at this meeting. Meeting information is also available on line at www.ingham.org.

LAW & COURTS COMMITTEE
February 2, 2017
Draft Minutes

Members Present: Hope, Banas, Celentino, Crenshaw, Schafer, Maiville, and Koenig (arrived at 6:01 p.m.)

Members Absent: None

Others Present: Sheriff Scott Wriggelsworth, Hon. Thomas Boyd, Sam Davis, Ashley Carter, Kathy Murray-Rice, Teri Morton, John Neilsen, Justin Alexander, Maggie Fenger, and others

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Hope at 6:00 p.m. in Personnel Conference Room "D & E" of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar Street, Lansing, Michigan.

Approval of the January 12, 2017 Minutes

MOVED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, SUPPORTED BY COMM. MAIVILLE, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE JANUARY 12, 2017 LAW AND COURTS COMMITTEE MEETING.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Commissioner Koenig.

Commissioner Koenig arrived at 6:01 p.m.

Additions to the Agenda

3. Sheriff's Office
 - e. Resolution amending Resolution #16-546 authorizing the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to enter an inter-agency agreement with Wayne County to rent a minimum of 50 up to 70 beds to the Wayne County Sheriff's Office
5. Resolution Honoring Carol Read

WITHOUT OBJECTION, CHAIRPERSON HOPE TABLED AGENDA ITEM 1 UNTIL THE INTERVIEWEE ARRIVED.

Limited Public Comment

None.

MOVED BY COMM. MAIVILLE, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, TO APPROVE A CONSENT AGENDA CONSISTING OF THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:

2. Prosecuting Attorney's Office – Resolution Authorizing a Contingency Fund Appropriation to Extend the Temporary Special Assistant Prosecuting Attorney

3. Sheriff's Office
 - a. Resolution to Purchase Side Scan Sonar for the Sheriff's Office Dive Team and Marine Patrol Division, Using 2017 Capital Improvement Funds
 - b. Resolution to Purchase Portable Underwater Camera for the Sheriff's Office Dive Team, Using 2017 Capital Improvement Funds
 - c. Resolution to Honor Chief Deputy Greg S. Harless of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE ITEMS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- d. Jail Bed Rentals Update – *No Materials*

Major Sam Davis, Sheriff's Office, provided an update to the jail bed rentals.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked from a housing perspective, would the inmates be integrated into the existing housing or would a new post be opened.

Major Davis stated that the new inmates will be integrated into the current housing positions.

John Neilsen, Chief Deputy Controller, provided an update on budgeting negotiations with Wayne County.

Commissioner Schafer stated that if MDOC would pay \$36/day and Wayne County would pay \$45/day, how was Livingston County getting US Marshall inmates for \$80/day. He further asked how the supply and demand for beds worked and why there was such a difference in revenue.

Major Davis stated that the Sheriff's Office was very interested in getting Federal inmates. He further stated that currently the Jail houses some US Marshall inmates on a short term basis but nothing like what Livingston was doing. Major Davis stated that US Marshalls have told him they would love to house inmates in Mason due to the proximity to the highways, but the lack of a sitting federal district judge in Lansing caused them to look elsewhere. He further stated that the Marshalls liked sending the inmates to a jail close to a sitting judge because of the logistics involved.

Commissioner Schafer asked how the federal government could afford to pay \$80 per day.

Major Davis answered that the federal government had a larger budget. He further stated that according to MDOC, their cost to house inmates was greater than Ingham County's charge to rent beds in the Jail, but the County does not get paid the actual cost to house inmates. Major Davis stated that the cost to house an inmate per day was about \$78, but they do not charge that for bed rentals, and they were not planning to increase the rate that locals were charged.

Commissioner Schafer stated that he had pushed to bill inmates for housing in the past, and he suggested that the commissioners should take a tour, stay in the jail for a weekend, and get billed for the weekend.

Commissioner Koenig asked what the daily housing cost was per inmate.

Major Davis stated that the cost was \$78 per day.

Teri Morton, Budget Director, added that \$78 per day included indirect costs.

Commissioner Koenig stated that the County was always trying to catch up and make up budget shortfalls, so she wanted to know if March 1 would be the actual start date.

Sheriff Scott Wriggelsworth, Sheriff's Office, stated that the contract stated March 1 would be the start date.

Major Davis stated that corporate counsel was done with the contract, and now the Sheriff's office had the contract and was working on it.

Commissioner Koenig asked when the MDOC contract would happen.

Major Davis stated that MDOC had called him asking if the County could take female inmates, and he told them he would not take that off the table. He further stated that he had reminded MDOC that the County was still waiting on a contract for the current inmates and the arrival of the rest of the inmates.

Commissioner Koenig stated that Grand Rapids also has a brand new facility and wondered if that impacted the US Marshalls' decision to house their inmates in Grand Rapids. She further stated that Judge Scoville did work out of Lansing from time to time.

Major Davis stated that he thought our location was better and would weigh more heavily in the decision except for the fact that we lack a sitting federal judge. He further stated that having a sitting federal judge in Lansing and a new jail might sway the US Marshalls.

Commissioner Banas requested updates for the Committee as developments occur. She further stated that since there was no contract with MDOC, she wanted to be sure that the Wayne County inmates arrived in time to meet the budgetary needs.

Mr. Neilsen stated that they were keeping tabs on the situation and reminded the Committee that the County had a contract with MDOC, but they cancelled 3 days in.

Sheriff Wriggelsworth stated that they started the year with 55 MDOC inmates and were down to 32 MDOC inmates. He further stated that they kept promising more inmates but he was seeing a decline in numbers. Sheriff Wriggelsworth stated that they were tracking the budget projections and the actual revenue from MDOC and would keep the Committee updated.

Commissioner Banas stated that the contract did not guarantee a minimum number of inmates. She further stated that if they do not follow through, we only get paid for the number of beds they used.

Sheriff Wriggelsworth stated that the Wayne County contract did not have a minimum either. He further stated that taking 70 inmates in one day would be difficult but he had a plan in place to take 25 per day until they reach 70 to incorporate them into the system quickly and start earning revenue.

Commissioner Banas asked what percentage of the estimated \$1.3 million was MDOC inmates versus Wayne County.

Mr. Neilsen stated that the contracts were different, but they were most interested in Wayne County because MDOC would not provide enough inmates. He further stated that it really depended on the mixture of how many inmates were from each entity, and if they continue to have just 30 MDOC inmates, they will try to get the maximum number from Wayne County.

3. Sheriff's Office

- e. Resolution amending Resolution #16-546 authorizing the Ingham County Sheriff's Office to enter an inter-agency agreement with Wayne County to rent a minimum of 50 up to 70 beds to the Wayne County Sheriff's Office

MOVED BY COMM. CRENSHAW, SUPPORTED BY COMM. CELENTINO TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION.

Commissioner Schafer stated that his questions were already answered by the Sheriff's Office presentation.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Sheriff Wriggelsworth stated that the new jail project had been an ongoing issue, and the need for it was not going away and it was increasing. He further stated that the costs would increase, and the project needed to be discussed. Sheriff Wriggelsworth stated that the longer the project was delayed the more expensive it would be. He further stated that he met with the Facilities Director and asked him what his biggest issue would be in three years. Sheriff Wriggelsworth stated that the director told him the biggest concern would not be pipes or water damage, but rather keeping doors locked.

Commissioner Celentino suggested that Chairperson Hope and Sheriff Wriggelsworth should meet to discuss this issue.

Commissioner Banas asked to be included in the meeting because she felt that something needed to be done sooner rather than later.

Commissioner Celentino stated that he meant Chairperson Hope should meet with Sheriff Wriggelsworth to plan when the jail issue would be on the agenda, not create a commission.

Commissioner Maiville stated that they had looked at the new jail in the past, but when the cost of building the new jail was presented, they wondered if renovation would be a cheaper option. He further stated that he would like to hear more about the renovation option.

Mr. Neilsen stated that they had looked into renovation and were planning to schedule a presentation. He provided an overview of that presentation.

Commissioner Schafer stated that he believed discussing the options at the Board Leadership meeting would be best due to the yearly turnover of committee memberships.

Sheriff Wriggelsworth stated that he can get a presentation lined up but he would need three weeks of lead time to arrange. He further stated that he agreed with the idea of new construction and that renovation would not address the issues.

Commissioner Schafer stated that leaving the Court out of the new building was not the way to do it. He further stated that they had discussed housing the Jail and the Court in the same new building and thought that option was best. Commissioner Schafer stated that the commissioners had some hard decisions to make about the project.

Commissioner Banas stated that she was nervous about unforeseen problems with the current jail building that could be costly to fix, and we could not afford to let these hazards continue. She further stated that she wanted a timeline established for the decision making process because either course of action will take a long time, and the building would continue to degrade, and the costs would continue to rise.

Major Davis stated that the Board has been receptive to and appreciates the issues they are dealing with in regards to the jail, and he wanted to reinforce that it was not just about the dollars spent, because the deputies were feeling the stress and strain of working in a facility that was not up to speed.

1. Law & Courts Committee – Animal Control Advisory Interviews

Marie Hopfensperger, DVM, interviewed for appointment.

MOVED BY COMM. BANAS, SUPPORTED BY COMM. SCHAFER, TO APPOINT MARIE HOPFENSBERGER, DVM TO THE ANIMAL CONTROL SHELTER ADVISORY BOARD.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

4. Presentation – Michigan Indigent Defense Commission, Regional Manager Ashley Carter

Ashley Carter, Regional Manager for the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission, introduced herself to the Committee and presented on the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission.

Commissioner Koenig asked which counties were being used as the examples.

Ms. Carter stated that she did not know which counties specifically had these issues because it was not one of her assigned counties.

Commissioner Crenshaw asked if they had looked into an across the board fee scale for attorneys who took cases.

Ms. Carter stated that she had not done the white papers on that issue, but currently each county has its own pay scale. She further stated that Eaton County had questions about this issue as well, but there is not a current proposed standard but it is being looked at.

Commissioner Banas thanked Ms. Carter for her work, and stated that anyone who reads the paper knew that there was a lot of work to do with the courts.

Ms. Carter stated that about 30% of the country has county-run systems, including New York and California, but Maryland is a state-run system.

Commissioner Banas stated that she was concerned about our ability for compliance, and asked if it was still possible that Michigan could become a state-run system as had been pushed in the past, because that provided more money to support these issues.

Ms. Carter stated that all counties can get into compliance. She further stated that it sounded like a lot, but every county has programs in place already that have met at least one standard.

Ms. Carter stated that Ingham County has qualification standards in place for attorneys taking circuit court cases, and any attorney that applied was vetted. She further stated that the Ingham Bar Association did a great job providing training to new attorneys. Ms. Carter stated that 55th District court already had counsel provided at first appearance, and 54A and 54B municipal courts had that standard addressed as well. She further stated that the ability to hire investigators and experts was already being addressed by the County as well. Ms. Carter stated that she had not yet been to circuit court but she believed they did not have confidential space for incarcerated clients to meet with their attorneys.

Hon. Thomas Boyd, 55th District Court Judge, stated that Veterans Memorial Courthouse had places to meet just off the courtroom.

Ms. Carter stated that Ingham was in a good position as far as the first four standards were concerned, and was better off than other counties in the region.

Judge Boyd stated that the proposal in 2010 for a statewide system was how he got involved in the first place because the circuit courts and sitting judges killed the proposal.

Commissioner Banas stated that the County had the best of both worlds, because the County had control but the state would cover the costs.

Commissioner Koenig stated that the state did not have any money either, so the County should not rely on it.

Judge Boyd stated that he appreciated Ms. Carter's assessment. He further stated that in 54A District Court, the attorneys were paid a flat fee, no matter how many cases were submitted each month. Judge Boyd stated that he called that a financial disincentive because the attorneys wanted to move the cases through quickly. He further stated that 55th District had standards in place that already addresses qualifications and provides attorneys at first arraignment, but most criminal cases come from 54A, not 55th. Judge Boyd stated that it was the responsibility of the Compliance Board Unit for the Circuit Court to make sure that the attorneys had met the standards for the cases they were assigned to and were present at first arraignment.

Commissioner Koenig stated that the initial cost of implementing the pilot program in 55th District court was \$100,000.

Judge Boyd stated that Mr. Neilsen had talked it down to \$64,000.

Mr. Neilsen stated that they started with a scaled pilot project and 55th District had a grant from the state to test the program. He further stated that during the budget preparation the Board saw fit to fund the program once the grant expired.

Judge Boyd stated that this was the first fiscal year where the program used county money.

Ms. Morton stated that this was the second fiscal year where the program used county money.

Mr. Neilsen stated that he shared the concerns about state funding, because the gas tax was already coming out of the state's general fund and now there was talk of getting rid of the state income tax.

Judge Boyd stated that if the state did not pay the bill, it would take a lawsuit to resolve the issue and we had no idea where the new legislature would be on it because the new justice department had not spoken on anything yet.

Mr. Neilsen stated that other counties had sued the state over other issues successfully, but it was going to come down to money and who would pay to enact the standards.

Commissioner Banas stated that once LARA approved the first four standards and the County had a committee of some type put together, at what point would we get the cost analysis.

Ms. Carter stated that the Commission had 60 days after the 180 day deadline to approve the plan. She further stated that after the approval the County would ask the state for the funds, but there was no mandate if there was no money. Ms. Carter provided an overview about funding and training.

Commissioner Banas stated that the cost of continued training would amount to the cost of 12 hours per year per attorney.

Ms. Carter stated that the cost of training also included the training for new attorneys.

Judge Boyd stated that the County would pay for that training, and mentioned a book that spoke about pay scales for situations like this. He further stated that the future might look like appointing a public defender who ran an office like the Prosecuting Attorney's office. Judge Boyd stated that the burden was not on the lawyers then, the burden is on the system, meaning the County, as defined by the statute.

Commissioner Schafer stated that he was confused about the organization structure of the commission. He further stated that the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission was the result of a lawsuit, and it originally worked in the judicial branch, but now resided in LARA.

Commissioner Schafer asked who paid their salaries.

Judge Boyd stated that the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission was an independent entity that resided in LARA.

Commissioner Schafer asked if Ms. Carter was a state employee.

Ms. Carter answered yes.

Commissioner Schafer stated that Judge Boyd had been featured in the Wall Street Journal and had been an advocate on this issue for a long time. He further stated that they had this discussion years ago, because the salaries were very low for attorneys taking on indigent cases.

Commissioner Schafer stated that it got into the issue of skills versus desire, because a lot of skilled people preferred to be paid more. He further stated that everything Ms. Carter mentioned were common sense things. Commissioner Schafer stated that Judge Collette used to argue that the system was fine, but the standards proposed were just common sense.

Judge Boyd stated that the nice thing about the proposed standards was that there were Supreme Court cases mandating each standard.

Ms. Carter stated that she appreciated the commissioners' support, but they would be surprised by how much push back she got about the standards.

Commissioner Schafer stated that an indigent defense office might be the best long term solution.

Judge Boyd stated that the money for investigators was in the court budget, which currently made it a disincentive to hire investigators because the money was needed for other budget items.

Ms. Carter provided an overview of top level felony case compensation.

Commissioner Schafer stated that people used to argue because of the proximity to Michigan State University, Cooley Law School and Wayne State University that there were lots of

attorneys available in the area but he argued that they were not necessarily the skilled attorneys needed.

Ms. Carter stated that she met with Judge Draganchuck in Circuit Court, Judge Boyd and Judge Allen in District Court and all the court administrators. She further stated that they were wonderful people, particularly Shauna Dunning of Circuit Court who seemed to understand what needed to happen. Ms. Carter stated that she had met with the young lawyers section of the bar association and that they were open to change.

Chairperson Hope asked when LARA might adopt the standards.

Ms. Carter stated that they believed LARA would adopt the standards in mid-March, but they also thought the Supreme Court was going to adopt the standards last July but the Supreme Court ended up moving the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission out of their branch.

Commissioner Koenig stated that there was no reason we could not start working on this issue now, and she would not even wait for LARA to adopt the standards because of the amount of calculations involved.

Chairperson Hope asked if there was any reason to think the standards would change before LARA officially adopted them.

Ms. Carter stated that no, there was not any reason to believe the standards would change.

Announcements

None.

Public Comment

Kathy Murray-Rice, 911 Union, asked where the 911 Center Action Plan was.

Commissioner Crenshaw stated that an action plan was presented at the last Law & Courts committee meeting, and staff was supposed to work with Lance Langdon, 911 Center Director, to implement the plan.

Mr. Neilsen stated that the action plan was adopted in December, and there were goals and deadlines in the plan that would be presented to the Committee as needed.

Ms. Murray-Rice stated that she may have misunderstood, but she thought the commissioners had asked the staff to put deadlines in the plan and the plan was supposed to come back to the Committee at the first meeting of the year. She further stated that she attended that meeting and it was stated that the plan would be addressed at this meeting. Ms. Murray-Rice stated that she needed to know if this was a waste of time because she needed to tell the Center employees. She further stated that the Center employees were disappointed that they had not seen any action.

Ms. Murray-Rice stated that the plan was not on the agenda and nothing came back from the Committee, which was disappointing.

Commissioner Crenshaw stated that as the former Chair of the Law & Courts Committee, he recalled asking for specifics on a couple of issues within the plan, but it was up to Mr. Langdon to implement the plan once the specifics were done.

Mr. Neilsen stated that he did not recall anything that specific, to bring the plan back to the first meeting. He further stated that he recalled several comments made about things to be done, but the plan had timelines. Mr. Neilsen stated that they had gotten mixed messages from the union because the president of the union seemed to like the plan, but other people, including Ms. Murray-Rice accepted the plan but did not agree with the plan. He further stated that the jail team might be meeting as early as Monday, and he would work with Mr. Langdon to an update.

Commissioner Crenshaw stated that he would have to look at the minutes to see what happened.

Commissioner Maiville stated that he had the minutes and was looking through the discussion.

Mr. Neilsen stated that he recalled a discussion about setting up a dashboard for the plan, but had no recollection of setting something for the first meeting of 2017.

Chairperson Hope stated that the plan has been adopted but it seemed like there was some confusion about who was going to implement the plan.

Commissioner Maiville stated that the minutes show Commissioner Anthony stated that deadlines should be added so everyone knew if we were meeting our goals in the plan. He further stated that the minutes showed Mr. Langdon agreed, and Commissioner Anthony had asked for a supplement to be prepared that had deadlines and goals.

Mr. Neilsen stated that he was certainly prepared to revisit the plan, and he did recall something about a dashboard to measure results.

Chairperson Hope asked how often the JLT met.

Mr. Neilsen stated that the team was meeting Monday, and met every couple of weeks, but they had not met as much as normal due to the holidays.

Commissioner Banas stated that her major concerns were the staffing levels, where we were on filling vacancies, at what point we would approach the necessary staffing level, the morale issues, and the training to fill the vacant positions. She further stated that she wanted to know if overtime numbers were down.

Ms. Murray-Rice stated that the staffing level maximum was 58 employees, and currently they were at 47 employees with 10 in training, so 37 full employees. She further stated that 58 was the maximum, and they could run the Center with less. Ms. Murray-Rice stated that there was

some cushion built into the staffing levels, but 37 employees were not enough. She further stated that overtime had minimally decreased but it usually was at this time of year. Ms. Murray-Rice stated that once summer hit, the overtime numbers would not be any better than before.

Chairperson Hope stated that this issue would be on the next agenda and they can address concerns and questions then.

Commissioner Schafer mentioned that there was a recording of the meeting to further clarify what happened.

Commissioner Banas stated that this issue absorbed a lot of time last year, so she needed to see something positive emerge. She further stated that we cannot drop the ball; we must continue to address the issues.

Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 7:29 p.m.

FEBRUARY 16, 2017 LAW & COURTS AGENDA STAFF REVIEW SUMMARY

The Chief Deputy Controller is recommending approval of the following resolutions and actions:

- 1a. Law & Courts Committee – Resolution Reaffirming that Ingham County is a Welcoming Community*

This resolution, if adopted, will reaffirm “that Ingham County is a Welcoming Community”.

Commissioner Sebolt asked for this Resolution to be considered after consultation with Ingham County Sheriff Scott Wriggelsworth about the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office operational procedures.

The BOC has approved similar resolutions on two different occasions. The first time, was in August of 2014 through Resolution #14-333 and then reaffirmed in November of 2016 through Resolution #15-452 (see attached).

- 1b. Law & Courts Committee – DRAFT; Resolution Making Appointments to the Ingham Indigent Defense System Committee*

This DRAFT resolution starts the discussion on appointing the Ingham Indigent Defense System Committee. The Committee’s charge would be to develop and submit a plan that meets the minimum standards, as required by statute, together with a cost analysis to the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission (MIDC) within 180 days from the date of final approval of the minimum standards.

A suggested membership is included for discussion purposes along with some background information.

- 2a. Sheriff’s Office – Resolution to Upgrade the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office Patrol Car Video Storage System and to Purchase and Install Replacement Computer Server, Software, and Related Equipment from L3 Mobile-Vision Inc.*

This resolution authorizes the upgrade of the Ingham County Sheriff’s Office Patrol Car Video Recording and Storage System. This includes the purchase of the new server, software, and related equipment as identified in Quote Number 206715135 from L3 Mobile-Vision at a cost not to exceed \$42,882.00.

The funds for this purpose are contained within the 2017 Sheriff’s Office Capital Improvement Budget (see memo for details).

- 2b. Sheriff’s Office – Resolution to Approve and Accept the Sheriff’s Office 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant*

This resolution authorizes the acceptance of the 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant from the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Bureau of Professional Licensing for a total of \$112,681.52 for the time period of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017. The funds will be used for the purchase of approved equipment and overtime costs for Sheriff’s Deputies assigned to the Tri County Metro Narcotics Squad.

Subcontracts are also authorized for other law enforcement agencies to use any equipment associated with this grant to include the Michigan State Police, Tri County Metro Narcotics Squad, and the Lansing Police Department (see memo for details).

- 2c. *Sheriff's Office – Sheriff Wriggelsworth, Overview on Changes to the Sheriff's Office Volunteer Units (No material)*

Presentation item on the status of the Sheriff's Office Volunteer units

- 3a. *9-1-1 Center – Resolution to Approve the Purchase of 18 Computers for 9-1-1 Central Dispatch Center Work Stations*

This resolution authorizes the purchase of computer equipment for the eighteen (18) workstations from CDW, at a cost not to exceed \$6,687.90 with funds from the 9-1-1 Emergency Telephone Dispatch Services 9-1-1 Fund balance. This recommendation from the JLT and 9-1-1 Administration is to improve Communication for the employees at the 9-1-1 Center while maintaining Security of the closed CAD and Radio IT Systems (see memo for details).

- 3b. *9-1-1 Center – Director Langdon, Update on the Management Action Plan Report on the 9-1-1 Center (to be distributed prior to the meeting)*

This material will be presented to Law & Courts following a presentation to the Joint Labor Team on Monday February 13th on updates to the original Management Action Plan Report and progress at the 9-1-1 Center since it was first presented in December of 2016.

Agenda Item 1a

TO: Law & Courts Committee

FROM: John Neilsen, Chief Deputy Controller

DATE: February 6, 2017

SUBJECT: Resolution Reaffirming That Ingham County Is
A Welcoming Community

Commissioners:

Attached you will find a Resolution for consideration by the Law & Courts Committee that will reaffirm “that Ingham County is a Welcoming Community”.

Commissioner Sebolt asked for this Resolution to be considered after consultation with Ingham County Sheriff Scott Wriggelsworth about Ingham County Sheriff’s Office operational procedures.

The BOC has approved similar resolutions on two different occasions. The first time was in August of 2014 through Resolution #14-333 and then reaffirmed in November of 2016 through Resolution #15-452 (see attached).

Introduced by the County Services Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION AFFIRMING THAT INGHAM COUNTY IS A WELCOMING COMMUNITY AND
RESPECTS THE INNATE DIGNITY OF ALL PEOPLE**

RESOLUTION 14 - 333

WHEREAS, Ingham County remembers, honors, and values our immigrant and migrant roots, and embraces the values of family and hard work; and

WHEREAS, The Welcoming Ingham County Initiative aims to build cooperation, respect, and compassion among all in our community, including immigrants and nonimmigrants alike; endeavors to create an atmosphere where immigrants and refugees have increased opportunities to integrate into the social fabric of their adopted hometowns; and seeks to embrace diversity while retaining unique cultural identities; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County community has long been recognized as a hospitable and welcoming place, where people, families, and institutions thrive and the contributions of all are celebrated and valued. Residents of Ingham County live up to our highest American values of acceptance and equality, and treat newcomers with decency and respect, creating a vibrant community for all to live; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County community is committed to continue building a neighborly and welcoming atmosphere, where all are welcome, accepted and appreciated; and

WHEREAS, we encourage all newcomers to make Ingham County their home.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby recognizes September 13-21, 2014 as National Welcoming Week in Ingham County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners hereby proclaim Ingham County as a place where all foreign-born and native born Americans can live, work, and play together; share in each other's customs and ideals; and appreciate and promote cultural diversity.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Holman, Tsernoglou, Crenshaw, Nolan, Koenig, Celentino, Maiville

Nays: None **Absent:** None **Approved 8/19/14**

Introduced by the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION TO REAFFIRM THAT INGHAM COUNTY, MICHIGAN IS A WELCOMING
COUNTY AND RESPECTS THE INNATE DIGNITY OF ALL PEOPLE**

RESOLUTION # 15 -452

WHEREAS, Resolution 14-333 affirmed that Ingham County is a welcoming community and respects the innate dignity of all people; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County remains committed to welcoming refugees fleeing violence and not allowing acts of terrorism such as those seen in Paris, Beirut and Nigeria to be wrongly connected to an entire group of people. Refugees should be respected, protected and supported. Our community is a place for everyone. Being true to the values of our welcoming county requires us to speak out; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County recognizes that due to violence and atrocities worldwide, more than 50 million people have fled conflict and oppression in their own country, and only a miniscule portion, less than 1%, will ever be selected for refugee resettlement to the United States; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County believes that we must demonstrate our welcoming character and live up to our ideals as a nation founded on freedom and acceptance. The statue of Liberty states "Give me your tired, your poor, your huddled masses yearning to breathe free." As a country it is not our custom to turn our backs on people who are in need. We decry those who have played into fear tactics and attempted to close the door to refugees, particularly from Syria. Ingham County has been and will continue to be a safe and welcoming place for refugees who resettle here; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County recognizes that the safety of American citizens is of utmost importance, and welcoming refugees does not compromise this safety. In fact, the history of the U.S. refugee program demonstrates that the lengthy and extensive vetting that all refugees must undergo is an effective deterrent for terrorists. Since 1975, the U.S. has welcomed over 3 million refugees, including hundreds of thousands from the Middle East; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County has long been a welcoming place where refugees have been resettled and contributed to the rich fabric of our community as positively contributing members. As a state, Michigan has been one of the top five states for welcoming refugees each year. Ingham County is home to an estimated 17,000 Refugees who are taxpayers, homeowners, and business owners. These newcomers are hardworking and productive additions to the economy and culture of Michigan; and

WHEREAS, Ingham County is committed to building a neighborly and welcoming atmosphere in our community, where all are welcome, accepted and appreciated; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that Ingham County wishes to express its solidarity with the thousands of refugees fleeing terror and oppression in their home communities.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Ingham County is a welcoming community to people of all backgrounds.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk shall send copies of this resolution to the Ingham County State Legislative Delegation, to the Governor's Office, and to the Michigan Association of Counties.

Introduced by the Law & Courts Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION REAFFIRMING THAT INGHAM COUNTY IS
A WELCOMING COMMUNITY**

WHEREAS, Ingham County is a welcoming community and respects the innate dignity of all people as affirmed in Resolution 14-333 and reaffirmed in Resolution 15-452; and

WHEREAS, immigrants have helped make the United States a stronger, better country, and immigrants, including refugees, have made significant contributions to our culture and economy; and

WHEREAS, refugees seek safe harbor in our communities in times of turmoil and we have a moral obligation to welcome them; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners recognizes that many immigrants, including many refugees, make Ingham County their home and the Board appreciates the services offered by the many organizations that assist in resettling refugees in Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the Board condemns any effort by the United States government to unlawfully discriminate against persons based on their country of origin, immigration status, or religion or to mischaracterize foreign-born persons as public safety threats; and

WHEREAS, it is well documented that immigrants and refugees are significantly less likely than those born in the United States to commit violent crimes, including acts of terrorism, here in the United States; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office respects the fact that its organization comes in contact with people from all over the world; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office demands that its employees treat all people with dignity and respect; and

WHEREAS, the Board recognizes that on rare occasions, in conjunction with an investigation or when working with other local, state and federal law enforcement, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office may have to inquire on immigration status of an individual; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office does not, and will not, as standard practice or procedure, ask individuals their religious affiliation or immigration status.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners reaffirms its position that Ingham County is a welcoming community and a place where all persons, regardless of citizenship status, national origin, ethnicity, or religion, can live and work together; share in each other's customs and ideals; and appreciate and promote cultural diversity.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk shall send copies of this resolution to the Ingham County State Legislative Delegation, to the Governor's Office, to the Office of the Michigan Attorney General and to the Michigan Association of Counties.

Michigan Indigent Defense Commission Seeking Public Comment on Minimum Standards

Media Contact: Jason Moon
LARA Communications 517-373-9280
Email: mediainfo@michigan.gov

February 7, 2017 - The Michigan Indigent Defense Commission (MIDC), now housed in the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA), has submitted proposed standards to LARA and is seeking public comment on the first set of minimum standards for indigent defense delivery systems. The minimum standards involve education and training, the initial client interview, experts and investigators, and counsel at first appearance and other critical stages in front of a judge. Following a public comment period, LARA Director Shelly Edgerton will thoroughly review the information provided and make a decision on whether to approve the standards.

On January 4, 2017, Gov. Rick Snyder signed legislation to ensure the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission meets state constitutional obligations and maintains independence from the judiciary while continuing its work to maintain a fair indigent criminal defense system in Michigan. The legislation moved the commission from the judicial branch to LARA.

This set of minimum standards was conditionally approved by the Michigan Supreme Court on June 1, 2016.

- Education and Training of Defense Counsel
- Initial Interview
- Investigation and Experts
- Counsel at First Appearance and Other Critical Stages

Details of each standard can be found on [here](#).

Pursuant to MCL 780.985 as amended, opposition to a proposed minimum standard should be submitted before March 9, 2017 by email to comments@michiganidc.gov. All comments will be posted on the MIDC website at: www.michiganidc.gov.

Every indigent defense delivery system in Michigan is [required to submit a plan for compliance](#) with the standards together with a cost analysis to the MIDC. If the standards are approved, these submissions must be made to the MIDC within 180 days from the date of approval.

The Michigan Indigent Defense Commission was established to create and enforce uniform public defense standards throughout the state. Its members are appointed by the Governor with recommendation of the Legislature, Supreme Court, the State Bar, and representing interests from the criminal justice system and the general public

Introduced by the Law & Courts Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION MAKING APPOINTMENTS TO THE INGHAM INDIGENT
DEFENSE SYSTEM COMMITTEE**

WHEREAS, the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission (MIDC) was created by legislation in 2013 after an advisory commission recommended improvements to the state's legal system; and

WHEREAS, the MIDC's mission is to develop and oversee the implementation, enforcement, and modification of minimum standards, rules, and procedures to ensure that criminal defense services are delivered to all indigent adults in this state consistent with the safeguards of the United States constitution, the Michigan constitution of 1963, and with the MIDC Act; and

WHEREAS, on January 4, 2017, Governor Rick Snyder signed legislation to ensure the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission meets state constitutional obligations and maintains independence from the judiciary while continuing its work to maintain a fair indigent criminal defense system in Michigan; and

WHEREAS, every local Indigent Defense system must submit a plan for indigent defense to comply with the minimum standards and to provide the highest quality of indigent defense to people who are poor and accused of crimes; and

WHEREAS, a set of minimum standards was conditionally approved by the Michigan Supreme Court on June 1, 2016 with a review and comment period through March 9, 2017 consisting of:

- Education and Training of Defense Counsel
- Initial Interview
- Investigation and Experts
- Counsel at First Appearance and Other Critical Stages

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the Ingham Indigent Defense System Committee in order to develop and submit a plan that meets the minimum standards as required by statute together with a cost analysis to the Michigan Indigent Defense Commission (MIDC) within 180 days from the date of final approval of the minimum standards.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham Indigent Defense System Committee shall consist of the following membership to be appointed by the following entities:

Ingham County Board of Commissioners

?? Commissioners

55th District Court

One Judge and Court Administrator

30th Circuit Court

One Judge and Court Administrator

Ingham County Controller's Office

One designee of the Controller

Ingham County Bar Association

One Criminal Defense Attorney

Michigan Indigent Defense Commission

Regional Administrator, Ex officio member

Agenda Item 2a

TO: Law & Courts Committee
Finance Committee

FROM: Captain Elliott, Field & Staff Services

DATE: February 6, 2017

RE: RESOLUTION TO UPGRADE THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PATROL CAR VIDEO STORAGE SYSTEM AND TO PURCHASE AND INSTALL REPLACEMENT COMPUTER SERVER, SOFTWARE, AND RELATED EQUIPMENT FROM L3 MOBILE-VISION, INC.

This resolution is for the approval to purchase the above computer, software and equipment from L3 Mobile-Vision, Inc. in 2017, not to exceed \$42,882.00 budgeted in the 201 capital improvement funds.

The Sheriff's Office is in need of replacing the current computer system that records and contains the video camera footage on a server that is not sufficient to handle the video or storage requirements at this time. The new computer server, software, and equipment will allow for complete storage of all video and future handling of additional camera usage.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION TO UPGRADE THE INGHAM COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
PATROL CAR VIDEO STORAGE SYSTEM AND TO PURCHASE AND INSTALL REPLACEMENT
COMPUTER SERVER, SOFTWARE, AND RELATED EQUIPMENT FROM
L3 MOBILE-VISION, INC.**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office video recording, storage, and housing application vendor is L3 Mobile-Vision; and

WHEREAS, this system records and stores all videos produced from dashboard cameras in the Ingham County Sheriff's Office patrol vehicles; and

WHEREAS, the computer server is no longer capable of containing the amount of video being produced by in-car cameras; and

WHEREAS, the software programing is out-dated and is operating on an expired operating server that is not supported by Microsoft systems; and

WHEREAS, the purchase of a new server will allow for current and additional video footage being produced by all patrol cars; and

WHEREAS, the purchase of new updated software will allow for updated and additional equipment including cameras, microphones, and wiring to function appropriately with the new server.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the upgrade of the Ingham County Sheriff's Office Patrol Car Video Recording and Storage System and the purchase of the new server, software, and related equipment as identified in Quote Number 206715135 from L3 Mobile-Vision at a cost not to exceed \$42,882.00.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the funds for this purpose are from the 2017 Sheriff's Office Capital Improvement Budget.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Board Chairperson to sign any necessary contract documents or purchase documents that are consistent with this resolution and approved as to form by the County Attorney.

Agenda Item 2b

TO: Law & Courts Committee
Finance Committee

FROM: Captain Elliott, Field & Staff Services

DATE: February 7, 2017

RE: RESOLUTION TO APPROVE AND ACCEPT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE 2017
MEDICAL MARIHUANA OPERATION AND OVERSIGHT GRANT

This resolution is for the approval to accept the 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant from Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Bureau of Professional Licensing.

The Sheriff's Office is the fiduciary manager of the grant. The equipment purchased with this grant will be utilized directly by the Ingham County Sheriff's Office, Michigan State Police Tri County Metro Narcotics Squad, and the Lansing Police Department. All three agencies will utilize this equipment to assist law enforcement agencies within Eaton, Clinton, and Ingham County. The grant funds will be used to equip law enforcement officers with vital safety equipment to complete their duties and monitor the Michigan Medical Marihuana Act. Ingham County Sheriff's Office does not have money in the 2017 budget to purchase the equipment requested in the grant. The personnel funding requested will cover 50% of the overtime accumulated by the three Ingham County Sheriff's Office Tri County Metro Narcotics Squad members for 2017.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO APPROVE AND ACCEPT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE 2017 MEDICAL MARIHUANA OPERATION AND OVERSIGHT GRANT

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Sheriff's Office applied to receive a Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant from Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Bureau of Professional Licensing; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of this grant is to assist the Ingham County Sheriff's Office with equipment and funding to monitor the Michigan Medical Marihuana Act; and

WHEREAS, the amount of the grant is \$112,681.52; and

WHEREAS, \$35,692.25 of the 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant is to purchase one handheld chemical identifier, updating one existing chemical identifier, training, warranty, and required supplies from Thermofisher Scientific; and

WHEREAS, \$40,357.30 of the 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant is to purchase two (2) Mobile marihuana lab dismantling and processing trailers, required tools, and personal protective equipment; and

WHEREAS, \$9,954.00 of the 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant is for overtime wages of Ingham County Sheriff's Office personnel assigned to Tri County Metro Narcotics Squad; and

WHEREAS, \$26,677.97 of the 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant is to purchase twenty three (23) Tasers and associated equipment from Taser International.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners approves the acceptance of the 2017 Medical Marihuana Operation and Oversight Grant from the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Bureau of Professional Licensing for a total of \$112,681.52 for the time period of January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that subcontracts are authorized for other law enforcement agencies to use any equipment associated with this grant to include the Michigan State Police, Tri County Metro Narcotics Squad, and the Lansing Police Department.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Controller/Administer to make the necessary budget adjustments in the Ingham County Sheriff's Office 2017 budget.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any necessary grant contracts and subcontracts or purchase order documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.

TO: Board of Commissioners Law & Courts Committee
FROM: Lance Langdon, Director 9-1-1
DATE: February 7, 2017
SUBJECT: Request to purchase 18 workstation computers for 9-1-1 Center
For the meeting agenda of (February 16, 2017)

BACKGROUND

With the opening of the center, the IT Director at the time provided for two computers to provide the entire staff with email access. These have not provided the access needed by staff due to continual issues with PC performance. This issue has been on the agenda of our Joint Leadership Team JLT, as well as staff needing access to the Microsoft Office programs to view, work on and complete documents. Our computers (3) that are at each position are all part of closed systems, that cannot or should not have these programs added to them for system integrity and security.

We have consulted with County IT and identified a PC that is smaller in size that can be placed at the work stations to provide access to the Microsoft Office programs and email access at the employee's workstation and allow us to maintain security of the other computer systems

ALTERNATIVES

It is working to make the Center a better work place for our staff, and to assist in addressing issues brought up by staff members through the JLT. This solution has been developed with County IT to address the problems brought forward by staff. There are no alternatives to adding the Microsoft Office programs to our other systems again due to security issues. Our JLT committee was unanimous in support of this project.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

A quote was obtained by County IT for the 18 computers for the workstations at a cost of \$371.55 per PC for a total cost of \$6,687.90. While this solution was not part of the 2017 budget, there are funds available in the 9-1-1 fund balance to pay for this project. This will result in County IT having additional computers to service as part of their daily function.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information presented, I respectfully recommend approval of the attached resolution to support the purchase of 18 workstation computers for the center.

Introduced by the Law & Courts and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF 18 COMPUTERS FOR
9-1-1 CENTRAL DISPATCH CENTER WORK STATIONS**

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners has established a Consolidated 9-1-1 Emergency Dispatch Center that opened June 27, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County 9-1-1 Administration in working with Joint Leadership Committee (JLT), has identified the need for computers to be added to each of the centers 18 workstations; and

WHEREAS, the current computers used at these workstations are part of closed systems that operate the various programs used by the center. The new computers will allow for normal office programs to be used while not compromising the security of these other systems; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County IT department has identified and tested computers that can be added to the workstations with little impact on space needs at the work stations.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes a purchase of the computer equipment for the eighteen (18) workstations from CDW, at a cost not to exceed \$6,687.90 from funds from the 9-1-1 Emergency Telephone Dispatch Services – 9-1-1 Fund balance.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes the Controller/Administrator to make the necessary budget adjustments in the Ingham County 9-1-1 Center 2017 budget.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners is authorized to sign any contracts/purchase order documents on behalf of the County after approval as to form by the County Attorney.